Bay Area Air Quality Management District 939 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109 (415) 749-5000

#### APPROVED MINUTES

Advisory Council Regular Meeting 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, July 8, 2009

## **CALL TO ORDER**

**Opening Comment:** Chairperson Brazil called the meeting to order at 9:08 a.m.

Roll Call: Chairperson Harold Brazil; Vice Chairperson Jeffrey Bramlett;

Secretary Ken Blonski; Council Members, Jennifer Bard, Louise Wells Bedsworth, Ph.D., Benjamin Bolles, Emily Drennen, MPA, Stan Hayes, John Holtzclaw, Ph.D., Robert Huang, Ph.D., Kraig Kurucz, M.S., Sara Martin-Anderson, M.P.P., Kendal Oku, Neal Osborne,

Jonathan Ruel, Dorothy Vura-Weis, M.D., M.P.H.

**Absent:** Robert Bornstein, Ph.D., Rosanna Lerma, Karen Licavoli-Farnkopf,

Jane Martin, Dr.P.H.

Deputy APCO Jean Roggenkamp introduced the Air District's new Manager of Executive Operations, Jennifer Chicconi. Advisory Council member Jennifer Bard introduced Sharlene Kraner, an Oakland High School Junior currently interning with the Lung Association.

**Public Comment Period**: There were no public comments.

## **Consent Calendar**:

#### 1. Approval of Minutes of the May 13, 2009 Advisory Council Meeting

Ms. Bard requested minor amendments to the minutes, as follows:

- Page 2, under Highlights of Presentation, delete the words, "...in 2002".
- Page 2, third bullet, replace "AB 375" with "SB 375".

**Council Action:** Member Holtzclaw made a motion to approve the minutes of May 13, 2009, as amended; Member Drennen seconded the motion; unanimously carried without objection.

2. Discussion of Draft Report on the Advisory Council's May 13, 2009 Meeting on California's 2050 GHG emission reduction target of 80% below 1990 levels – transportation sector.

Chairperson Brazil thanked the subcommittee of Advisory Council members who prepared the draft report; Stan Hayes, John Holtzclaw and Emily Drennen.

Mr. Hayes distributed a handout on "Emerging Issues" for the draft report, and Ms. Drennen distributed a document entitled, "Other transportation-related recommendations."

Advisory Council members recognized the work of the Subcommittee and provided the following comments and suggestions regarding the Draft Report:

## Council Member Discussion/Comments:

#### **Kev Point: #1:**

Dr. Vura-Weis questioned areas in the report where numbers of percentage reductions and different years they relate to is referenced, which she believed was confusing. She suggested adding to the end of the sentence: "This means a 9% reduction from 2004 levels by 2020 and an 82% reduction by 2050."

Mr. Ruel supported the "business-as-usual" comment in Key Point #1, and he and Dr. Bedsworth both suggested a table be used for different years, tons and percentages for 1990, 2004, 2050, what it would measure, what business-as-usual would be, and what the target is. Members agreed with adding a graph and interpretive language to reference the graph under Key Point #1. Dr. Bedsworth agreed to provide an Excel chart for the Final Report showing business-as-usual, reductions and percentages relative to certain points in time, which shows a dramatic need to reduce.

#### **Key Points #2 - #6:**

Mr. Hayes discussed Key Points #2 and #3, stating that in order to achieve the 80% reduction envisioned in AB 32 and there must be a major transformation of the transportation sector, transforming fuels, vehicles, and dramatic changes in technology and mobility. While there have been vehicle fuel efficiencies, there has been no corresponding reduction in miles per gallon performance of vehicles.

Mr. Hayes reviewed Key Points # 4 and #5, stating he was struck at how daunting the GHG gap was between currently identified measures in the 2050 target.

Regarding Key Point #6, Mr. Hayes thinks it is the mobility as the "stool leg" that is most amenable to local control, and local governments can affect this process. He then provided a brief explanation regarding Key Point #6 a, b, c, and d.

Vice Chairperson Bramlett referred to Key Points #1 through #6, stating there are a lot of tools and things we can do already, but they are insufficient in our everyday approach and suggested adding stronger language. In comparing Key Point #1 to #2, he asked that percentages be checked. Members agreed to do so once the Excel chart is added.

Mr. Ruel referred to the gap between current measures and the 2050 target and suggested taking Key Point #5 and move it up to be Key Points # 1 or #2.

