1	BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE FILED			
2	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT AUG 1 0 2023			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		HEARING BOARD BAY AREA AIR QUALITY	
4			MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	
5	In the Matter of the) Docket No. 3743		
6 7	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT) Docket No. 5745)) ACCUSATION OF VIOLATION OF) REGULATION 8-28		
8 9	Complainant, vs.	/ AND) REQUEST FOR ORDER FC)	OR ABATEMENT	
10 11	VALERO REFINING COMPANY - CALIFORNIA)))		
12	Respondent.	,))		
13	Re: Valero Refining Company - California, Facility No. B2626			
14	·)		
15				
16	Pursuant to Sections 42451 and 42452 of the California Health and Safety Code, Complainant the			
17	Air Pollution Control Officer (the "APCO") of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (the "Air			
18	District") requests that the Hearing Board issue an Order for Abatement directed to Respondent Valero			
19	Refining Company – California (hereinafter "Valero" or "Respondent").			
20	In support of this request, and upon information and belief, the APCO alleges as follows:			
21	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY			
22	1. The APCO seeks an Order for Abatement against Valero to address ongoing, long-standing			
23	violations of Air District Regulation 8-28-304.2 ("Rule 304.2"), which have resulted in illegal unabated			
24	emissions of harmful organic compounds from eight Pressure Relief Devices ("PRDs") at the Hydrogen			
25	Compressor Unit at the Valero refinery in Benicia. Valero failed to take the appropriate, legally mandated			
26	actions to install emissions control equipment on these PRDs to prevent the organic compounds from			
27	being emitted into the atmosphere, despite knowing for years-in some cases, more than a decade-that			
28	the emission controls were required by Rule 304.2. Therefore, the APCO respectfully requests that the			
	- 1 -			
	ACCUSATION AND REQUEST F	OR ORDER FOR ABATEMENT		

Hearing Board issue an Order for Abatement requiring Valero to cease operations at the Hydrogen
 Compressor Unit until such time as it routes all the affected PRDs to appropriate controls and achieves
 full compliance with Air District Rule 304.2.

2. PRDs are used to release excess pressure in refinery process streams to avoid damage to
refinery equipment. At most times, the PRDs are sealed and do not release emissions. But, when excess
pressure occurs, the PRDs open and release the process stream gas to the atmosphere.

3. Under Rule 304.2, a PRD in organic compound service that has experienced two or more
release events within a five-year period must be routed to a vapor recovery or disposal system with at
least 95% by weight control efficiency. Control of the emissions must be completed within one year of
the second release event. Once the PRD is routed to the vapor recovery or disposal system, any further
releases will be controlled and will not be emitted into the atmosphere.

4. The Air District has identified eight PRDs at Valero's refinery that experienced multiple 12 release events in a five-year period. The earliest second PRD release event occurred on March 11, 2011, 13 meaning Valero was required to route that PRD to abatement by March 11, 2012. Valero's ongoing 14 failure to route any of the PRDs to the controls required by Rule 304.2 has resulted in substantial illegal 15 unabated emissions from the PRDs. Those illegal emissions have totaled approximately 165 tons of total 16 organic compounds since 2012. Any further releases from these PRDs will continue to be emitted directly 17 into the atmosphere unless and until Valero routes them to a vapor recovery or disposal system as 18 required by law. 19

5. Given Valero's persistent failure to properly route the PRDs to controls in ongoing
 violation of Air District Rule 304.2 and the resulting illegal organic compound emissions that harm Bay
 Area air quality, the APCO has determined that Valero must be compelled to route the subject PRDs to
 control equipment that meets Rule 304.2 requirements. The APCO therefore seeks an Order from the
 Hearing Board requiring Valero to cease operation of the Hydrogen Compressor Unit until it routes the
 PRDs to controls that meet all Air District rule requirements.

26

COMPLAINANT

6. The Air District is the governmental agency charged with the primary responsibility in the
San Francisco Bay Area for controlling air pollution from all sources other than motor vehicles, for

- 2 -

ACCUSATION AND REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

enforcing laws relating to air pollution, and for maintaining healthy air quality. The Air District is
 organized pursuant to Division 26, Part 3, Chapter 11 of the Health and Safety Code.

