Lisa Harper Clerk, Hearing Board Bay Area Air Quality Management District

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,) DOCKET NO. 3598
Complainant,))
vs,) CONDITIONAL ORDER) FOR ABATEMENT
Cheuk Fung, individually, and <i>d/b/a</i> Max Gas, Warm Springs Valero, and Warm Springs Gas; Cheuk M. & Juilan Fung Trust; a Gasoline Dispensing Facility located at 39925 Mission Boulevard, Fremont, California, Site No. D0587, <i>a/k/a</i> Max Gas; and a Gasoline Dispensing Facility located at 47700 Warm Springs Boulevard, Fremont, California, Site No. C8687, <i>a/k/a</i> Warm Springs Valero and/or Warm Springs Gas,))))))
Respondents.))
))

On or about September 27, 2010, the Air Pollution Control Officer ("APCO") of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District ("District"), Complainant in the above-entitled matter, filed with this Hearing Board an Accusation and Request for Order for Abatement ("Accusation") against Cheuk Fung, individually, and *d/b/a* Max Gas, Warm Springs Valero, and Warm Springs Gas; Cheuk M. & Juilan Fung Trust; a Gasoline Dispensing Facility located at 39925 Mission Boulevard, Fremont, California, Site No. D0587, *a/k/a* Max Gas; and a Gasoline Dispensing Facility located at 47700 Warm Springs Boulevard, Fremont, California, Site No.

C8687, a/k/a Warm Springs Valero and/or Warm Springs Gas (all of whom are hereafter referred to as "Respondents"); and Does 1 through 10, inclusive requesting that the Hearing Board order Respondents to cease and desist transferring gasoline from the underground gasoline storage tanks to motor vehicles at their two gasoline dispensing facilities, which are located at 39925 Mission Boulevard, Fremont, Site D0587, known as Max Gas, and 47700 Warm Springs Boulevard, Fremont, Site C8687, known as Warm Springs Valero (together "Facilities"), thirty days from the date of hearing in this matter until Respondents install enhanced vapor recovery ("EVR") Phase II system equipment certified by the California Air Resources Board ("ARB") in accordance with the requirements of District Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1.

The Clerk of the Hearing Board provided notice of the hearing on the Accusation in accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 40823. Respondents did not file a Notice of Defense. The Hearing Board heard the request for an Abatement Order on October 28, 2010.

Randi Wallach, Assistant Counsel, appeared for the Air Pollution Control Officer.

Respondents were not present at the hearing.

Mr. Troy Hash testified for the APCO.

The Hearing Board provided the public with an opportunity to testify at the hearing, as required by the Health and Safety Code. No members of the public testified. The Hearing Board heard evidence, testimony and oral argument from the APCO. The Hearing Board granted the District's Request for Official Notice in Support of Accusation and Request for Order for Abatement. The Hearing Board admitted the District's Exhibit Nos. 1-6.

The Hearing Board closed the hearing after receiving evidence, testimony and argument, and took the matter under submission for decision. After consideration of the evidence, the Hearing Board found the following findings of fact and conclusions of law, and voted to issue a Conditional Order for Abatement as set forth below:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Cheuk Fung, an individual, owns and/or operates the gasoline dispensing facilities

("GDFs") that are located at 39925 Mission Boulevard, Fremont, Site D0587, known as Max Gas, and 47700 Warm Springs Boulevard, Fremont, Site C8687, known as Warm Springs Valero. The Cheuk M. and Juilan Fung Trust owns the property underlying both Facilities.

- 2. The Facilities are subject to the jurisdiction of the District. The annual gasoline throughput at Max Gas is approximately 1.8 million gallons and the annual gasoline throughput at Warm Springs Valero is approximately 660,000 gallons.
- 3. Volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") are organic compounds that evaporate quickly into the atmosphere. VOCs, reacting with oxides of nitrogen in sunlight, create ground level ozone. Ground level ozone is the primary component of photochemical smog, which is a significant air quality problem in the Bay Area. Ozone aggravates respiratory diseases, reduces visibility, causes eye irritation, and damages vegetation. One of the common sources of VOCs is gasoline vapors. Gasoline vapor, which contains hydrocarbons, is an air contaminant. Gasoline contains benzene, a known carcinogen. In the Bay Area, gasoline dispensing facilities are a major source of VOC emissions.
- 4. A GDF "vapor recovery system" collects gasoline vapors that are discharged during gasoline transfer operations and processes the vapors to prevent their release into the atmosphere.
- 5. A GDF vapor recovery system comprises a "Phase I" system, which controls gasoline vapors during the transfer of gasoline from gasoline cargo tanks to a GDF's stationary storage tank, and a "Phase II" system, which controls gasoline vapors during transfer of gasoline between the GDF's stationary storage tank and a motor vehicle.
- 6. District Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1 prohibits a person from transferring gasoline between a GDF's stationary storage tank and a motor vehicle without an ARB-certified Phase II system in place and in operation.
- 7. State law requires that stations in existence as of April 1, 2005 with underground stationary gasoline storage tanks had to install an enhanced vapor recovery ("EVR") Phase II system as of April 1, 2009. As of April 1, 2009, only EVR Phase II equipment was ARB-

certified, and any Phase II system that was not ARB-certified as EVR Phase II was de-certified.

