



BAY AREA
AIR QUALITY
MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT

July 5, 2022

Jan Novak
Associate Planner and Scientist
Port of Oakland
530 Water Street
Oakland, CA 94607

Subject: Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report

Dear Mr. Novak:

Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District) staff has reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Project (Project) to evaluate the potential widening of Oakland's turning basins. The Project proposes to increase the width of the Port of Oakland's (Port) two existing turning basins to accommodate a vessel with a capacity of 19,000 twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU) and a length of 1,310 feet. The Project will require federal approval under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), in partnership with the Port, released the Project's draft Environmental Assessment (EA) pursuant to the NEPA on December 17, 2021, and the Air District provided comments on the draft EA on February 14, 2022.

The community of West Oakland is located east and northeast of the Outer Harbor Channel and Inner Harbor Channel, respectively. The Air District and the West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project (WEOIP) worked with a community Steering Committee to develop the West Oakland Community Action Plan (WOCAP), adopted by the Air District Board of Directors and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) in 2019. The WOCAP sets goals and targets for reducing exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), diesel emissions and cancer risk from toxic air contaminants (TACs). Any increases in local PM_{2.5}, diesel emissions or cancer risk would be inconsistent with the WOCAP and would hinder progress toward the agreed upon targets set by the West Oakland Steering Committee, the Air District and CARB.

Incorporation of Air District Comments on Environmental Assessment

The EIR should address all Air District comments on the [Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation Study Project Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment](#), submitted to the US Army Corps of Engineers on February 14, 2022 and incorporated here by reference, such as providing evidence to support the claim that increased navigational efficiency will not result in an increase in the number of ship calls

ALAMEDA COUNTY
John J. Bauters
(Chair)
Pauline Russo Cutter
David Haubert
Nate Miley

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
John Gioia
David Hudson
Karen Mitchoff
Mark Ross

MARIN COUNTY
Katie Rice

NAPA COUNTY
Brad Wagenknecht

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY
Tyrone Jue
(SF Mayor's Appointee)
Myrna Melgar
Shamann Walton

SAN MATEO COUNTY
David J. Canepa
Carole Groom
Davina Hurt
(Vice Chair)

SANTA CLARA COUNTY
Margaret Abe-Koga
Otto Lee
Sergio Lopez
Rob Rennie

SOLANO COUNTY
Erin Hannigan
Steve Young

SONOMA COUNTY
Teresa Barrett
(Secretary)
Lynda Hopkins

Sharon Landers
INTERIM
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO

Connect with the
Bay Area Air District:



or throughput at the Port. The Project will allow larger vessels to access the Port and should clearly discuss the types of vessels (and the associated emissions) that could visit the Port as a result of the Project. Additionally, the Project should analyze all potential operational phase emissions, including any changes in emissions due to changes in vessel activity during ship calls, changes in types of vessels calling at the Port, increased ship calls, and any increased use of off-road equipment and on-road truck trips. Given the current elevated air pollution exposures and health inequities in West Oakland and the recently adopted WOCAP, any potential increase in emissions resulting from this project should be fully mitigated.

Consistency with Adopted Plans

Air District staff recommend the EIR evaluate the Project's consistency with the following adopted plans, including demonstrating the Project's consistency with the plan strategies or measures:

- West Oakland Community Action Plan, described above. In addition to discussing the WOCAP goals, strategies, and demonstrating consistency with the Plan's strategies, Air District staff recommend the Port engage with the West Oakland Steering Committee regarding the Project and describe community engagement efforts in the EIR. The WOCAP can be found on the Air District's website: <https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/west-oakland-community-action-plan>.
- Air District 2017 Clean Air Plan (2017 CAP). The 2017 CAP can be found on the Air District's website: <http://www.baaqmd.gov/plans-and-climate/air-quality-plans/current-plans>.
- Port of Oakland Seaport Air Quality Plan 2020 and Beyond.

Evaluate Air Quality Impacts and Implement All Feasible Mitigation Measures

The West Oakland community is currently cumulatively impacted with air pollution, which highlights the urgency of avoiding additional air pollution in the community. The EIR should estimate and evaluate the potential health risk to existing and future sensitive populations near the Project area from PM_{2.5} and TACs as a result of the Project's construction and operation.