#### **Key Point #7:**

Mr. Hayes said Key Point #7 talks about the local transportation planning's effect on GHG reductions from the transportation sector, which was difficult to write. He questioned whether or not to initially include Steve Heminger's slide on the affect of various local policy options on GHG reductions by

2035. Sobering was the amount of GHG emission reduction from the transportation sector in the Bay Area which is virtually all due to measures adopted by the ARB. He believed that the amount of affect that variations have in local policy is limited, which is also an important message which should be conveyed to the Board of Directors. The Advisory Council heard about what MTC's T2035 plan envisions and there are a number of reasons why it is there is such a large funding gap between what we would like to do and what we are able to do which are outlined as Key Points #7 a, b, and c.

Mr. Hayes noted that Mr. Heminger indicated it is also more maintenance and repair of the existing transportation systems than it is about construction of new freeways that represent the largest expenditure of funds.

Dr. Vura-Weis referred to Key Point #7 b and mention of an expectation of tripling of freight volumes by 2035, and she asked for an explanation to be provided. Mr. Hayes noted this was from the MTC presentation, but it could be removed. Kraig Kurucz said the comment seemed to be tied in the local population growth section, and Mr. Hayes agreed to follow-up on the reference.

Dr. Vura-Weis said Key Point #9 is important and covers two different opportunities for the Air District: 1) public education and helping to change public attitudes; and 2) providing guidance to cities on how they make plans. She questioned whether there would be a way to separate those as two separate points. Mr. Hayes said the Recommendations section spells out what those mean and it dove tails the Recommendations back to this section. He noted that the guidance portion is contained in Recommendation #2.

Dr. Vura-Weis suggested changing the title of the Key Point #9 to, "Major needs and opportunities for the Air District exist in public education and assistance to cities" so it is clear what is contained in the section. Mr. Hayes said he did not want to limit it and noted that those opportunities would be identified and addressed in the Recommendations section.

Ms. Drennen suggested splitting the paragraph into two to make those points stick out more. Mr. Hayes suggested completing Key Point #9's paragraph at: "While posing major challenges, this also presents major opportunities for the District. Then, make the next point out as "a", start "b" with "There is a need for continued District assistance and guidance,".

#### **Key Point #8:**

Mr. Hayes said further improvements in mobility (and resulting reductions in VMT) are possible, and he discussed examples of good urban planning, more walkable communities, compact development, TOD and parking reforms.

Mr. Bramlett said Key Point #8's title suggests that improvements in mobility will result in reductions in VMT but someone with another set of values may not agree with this. He suggested saying we are looking for reductions in VMT from that change in mobility and not what we expect the result to be, because it may not. Similarly, in the last sentence, Mr. Bramlett asked to list the actual concepts or programs rather than the locality, i.e., (such as those in San Francisco).

#### **Kev Point #9:**

Mr. Hayes said there appears to be major needs and opportunities for the Air District and the subcommittee tried to summarize what they heard from the speakers about the things that the District can do. Primarily, they involve the District's role in climate protection, co-benefits between GHG

reduction and air quality improvement, need for assistance and guidance of technical areas in support of climate protection efforts, like GHG inventories and integration and guidance of SB 375 implementation.

Dr. Bedsworth said AB 32 does not contain the 2050 goal but rather the 2020 goal. She said the 2050 goal is in the Executive Order and there are several areas that refer to AB32's 2050 goal which should be changed because it is not codified into law. She believed the freight volumes question relates to goods movement, the Port of Oakland and population growth, and this is the reason for the increase.

Dr. Bedsworth also referred to Key Point #7; MTC plan showing "limited effect from the transportation sector" and she cautioned the Council about being too pessimistic about it in presenting it. There needs to be fundamental and planning paradigm changes, and she suggested talking about current constraints, but would hesitate in being too pessimistic about the role that transportation planning can play.

Mr. Hayes agreed and said he did not want to discourage action by saying the target is impossible; there was some sensitivity analysis that looked at different levels of policy changes which were pretty aggressive, but it did not seem to move the graph line in the chart presented too much. He felt the Board of Directors should understand there is a lot to be done, but additional local land use policies are not the "silver bullet" and will not change the fundamental slope of the graph line.

Chairperson Brazil believed significant movement in the graph line and a pricing scenario policy was needed, which should be the type of message that should go to the Board of Directors.

Dr. Bedsworth said there are no "silver bullets" that are going to address transportation; all three pieces are needed and she asked not to downplay the results (or graph lines) of the T2035 objective.