7. The APCO is appointed by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Board of
Directors to enforce all orders, rules and regulations prescribed by the Air District Board. (California
Health and Safety Code Section 40750 *et seq.*) The APCO is authorized to request that the Hearing Board
issue an order for abatement in accordance with Section 42451(a) of the California Health and Safety
Code.

RESPONDENT

8. Respondent Valero Refining Company – California is a corporation subject to the
jurisdiction of the Air District. Respondent operates the Benicia petroleum refinery located at 3400 East
Second Street in Benicia, Contra Costa County, California.

12

8

The VALERO BENICIA REFINERY, ITS HYDROGEN SYSTEM, and the PRDs

9. Valero's Benicia Refinery (the "Refinery") is a petroleum refinery primarily serving the
domestic United States market. It has the capacity to process up to approximately 165,000 barrels of crude
oil per day. The Refinery processes crude oil through a series of complex operations to produce refined
petroleum products such as gasoline and diesel fuel that are sold to the market.

17 10. Hydrogen is an essential component for various refining processes. It is used to de18 sulfurize process feedstock and to assist in breaking apart – or "cracking" – long-chain hydrocarbon
19 molecules into more useful shorter-chain molecules.

11. The Valero Refinery's hydrogen system utilizes hydrogen produced from two sources: the 20 Hydrogen Unit, which produces relatively pure hydrogen free of air pollutants; and the Naphtha Reformer 21 Unit, which produces impure hydrogen as a byproduct from its primary purpose of processing heavy, low-22 octane, naphtha (a petroleum feedstock) into high-octane reformate, a gasoline blending component. The 23 Naphtha Reformer Unit's impure hydrogen is contaminated with organic compounds. The combined 24 contaminated hydrogen stream is routed through the Refinery's Hydrogen Compressor Unit-where the 25 PRDs at issue in this Accusation are located—to achieve the necessary high pressure at which the 26 hydrogen can be used in the Refinery's various hydrogen-consumer units. 27

28

12. Valero uses PRDs located in the Hydrogen Compressor Unit of the Refinery, along with

other venting points, to maintain the proper pressure for the hydrogen stream. The PRDs are safety
 devices installed to prevent abnormal severe overpressures that could cause catastrophic equipment failure
 - similar to the pressure relief valve on a home pressure cooker, but on an industrial scale. These PRDs
 protect the hydrogen compressors and the three-stage hydrogen gas compression process.

5 13. Under normal conditions, these PRDs remain tightly closed and do not release any gas.
6 But, in the event of an upset or malfunction that causes pressure to rise to potentially dangerous levels, the
7 PRDs open to vent excess gas buildup that could rupture or otherwise damage the hydrogen compressors.
8 As a result, Valero has vented hydrogen gas laden with toxic contaminants through these PRDs.

9 14. Because the Refinery's hydrogen stream that is compressed in the Hydrogen Compressor
10 Unit contains organic compounds originating in the Naphtha Reformer Unit, the PRDs within the
11 Hydrogen Compressor Unit are subject to Rule 304.2 and release organic compounds to the atmosphere
12 when they experience release events.

13 15. Under Air District Regulation 8-28-214, a "Release Event" is defined as: "Any release of
organic or inorganic pollutants greater than 10 pounds from a pressure relief device, subject to this Rule,
to the atmosphere."

16. Rule 304.2 requires a refinery operator to route PRDs to either a vapor recovery system or 16 a disposal system with at least 95 percent by weight organic compounds control efficiency within one 17 year of a second release event within a five-year period, in order to limit harmful emissions. PRDs are 18 19 intended to vent excess pressure only in very rare circumstances. The rule ensures that, if they are venting more frequently, abatement action is effectuated to control the emissions. If the PRDs are experiencing 20release events more than once every five years, the potential for emissions is significant enough that the 21 operator should implement measures to ensure that any organic compounds are not emitted directly into 22 the atmosphere, where they can harm public health and the environment. 23

24

VALERO'S FAIULURE to CONTROL EMISSIONS from the PRDs

17. According to Valero's own data, the eight PRDs that are the subject of this Accusation
each experienced multiple release events within a five-year period. The date of each PRD's second release
event within five years was between March 12, 2010 and July 23, 2014. Valero was therefore required to
route the PRDs to abatement between March 12, 2011 and July 23, 2015. Valero's failure to do so

- 4 -

ACCUSATION AND REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

constitutes a violation of Air District Regulation 8-28-304.2, and it has resulted in significant illegally
 unabated organic compound emissions when those PRDs have experienced subsequent releases that were
 not controlled by abatement equipment as required by Rule 304.2.