The EIR should fully evaluate potential significant impacts and implement all feasible measures to minimize air quality impacts to the greatest extent possible. Emission reduction measures the EIR should evaluate and consider for both construction and operational phases include:

- Prohibiting or minimizing the use of diesel fuel, consistent with the Air District's Diesel Free By '33 initiative (<http://dieselfree33.baaqmd.gov/>).
- Requiring the use of zero-emission dredges consistent with Resolution 21-61 adopted by the Port of Oakland Board of Port Commissioners on September 30, 2021.

-
- Requiring use of grid power for construction activities whenever possible; if grid power is not available, use of alternative power such as battery storage, hydrogen fuel cells, or, if no other options are available, use of Final Tier 4 generators using renewable diesel fuel.
 - Maximizing the use of renewable diesel alternatives to the Port's diesel-powered equipment and vehicle fleet consistent with the Port of Oakland's Seaport Air Quality Plan 2020 and Beyond.
 - Requiring construction vehicles to operate with Final Tier 4 engines using renewable diesel or zero-emission drivetrains, as commercially available.
 - Prohibiting trucks from idling for more than two minutes or prohibit idling altogether.
 - Implementing a program that incentivizes construction workers to carpool, use EVs, or use public transit to commute to and from the site. The program may include the following features, as feasible: providing a shuttle service to and from BART; preferential parking to carpool vehicles, vanpool vehicles, and EVs; and scheduling work shifts to be compatible with the schedules of local transit services.

Climate Impact Analysis

The EIR should fully evaluate and discuss the GHG emissions associated with the project and compare them to the State's GHG reduction goals for 2030 (a 40% reduction below 1990 levels) and 2045 (carbon neutrality). This evaluation should include a comparison of the Project's projected GHG emissions to baseline GHG emissions. If the Project's emissions are inconsistent with the State's 2030 and 2045 GHG reduction goals, then the project would likely have a significant climate impact. Alternatively, the Project can demonstrate consistency with a local GHG Reduction Strategy that meets the criteria under State CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5(b).

Additional Recommendations

- The Air District's CEQA website contains several tools and resources to assist lead agencies in analyzing air quality and climate impacts. These tools include guidance on quantifying local emissions and exposure impacts. The tools can be found on the Air District's website: <http://www.baaqmd.gov/plans-and-climate/california-environmental-quality-act-ceqa/ceqa-tools>. If the Project requires a site-specific analysis, please contact Air District staff to obtain more recent data.
- The EIR should include a discussion of compliance with Air District Regulation 6, Rule 6: Prohibition of Trackout for construction sites where the total land area covered by construction activities and/or disturbed surfaces at the site are one acre or larger as it applies to the Project. To review the regulation, please visit <https://www.baaqmd.gov/rules-and-compliance/rules/regulation-6-rule-6-prohibitionof-trackout> and consult with staff from the Air District's Compliance and Enforcement Division at (415) 749-4795 or compliance@baaqmd.gov.

-
- Certain aspects of the Project may require an air quality permit (Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate) from the Air District (for example, diesel generators, certain portable equipment being used in a stationary manner). Please contact Barry Young, Senior Advanced Projects Advisor in the Air District's Engineering Division, at (415) 749-4721 or byoung@baaqmd.gov to discuss permit requirements. Any applicable Air District permit requirements should be discussed in the EIR.

We encourage the Port to contact Air District staff with any questions and/or to request assistance during the environmental review process. If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact Alesia Hsiao, Senior Environmental Planner, at ahsiao@baaqmd.gov or Alison Kirk, Assistant Manager, at akirk@baaqmd.gov.

Sincerely,

for Greg Nudd

Greg Nudd
Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer

cc: BAAQMD Chair John J. Bauters
BAAQMD Director Pauline Russo Cutter
BAAQMD Director David Haubert
BAAQMD Director Nate Miley
Stanley Armstrong, California Air Resources Board
Brian Beveridge, West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project
Connell Dunning, U.S. EPA Region 9
Ms. Margaret Gordon, West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project

Attachment: Air District comment letter to Mr. Eric Jolliffe, US Army Corps of Engineers, dated February 14, 2022, "Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation Study Project Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment"