Dr. Huang referred to page 5 of the PowerPoint slide from Mr. Heminger's presentation regarding telecommuting, which was not reflected in the Draft Report. It indicates telecommuting needs to go from 3% to 10% market share, as well as other parking strategies like parking cash-out. He suggested including this in the summary or in the recommendations. He shared sentiments about not doing enough and questioned if anyone read Thomas Friedman's book, "Hot, Flat and Crowded: Why We Need a Green Revolution--and How It Can Renew America." The author discusses examples of why Europe was able to reduce gas consumption through increasing the gasoline tax and utilizing funds into other areas. He questioned whether MTC or the Air District could do something like this and wanted to express this as a possibility.

Ms. Drennen said she recently heard Enrique Peñalosa Londoño speak, who is a Columbian politician and former Mayor of Bogota. In three years he was able to tax gasoline and prioritize infrastructure investment for people over cars. She believed there is a possibility to make fundamental changes and it takes a lot of political will.

Mr. Blonski referred to Key Point #7 b and the statement of a population of 2 million or more people, 1.8 million new jobs, and the need for 700,000 new homes. He thinks the District should encourage carrying capacity and the amount of growth as a direct effect on infrastructure and how much infrastructure is needed. He said it seems this is an important variable in all materials being looked at.

Statistically, it would be interesting to see what would need to take place at varying population increases and the cost of those increases.

Mr. Blonski also asked to clarify in comments that transportation is reflected in the context with the whole number. Because of the references to so many numbers, he found it difficult to look at transportation just in context of all other sources of GHGs. Regarding land use planning, as long as local jurisdictions are able to receive income from land use and unless some policies are looked at, it will be difficult to change lifestyles and bring about change.

Chairperson Brazil referred to Key Point #7 b and noted that MTC has access to updated socioeconomic data from ABAG. The numbers presented are already outdated; Projections was based on pre-recession information and they are now seeing numbers much lower.

Mr. Hayes thinks there is always a balance in the Key Points section to state what the speaker said and not to imply that the subcommittee has necessarily evaluated information themselves. He suggested replacing wording in Key Point #7 b to indicate that "MTC projects that the Bay Area will have ..."

Dr. Vura Weis suggested including a comment that, even though growth does not continue at these predicted rates, we still need to address the issues with the same energy and creativity as if they were to continue at predicted rates.

Dr. Holtzclaw referred to Mayor Peñalosa's talk, noting that he was not only the Mayor of a third world city, but a very poor one. He faced opposition about changing the auto and road use, as the land is divided up into private spaces and streets, which are controlled by those who have cars, and biking is fairly dangerous. The public spaces are sidewalks and parks. Cars used to park in public spaces all the time. The Mayor incrementally took streets, widened sidewalks, increased gas taxes on cars, took away parking, and Dr. Holtzclaw believed that this is the kind of planning transformation needed. While it will be hard to inspire, this is the task—for elected officials and the public to see there is another way of doing it, and agreed to draft a report on Mayor Penalosa's talk to be used as a footnote.

Mr. Kurucz referred to Key Point #3 and said in the "three-legged stool" comment about transforming fuels, vehicles and VMT, it seems like the efficiency of present vehicles is short-term but probably overwhelmed in the long-term by the change to entirely new fuels. He questioned how speakers inter-related their comments about vehicles versus fuels in the long term and thinks people might be willing to change VMT if they have a cleaner car.

Mr. Hayes said they all inter-react, particularly the vehicle and their fuel systems. He liked the "three-legged stool" analogy because it was easy to remember, it made sense to pass this along to the Board, but questioned the characterizations of "easiest", hard and hardest, as he was not sure if this gave the wrong impression to the reader.

Dr. Bedsworth referred to Key Point #7 and suggested an amendment to the first sentence: "The <u>current</u> ability of local transportation planning to effect additional GHG reductions..." She suggested adding a colon after the word "factors" at the end of the paragraph; "This is due to a variety of factors: (and list out a, b, and c as stated)." Mr. Hayes questioned if this would imply that it is the current ability of planners to deal with the issue, or are there constraints they are stuck with. Dr.

Bedsworth believed that a, b and c are the constraints and not the ability or willingness of people. She thinks that the fact that 81% of our money must go to maintaining or operating the current system seems like a hard constraint to vastly improve the efficiency of public transit.