18. The table below identifies the eight PRDs at issue here, their first and second release
events as indicated by the data, and the resulting abatement deadline to route the PRDs to a vapor
recovery or disposal system with at least 95 percent by weight organic compounds control efficiency that
Valero failed to meet.

Pressure Relief Device	First Release Event	Second Release Event	Abatement Deadline
SV313-1	3/12/2010	3/14/2011	3/14/2012
SV313-3	2/12/2010	4/10/2010	4/10/2011
SV313-4	2/22/2010	3/12/2010	3/12/2011
SV314-1	11/8/2011	8/9/2013	8/9/2014
SV314-2	8/17/2013	7/5/2014	7/5/2015
SV314-3	3/12/2010	3/1/2011	3/1/2012
SV383	3/15/2010	12/28/2010	12/28/2011
SV384	7/22/2014	7/23/2014	7/23/2015

8

9

15

14

19. The APCO was not aware of these release events, or that Valero had triggered the 15 requirement to route the PRDs to a vapor recovery or disposal system, because Valero failed to notify the 16 APCO of the release events as required under Regulation 8-28-401. Instead of notifying the APCO no 17 later than the next working day, as required by the regulation, Valero kept the existence of these release 18 events secret and quietly kept operating the Hydrogen Compressor Unit without routing the PRDs to the 19 required controls, in violation of Rule 304.2. It was only when Air District inspectors began investigating 20a series of ongoing violations involving Valero's hydrogen system that these PRD violations came to 21 light. 22

23 20. Valero's failure to route the PRDs to a vapor recovery or disposal system in violation of 24 Rule 304.2 means that whenever one of these eight PRDs experiences a release, the emissions go directly 25 into the atmosphere instead of being controlled. To date, the eight PRDs at issue here have experienced a 26 combined total of at least 55 further releases after the deadlines for routing the PRDs to controls. Those 27 releases resulted in at least approximately 330,286 pounds, or approximately 165 tons, of illegal organic 28 compound emissions that would have been abated if Valero had complied with Rule 304.2. To the extent that these PRDs experience further releases in the future, those emissions will similarly go directly into
the atmosphere and harm air quality and public health, unless Valero routes the PRDs to control
equipment as required by Rule 304.2.

4 21. Valero's PRD releases, which have been and will continue to be emitted directly into the
5 atmosphere because of Valero's failure to route the PRDs to the required controls, are the result of the
6 company's plainly egregious violations of Rule 304.2.

7 22. In May of this year, Air District enforcement staff contacted Valero to confirm whether the
8 PRDs had been routed to controls. Valero responded that none of the eight PRDs had been routed to a
9 vapor recovery or disposal system.

10 23. On July 18, 2023, Valero informed Air District staff that it is planning a project to pipe the 11 eight PRDs currently operating in violation of Rule 304.2, as well as eleven other PRDs at the Hydrogen 12 Compressor Unit, to the refinery's main flare header in order to comply with Rule 304.2. Valero 13 submitted that this project would be exempt from Air District permitting requirements. The APCO is 14 currently evaluating the situation to determine how the Air District's permitting regulations will apply to 15 this project.

16

24.

17

ENVIRONMENTAL and PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS

To the best of the Air District's knowledge, Valero's Rule 304.2 violations are ongoing.

25. Organic compounds are pollutants that have significant adverse air quality and public
health impacts. When released to the atmosphere and exposed to sunlight, organic compounds combine
with oxides of nitrogen to create smog. Due to the significant harms caused by smog, the Air District has
enacted more than 50 regulations to control and reduce the emissions of organic compounds from
operations within its jurisdiction.

23 26. Organic compounds emitted from refineries typically include many toxic air contaminants
24 associated with both non-cancer and cancer health effects. The potential for associated health effects
25 depends on the specific chemical compounds released, the quantities of the toxic compounds released, and
26 the distance to the community.