- The District is authorized to tag "out of order" components at a GDF that are not certified by ARB. Until such components are replaced, repaired or adjusted and the District has reinspected the components (or authorized use of the components pending reinspection), no one
- The District conducted an extensive public outreach program to GDF owners and operators about the EVR Phase II upgrade requirements and District Regulation 8-7-302.1 through public workshops, delivery of District compliance advisories, and on-site compliance
- Respondents were fully aware of the requirement to have installed the Phase II
- Respondents did not curtail or cease dispensing gasoline at the Facility on or after
- On numerous occasions, the District inspected the Facility to remind Respondents of the April 1, 2009 deadline and to monitor the progress of the EVR Phase II system upgrade, if
- On July 15, 2009, the District issued Max Gas NOV No. A50883 for conducting gasoline dispensing operations with an uncertified EVR Phase II system as of April 1, 2009, in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1. A copy of this NOV was entered into evidence as
- On July 8, 2009, the District issued Warm Springs Valero Notice of Violation ("NOV") No. A50879 for conducting gasoline dispensing operations with an uncertified EVR Phase II system as of April 1, 2009, in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1. A copy of this NOV was entered into evidence as the District's Exhibit No. 2.
- Respondents did contact the District and obtain compliance agreements for each of the Facilities that enabled Respondents to continue operating after April 1, 2009 so long as Respondents were diligently working to upgrade their vapor recovery systems. However,

1	Respondents have been in breach of the agreements since November 2, 2009 pursuant to		
2	Paragraph 19 of the agreements, as Respondents have met neither the September 1, 2009 nor		
3	November 1, 2009 compliance dates, nor sought or received any further extensions from the		
4	District. Copies of the compliance agreements were entered into evidence as the District's		
5	Exhibit Nos. 3 and 4.		
6	16. As of October 26, 2010, the Facilities had not completed the required EVR Phase		
7	II upgrade and were operating in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1. The District		
8	inspector photographed the Facilities in operation and out of compliance with the Phase II EVR		
9	requirements on October 26, 2010; these photographs were entered into evidence as the District's		
10	Exhibit Nos. 5 and 6.		
11	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
12	Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 40750, 40752 and 42451(a), the APCO, who		
13	is appointed by the District Board of Directors, is authorized to enforce all rules and regulations		
14	adopted or prescribed by the District Board and is authorized to seek an Order for Abatement		
15	from the District's Hearing Board to stop violations of a District rule or regulation prohibiting or		
16	limiting the discharge of an air contaminant into the air.		
17	Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 42451(a), the Hearing Board may issue an		
18	Order for Abatement if it finds that a person is operating a gasoline dispensing facility in		
19	violation of a District rule or regulation that prohibits or limits the discharge of an air		
20	contaminant into the air.		
21	Cause for determination that Respondents are in violation of District Regulation 8-7-		
22	302.1 is established by Findings of Fact Nos. 1, 2, 11, 13, 14, 15 and 16.		
23	Cause for issuance of an order that Respondents abate this violation is established by		
24	Findings of Fact Nos. 1 through 16, inclusive.		
25			
26			
27			

AYES:

ORDER

Cause being found therefore, pursuant to Sections 42451(a) and 42452 of the California Health and Safety Code, THE HEARING BOARD of the BAY AREA AIR OUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT hereby ORDERS:

- 1. That the APCO's Request for a Conditional Order for Abatement ("Order") shall be and hereby is GRANTED as follows: Respondents Cheuk Fung, Max Gas, Warm Springs Valero, and Warm Spring Gas, as well as any other gasoline dispensing facility doing business at 39925 Mission Boulevard, Fremont, California and/or 47700 Warm Springs Boulevard, Fremont, California, and their agents, employees, successors and assigns are hereby ordered to cease gasoline dispensing operations at the Facilities on or before November 27, 2010, until:
 - a. Respondents come into compliance with Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1 by installing an ARB-certified EVR Phase II system that complies with the system manufacturer's specifications and with the terms and conditions of the District authority to construct the EVR Phase II system at the Facility; and
 - b. Respondents submit the EVR Phase II upgrade "start-up notification" to Respondents' District permit engineer, as required by the EVR Phase II system's authority to construct, with a copy submitted simultaneously to this Hearing Board and to the District Legal Division, attention Brian C. Bunger, via facsimile or certified mail;
 - 2. That this Conditional Order for Abatement shall become effective immediately.
- 3. That the Hearing Board shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until Respondents come into compliance with the EVR Phase II requirements of Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1 and submit "start-up notification" in accordance with the requirements set forth in Paragraph 1 of this Conditional Order for Abatement.

Moved by: Terry A. Trumbull, Esq.

Seconded by: Rolf Lindenhayn, Esq.

Christian Colline, P.E., Rolf Lindenhayn, Esq., Julio Magalhães,

1		Ph.D., Terry A. Trumbull, Esq., and Thomas M. Dailey, M.D.	
2	24077	2.4	
3	NOES:	None	
4	ABSTAINED:	None	
5	11	1	
6	Shory	1 M Haylor -	11-3-10
7	Thomas M. Daile	y, M.D., Chair	Date
8	4		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	e v		
26			
27			