Ms. Drennen believed some percent of MTC 2035 funding is open for negotiation, but noted there is a large portion that was voter-approved and can only be used for specific purposes. She suggested adding this statement as point "d".

Ms. Bard suggested rewording the beginning of Key Point #7 to a positive rather than a negative in order to present the case for what needs to be done on scales, speed and scope to reach very aggressive GHG targets, and suggested starting the point: "MTC should develop a strong sustainable communities strategy containing all necessary policies on the scale, speed and scope required to reach our GHG goals." She said this will capture more of the urgency and need to do far more of what we are doing, as well as recognize constraints that follow afterwards.

Mr. Ruel suggested the second sentence indicate: "The *current* ability of local transportation planning to effect additional GHG reductions from the transportation sector beyond those resulting from ARB-adopted measures will require a strong sustainable strategy containing all of these necessary policies beyond what is required in SB 375."

Ms. Bard referred to Key Point #9 and asked to see recognition of the Air District's role in advocating and working with local governments to set a strong regional GHG reduction target to help drive local policies. She noted that AB 32 has a 5 million metric ton reduction for transportation, which was based on one study. However, Growing Cooler indicates reductions of up to 11-14 million metric tons as being possible. Therefore, she asked for the Air District's role to support a much stronger target for reducing GHGs through the JPC and in working with local governments and asked to add "c". The subcommittee supported adding item c, and to wordsmith final language:

"c. There is research to support much higher GHG reduction targets and reductions are possible (11-14 million metric tons). The Air District supports the strongest regional GHG targets to support local policies to be successful in reaching our GHG goals."

Ms. Roggenkamp agreed there are dramatic things that will need to happen in order to make a difference in GHG reductions. The JPC is working jointly to help agencies who have the primary responsibility for implementing SB 375. However, no one knows what SB 375 will take to implement in terms of setting targets and getting regional agencies to work together. So, saying things like "we should go beyond what is or will require" is pushing too far what is not known yet. She said the District will participate in the SB 375 process and will be working with partners, cities and counties.

Mr. Hayes said the ARB is supposed to set the regional targets and he was not sure what the District's role is. Ms. Bard said regional stakeholders will have input into those target in a year-long process through the Regional Target Advisory Committee to identify methodologies to establish what the targets will be. The Air District, through the JPC, local governments and the public, can request the strongest possible reduction targets to be successful, which she also thought would help drive policy, as well.

Ms. Roggenkamp added that SB 375 even includes within it a possibility for regions to suggest targets for ARB, which may be stronger than what ARB may arrive at.

Mr. Hayes then discussed the outlined provided to Council members and staff on Emerging Issues, and asked for feedback.

Ms. Martin suggested moving up the third bullet regarding "multi-pollutant planning" to be the first bullet. Dr. Holtzclaw suggested amending the last bullet point, changing "educating" to "informing". Mr. Kurucz questioned if the technology gap in development and adoption of the new technologies in vehicles would qualify as an emerging issue, and Ms. Drennen pointed out that this was included in the second bullet point.

Ms. Bard suggested there be a bullet which recognizes the need for regional planning. The Council briefly discussed the funding gap and the planning process, and members agreed to add the following bullet:

## "Need for a regional planning and funding revolution, recognizing a large technology and funding gap"

Ms. Drennen asked to add a bullet regarding parking and the transportation pricing schemes as emerging issues, as follows:

## "Exploration on the role of pricing policies to reduce GHG emissions"

Chairperson Brazil suggested there be recognition that a funding revolution is needed, as there are shortfalls in transportation.

Mr. Bolles suggested adding the following bullet:

## "Prioritize investment in people over cars"

Mr. Blonski referred to Emerging Issues and requested replacing the previous suggestion made by Dr. Holtzclaw (6<sup>th</sup> bullet) of "informing" the public about air quality and climate protection, stating the next three bullets are different strategies about changing behavior. He believed it was one thing to inform the public but something else to get them to change their behavior. He suggested increasing the public's knowledge, believing the District would want to weigh in on strategies to consider changing those behaviors.

Dr. Vura-Weis suggested not only increasing public knowledge/awareness but also motivation, and she asked to change the 6<sup>th</sup> bullet under Emerging Issues to:

# "District's role in and best techniques for increasing public awareness and concern about air quality and climate protection"

Dr. Holtzclaw and Ms. Drennen suggested that a "d" be added under #3 in Key Points to convey the idea that what seems impossible can become a reality in moving forward, recognizing the discussion regarding Mayor Peñalosa.