27 27. Volatile organic compounds and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) chemically react in the
28 atmosphere to form ground level ozone. Pollutants from cars and industrial sources, such as the volatile

- 6 -

organic emissions from Valero, react in the presence of sunlight to form ozone. Tropospheric or ground
level ozone is not emitted directly into the air. The concentration levels of ozone in the ambient air in the
San Francisco Bay Area are currently in violation of several health-based state and federal air quality
standards. Specifically, for ozone the Bay Area is in violation of both state air quality standards (1)
measured over an 8-hour period of 0.070 ppm and (2) measured over a 1-hour period of 0.09 ppm. The
Bay Area is also designated as nonattainment for the federal 8-hour standard of 0.070ppm.¹

28. Breathing elevated levels of ozone causes serious public health problems. Many decades of 7 epidemiological studies have demonstrated that inhalation of ozone causes increased respiratory irritation 8 with inflamed and damaged airways, decreased lung function, increased susceptibility to respiratory 9 infection, increased frequency of asthma attacks, and aggravation of other lung diseases, such as 10emphysema and bronchitis. In addition, ozone has harmful effects on the environment. Studies have 11 shown that ozone can negatively affect sensitive vegetation and ecosystems, such as forests, parks, 12 wildlife refuges and wilderness areas. Specifically, in sensitive plants, ozone reduces photosynthesis 13 thereby slowing the plant's growth and increases the risk of disease and damage by insects and other 14 harmful elements. 15

29. Volatile organic compounds can also serve as precursors to the atmospheric formation of
fine particulate matter. Breathing elevated levels of fine particulate matter causes many of the same
respiratory health effects as ozone, in addition to causing premature death in people with heart or lung
disease and a wide array of health effects to other organ systems.

30. The gas in Valero's Hydrogen System which was emitted into the atmosphere contains
highly toxic and carcinogenic compounds such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene,
collectively known as "BTEX" compounds. Exposure to BTEX compounds is known to cause cancer,
reproductive harm, damage to the immune system, neurological impairment, and other health hazards
including developmental and respiratory harm. For benzene in particular, there is no safe threshold
exposure level below which no significant adverse health effects are anticipated.

26

¹ On November 7, 2022, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) determined that the San Francisco Bay Area attained the 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the attainment date, suspending certain requirements. However, the area remains designated as nonattainment until the Air District submits and EPA approves a

28 redesignation request and other requirements including a maintenance plan. Given interannual variations in ozone levels and increasing temperatures and other changing weather patterns, the area could violate the NAAQS in future years.

31. Valero's failure to route its Hydrogen Compressor Unit PRDs to required controls has 1 resulted in substantial harm to the Bay Area's air quality and the health of its residents when those PRDs 2 have experienced unabated releases. These releases have inarguably degraded the region's air quality and 3 increased the likelihood that Bay Area residents suffer from asthma, respiratory inflammation and 4 increased respiratory infections, lung disease aggravations, and the various other health impacts. This has 5 all occurred, and is likely to continue to occur, because of Valero's knowing failure to abate the emissions 6 from the PRDs in violation of Rule 304.2. Despite Valero's knowledge that these rule violations continue, 7 it has yet to take any corrective action. 8

9

GOOD CAUSE TO ISSUE ORDER OF ABATEMENT

32. Valero is operating the subject PRDs in violation of Rule 304.2 due to its failure to route
those PRDs to abatement following their second release event within a five-year period. That duty arose
at various points between 2011 and 2015, but Valero has failed to take the required action to prevent the
resulting harmful organic compound emissions for any of the PRDs.

33. Valero will remain in violation of Rule 304.2 as long as it continues to operate the PRDs
without routing them to a vapor recovery or disposal system with at least 95 percent by weight organic
compounds control efficiency. The APCO seeks, through this Accusation, an Order for Abatement
directing Valero to cease operations at the Hydrogen Compressor Unit until such time as it routes the
PRDs to the required controls.

