

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Memorandum

To: Chairperson Liz Kniss and Members
of the Executive Committee

From: Chairperson Valerie J. Armento, Esq., and Members
of the Hearing Board

Date: October 2, 2017

Re: Hearing Board Quarterly Report: July – September 2017

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

DISCUSSION

During the third calendar quarter of 2017 (July - September), the Hearing Board:

- Held one Hearing; and
- Processed a total of one Order; and
- Collected a total of \$0.00 in filing fees.

Below is a detail of Hearing Board activity during the same period:

Location: San Mateo County; City of South San Francisco

Docket: 3700 – APCO vs. Manuel Campos, et al - *Accusation*

Regulation(s): Regulation 2, Rule 1

Synopsis: Respondents have owned or operated an auto body facility in South San Francisco, California where they conduct motor vehicle refinishing operations, for which they must hold a District permit to operate, pursuant to District Regulation 2, Rule 1. Since at least January 2006, Respondents operated the facility without a valid or current permit, despite their knowledge of the permit requirements. Complainant sought an order that Respondents cease conducting these operations until they obtain a District permit to do so.

Status: Accusation filed on May 15, 2017; Pre-Hearing Conference held on June 20, 2017; Hearing held on July 11, 2017 and Request from District Counsel to continue Hearing to August 15, 2017 approved by Hearing Board; Request to cancel Hearing and Dismiss Matter filed by Complainant on August 1, 2017; Order for Dismissal filed and issued on August 4, 2017.

Period of Variance: N/A

Estimated Excess Emissions: N/A

Fees collected this quarter: N/A

Location: Contra Costa County; City of Antioch

Docket: 3701 – APCO vs. Bridgehead Marine Services LLC, et al - *Accusation*

Regulation(s): Regulation 2, Rule 1

Synopsis: Respondents have owned or operated a facility in Antioch, California, where they conduct a boat painting operation using solvent evaporating sources, for which they have held a District permit to operate pursuant to District Regulation 2, Rule 1. Since at least January 2014, Respondents have been operating the facility without a valid or current permit, despite their knowledge of the permit requirements. Complainant seeks an order that Respondents cease conducting these operations until they obtain a District permit to do so.

Status: Accusation filed on August 29, 2017; Hearing scheduled for October 17, 2017.

Period of Variance: N/A

Estimated Excess Emissions: N/A

Fees collected this quarter: N/A

Respectfully submitted,

Valerie J. Armento, Esq.
Chair, Hearing Board

Prepared by: Marcy Hiratzka
Reviewed by: Maricela Martinez