**BAY AREA
AIR QUALITY
MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT**

ALAMEDA COUNTY

John J. Bauters
(Vice Chair)
Pauline Russo Cutter
David Haubert
Nate Miley

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

John Gioia
David Hudson
Karen Mitchoff
(Chair)
Mark Ross

MARIN COUNTY

Katie Rice

NAPA COUNTY

Brad Wagenknecht

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

Tyrone Jue
(SF Mayor's Appointee)
Myrna Melgar
Shamann Walton

SAN MATEO COUNTY

David J. Canepa
Carole Groom
Davina Hurt
(Secretary)

SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Margaret Abe-Koga
Cindy Chavez
Rich Constantine
Rob Rennie

SOLANO COUNTY

Erin Hannigan
Lori Wilson

SONOMA COUNTY

Teresa Barrett
Lynda Hopkins

Jack P. Broadbent
EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO

Connect with the
Bay Area Air District:



February 14, 2022

Mr. Eric Jolliffe
Environmental Manager
US Army Corps of Engineers
450 Golden Gate Ave 4th Floor
San Francisco, 94102

RE: Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation Study Project Draft
Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment

Dear Mr. Jolliffe:

Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District) staff has reviewed the Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment (Feasibility Report) for the Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening Navigation Study Project (Project). The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) is the federal sponsor, and the Port of Oakland (Port) is the local sponsor of the Project. The stated purpose of the Feasibility Report is to determine if there is a technically feasible, economically justifiable, and environmentally acceptable recommendation for federal participation in an improvement project to the existing federal navigation channels of Oakland Harbor.

The Project proposes to expand the Outer Harbor Channel and Outer Harbor Turning Basin (OHTB) and the Inner Harbor Channel and Inner Harbor Turning Basin (IHTB). The OHTB is south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and is maintained to a depth of -50 feet mean lower low water (MLLW). The OHTB serves the existing TraPac and Ben E. Nutter terminals. The OHTB expansion would widen the existing turning basin from 1,650 to 1,965 feet, which would be dredged to a depth of -50 feet MLLW. The IHTB is approximately 2.5 miles from the Inner Harbor entrance and is maintained to -50 feet MLLW. The IHTB serves the existing Oakland International Container, Matson, and Schnitzer Steel terminals. The IHTB expansion would widen the existing turning basin from 1,500 feet to 1,834 feet, which would be dredged to a depth of -50 feet MLLW. In addition to in-water work to widen the IHTB, land at Schnitzer Steel, Howard Terminal, and private property located along the Alameda shoreline would be impacted.

The community of West Oakland is located east and northeast of the Outer Harbor Channel and Inner Harbor Channel, respectively, and the Feasibility Report identifies the West Clawson neighborhood of West Oakland as an Environmental Justice (EJ) community within one mile of the Project. The Air District and the West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project (WOEIP) worked with a community Steering Committee to develop the West Oakland Community Action Plan (WOCAP), adopted by the Air District Board of

Directors and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) in 2019. The WOCAP sets goals and targets for reducing exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), diesel emissions and cancer risk from toxic air contaminants (TACs). Any increases in local PM_{2.5}, diesel emissions or cancer risk would be inconsistent with the WOCAP and would hinder progress toward the agreed upon targets set by the West Oakland Steering Committee, the Air District and CARB.

The Air District commends the USACE and Port for selecting a project alternative that will employ electric-powered barge-mounted excavator dredging equipment. However, Air District staff remain concerned that the Feasibility Report and General Conformity criteria fail to accurately characterize the extent of the Project's air quality impacts. The Feasibility Report determines the Project would have no impact based solely on an evaluation of construction related emissions using the General Conformity criteria of not exceeding, in any calendar year during construction, the ozone precursors and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) *de minimis* threshold of 100 tons per year. Air District staff does not support the use of General Conformity *de minimis* levels as appropriate thresholds for identifying potentially significant local and regional air quality impacts. The Feasibility Report does not provide substantial evidence that Project-related emissions will not increase concentrations of PM_{2.5}, diesel emissions, or cancer risk in local communities, including the (federally determined) EJ community of West Clawson. In addition, the Feasibility Report includes no information to support the conclusion that the Project would not result in an increase in criteria pollutants, TACs, or greenhouse gases due to the increased capacity at the Port.

Air District staff recommends that the USACE and the Port evaluate the Project's potential air quality impacts to local communities in a detailed and publicly accessible environmental analysis prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). We recommend the CEQA analysis rely on the Air District's current [CEQA Air Quality Guidelines](#) to establish thresholds, and fully evaluate the regional criteria pollutants, local risks and hazards, and greenhouse gases of the Project.