34. 19 It is not unreasonable to require Valero to implement such measures immediately or as soon as practically possible. Valero realizes an enormous economic benefit through operating the Benicia 20Refinery, and it is not unreasonable to expect the company to spend the time and resources necessary to 21 ensure that it will comply with the law and prevent the illegal air pollution that it emits every time its 22 uncontrolled PRDs experience releases. Moreover, fixing this problem will have a substantial 23 corresponding benefit in air quality by reducing or eliminating these unabated organic compound 24 emissions, which have caused and are likely to continue to cause harm to the environment and the public 25 health and welfare. Furthermore, other refineries within the Air District's jurisdiction are complying with 26 the requirements of Regulation 8-28-304.2; it is not unreasonable to expect Valero to do the same. 27 Allowing Valero to persist in its continuing extensive non-compliance provides an unjustified advantage 28

- 8 -

over those facilities that have expended the time, effort, and expense associated with regulatory
 compliance.

3 35. The issuance of an Order for Abatement upon a noticed hearing would not constitute a
4 taking of property without due process of law.

36. The issuance of an Order for Abatement is not expected to result in the closing or
elimination of an otherwise lawful business but, if it does result in a closure or elimination, it would not
be without a corresponding benefit in reducing air contaminants.

8

37. The requested Order for Abatement is not intended to act as a variance.

38. The APCO therefore submits that the requirements for issuance of an Order for Abatement
under Health and Safety Code sections 42451(a) and 42452 are satisfied and that such an Order is
appropriate under the circumstances.

12

13

REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

WHEREFORE, the APCO respectfully requests as follows:

That this Hearing Board issue an Order for Abatement prohibiting Respondent from
 operating the Hydrogen Compressor Unit unless and until the eight PRDs identified herein are routed to a
 vapor recovery or disposal system with at least 95 percent by weight organic compounds control
 efficiency and full compliance with Rule 304.2 is achieved.

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. For such other and further relief as the Hearing Board deems just and proper.

20 Dated: August 10, 2023

ALEXANDER G. CROCKETT District Counsel SOMERSET PERRY Assistant Counsel

Attorneys for PHILIP M. FINE Executive Officer/APCO BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

owner By:

SOMERSET PERRY Assistant Counsel

1	ALEXANDER G. CROCKETT (SBN 193910)				
2	District Counsel SOMERSET PERRY (SBN 293316)				
3	Assistant Counsel BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT 375 Beale Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 749-4920 Facsimile: (415) 749-5103 E-Mail Address: sperry@baaqmd.gov Counsel for Complainant AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT				
4					
5					
6 7					
8					
9	BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE				
10	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT				
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	In the Matter of the)) Docket No. 3743			
13	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
14	DISTRICT)			
15	Complainant,	ý)			
16	VS.)			
17	VALERO REFINING COMPANY -) CALIFORNIA)				
18	Respondent.)			
	19 Re: Valero Refining Company - California, 20 Facility No. B2626				
20					
21	I, Magnolia Vinluan-Chan, declare as follows:				
23	I am over the age of 18, not a party to this action and am employed in the City and County of San				
24	Francisco at 375 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.				
25	I served the following documents to the addressees listed below at the addresses specified:				
26	ACCUSATION OF VIOLATION OF REGULATION 2, RULE 1, SECTION 302,				
27	AND REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR				
28	• STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT				
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				

1		NOTICE OF DEFENSE		
2	•	CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5 – 11507.7		
3		By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope to be sent by Certified,		
4	Return Receipt mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at El Sobrante, California addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.			
5 6		By causing the above documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses(es) set forth below. (<i>Emailed on August 10, 2023.</i>)		
7	On August 14, 2023, I served the above-listed documents to the addressees at the addresses			
8	listed below:			
9		Parker Wilson Rachel Comeskey		
10		Vice President of Environmental, Safety and Regulatory Affairs LawCounsel The Valero Companies		
11		The Valero Companies One Valero Way		
12		One Valero WaySan Antonio, TX 78249San Antonio, TX 78249Email: rachel.comeskey@valero.com		
13		Email: parker.wilson@valero.com		
14		Julie Cress		
15		Baker Botts LLP 101 California Street, Suite 3200		
16		San Francisco, CA 94111 Counsel for Valero Refining Company –		
17		California Email: julie.cress@bakerbotts.com		
18		Jane. Jane. Jourge and		
19		I am readily familiar with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's practice of		
20	collection and	processing of the mail. Under that practice, the mail would be deposited with the U.S.		
21	Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.			
22				
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.			
24				
25				
26	Magnolia Vinluan-Chan			
27	inginging i mindan Gran			
28				
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			