Comments on the Feasibility Report

The Feasibility Report should provide evidence to support the following aspects of the analysis:

- (1) Additional information should be provided on the number and type of haul trucks that will be used during construction to substantiate the analysis. Disposal of excavated landside material, piles and debris from warehouse demolition would require approximately 31,310 truck trips during Project construction, likely adding new truck trips and associated emissions to already overburden communities.
- (2) Evidence should be provided to support the statement of no change in operational emissions at the Port, including documentation to support the claim that increased navigational efficiency will not result in an increase in the number of ship calls or

throughput at the Port. Even if the number of ship calls were to remain unchanged, the Project would allow larger vessels – with different emissions profiles – to access the Port. The environmental analysis should clearly discuss the types of vessels (and the associated emissions) that could visit the Port as a result of the Project.

- (3) Evidence should be provided to support the statement that increased navigational efficiency would result in a decrease in emissions from ship idling and turning maneuvers, and documentation should be provided to confirm which EJ communities could benefit from these decreased emissions.
- (4) The Feasibility Report cites Appendix A-4 for documentation of the Port's Health Risk Assessment (HRA). However, Appendix A-4 only documents construction criteria pollutant emissions. To support the finding of no impact to nearby EJ communities, an HRA or similar localized health analysis must evaluate the potential increase in local risks and hazards from PM 2.5, diesel emissions, and TACs from the Project. Without this analysis, the Feasibility Report's finding of no impact cannot be substantiated.

Further Recommendations for completion of an EIS/EIR

A joint EIS/EIR should be prepared and provide evidence to support all findings, including a full evaluation of regional criteria pollutants, local risks and hazards, and greenhouse gases, and commit to all mitigations to address impacts and protect health, including but not limited to the recommendations below:

- (1) Analyze construction phase emissions from all equipment, including tugboats and other marine vessels, on-road and off-road trucks, and other equipment.
- (2) Analyze all potential operational phase emissions, including any changes in emissions due to changes in vessel activity during ship calls, changes in types of vessels calling at the Port, increased ship calls, and any increased use of off-road equipment and on-road truck trips.
- (3) Complete an HRA to evaluate the potential increase in local emissions and exposure to PM_{2.5} and TACs from construction and operational phases of the Project in federally identified EJ communities, the entire community of West Oakland as described in the WOCAP, and any additional overburdened communities that may be impacted by travel to and from the Project site, such as Martinez, Bay Point, and Pittsburg.
- (4) Complete an analysis of air quality impacts of the Project's operational phase, including a cumulative analysis that considers all reasonably foreseeable projects with the potential to further burden West Oakland with exposure to emissions, such as the Eagle Rock Aggregate Project and the Oakland Waterfront Ballpark District Project.

- (5) Implement mitigation measures and/or Project alternatives to reduce emissions and local community health risk from the construction and operational phases, including selecting and enforcing truck routes, requiring use of zero-emission on-road trucks and off-road construction equipment, and implementing other strategies to reduce exposure consistent with the WOCAP.
- (6) Demonstrate the Project is consistent with the WOCAP per the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, Appendix G, <https://opr.ca.gov/ceqa/guidelines/>. The analysis should discuss how the Project supports the WOCAP goals and targets; identify which WOCAP strategies are incorporated into the Project, and justify the reasons, supported by substantial evidence, any strategies are not incorporated; and demonstrate that the Project would not cause disruption, delay, or otherwise hinder implementation of any WOCAP strategies.

Air District staff is available to assist the USACE and Port in addressing these comments and to assist during the EIS/EIR development process. If you have questions or would like to discuss Air District recommendations, please contact Alison Kirk, Assistant Manager, at akirk@baaqmd.gov.

Sincerely,



Greg Nudd
Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer

Cc: BAAQMD Director John J. Bauters
BAAQMD Director Pauline Russo Cutter
BAAQMD Director David Haubert
BAAQMD Director Nate Miley
Stanley Armstrong, California Air Resources Board
Brian Beveridge, West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project
Connell Dunning, U.S. EPA Region 9
Ms. Margaret Gordon, West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project
Danny Wan, Port of Oakland