Mr. Bramlett suggested moving onto a discussion regarding Recommendations. While he likes the message he heard, he was somewhat uncomfortable including things only a few members have worked on when there is a process that establishes a record.

Council members discussed and agreed to the following amendments to emerging issues:

## EMERGING ISSUES:

- Multi-pollutant planning that integrates criteria pollutants, air toxics, and GHGs in development and implementation of air quality plans.
- Large "gap" between currently available measures and what will be needed to meet AB 32's 80% reduction target by 2050.
- Major transformation of transportation sector, including technology innovations to reduce carbon footprint.
- Interactions between air quality and climate protection measures, both synergistic and antagonistic.
- District's role in implementation of SB 375 regional GHG targets.
- District's role in and best techniques for increasing public awareness and concern about air quality and climate protection.
- Need for a regional planning and funding revolution, recognizing a large technology and funding gap.
- Exploration on the role of pricing policies to reduce GHG emissions.
- Prioritize investment in people over cars.

Regarding recommendations, Mr. Hayes said he thinks the compiled list is specific as to what the District can legally do within its authority in the near-term, and there are some recommendations that are longer term. He then briefly restated the draft Recommendations into the record.

Ms. Drennen noted that the recommendations were mostly formed from the work of the Council's Air Quality Planning Committee. She and Dr. Holtzclaw believe that creating a HOT network will increase VMT and not decrease VMT, HOT lanes are a huge part of MTC's 2035 plan, and a significant percent of money is going into this network.

Chairperson Brazil noted that MTC's vision analysis was the precursor to the full RTP which was done, and it was done to develop scenarios to inform the MTC Commission to put the plan together. HOT lanes was one separate scenario, as well as land use policy changes, land use and pricing, but these could not be used as an actual project RTP, but they could with the investment strategies.

Mr. Hayes said he was not sure if Key Point #7 was within the District's purview and Advisory Council Members recommended rewording #7 to make it more general, or leave it as is. Ms. Roggenkamp discussed previous Advisory Council recommendations such as the smog check program, noting that the Board has a Legislative Committee that weighs in on legislative matters. In this case; however, the Air District works all the time with MTC. The JPC is also the regional coordinating agency, and coordinating functions of land use, transportation and air quality issues are discussed. She noted the District is updating its Clean Air Plan, and the Advisory Council could suggest that the District consider how things might be incorporated into transportation-related measures in the Clean Air Plan.

Mr. Kendall asked that Key Point #7 be reworded and Mr. Hayes agreed the recommendation be made more general after the first sentence of #7, stating the basic question is how to reduce regional VMT which needs more study. He also did not believe the Council could complete the Report at this meeting and suggested a second meeting be held.

Ms. Bard questioned if there could be a recommendation that MTC go back and relook at the allocation of funding and where projects can be reallocated. Ms. Roggenkamp noted this will not occur until another RTP is done four years from now, and Council Members acknowledged this process was now starting.

Ms. Bard then described her work with Sonoma County in reallocating certain projects for more pedestrian and bicycle projects. She asked that consideration for reallocation of projects be considered, if appropriate, in the RTP process.

Ms. Martin referred to Recommendation #3 and suggested adding accountability standards in terms of SB 375. Council Members supported the suggestion, and Mr. Hayes asked to check and ensure it was not identical or included within Recommendation #8:

## "d. Creating evaluation or accountability standards once GHG targets are adopted."

Ms. Roggenkamp suggested concluding the regular meeting so the subcommittee could meet. Council members all agreed that another meeting was needed to finalize the Report.

#### **ACTION**

## **Potential Change in Advisory Council Meetings Schedule**

**Advisory Council Action:** Mr. Hayes made a motion to schedule an Advisory Council discussion meeting on September 9, 2009; Dr. Vura-Weis seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Council members discussed the subcommittee's efforts and follow-up to the Final Report. Mr. Kendall suggested the subcommittee meet after the regular Advisory Council meeting to discuss the draft Report. Mr. Bunger clarified that per Brown Act requirements, up to 10 members would be able to meet as a subgroup.

Mr. Kendall recognized the complexity of topics and follow-up discussions. He said the Advisory Council will probably have 3 topic meetings this year, and the Air District may need to amend its Administrative Code slightly to limit the topic meetings to no more than 4 per year.

## AIR DISTRICT OVERVIEW

## Report of the Executive Officer/APCO

On behalf of Mr. Broadbent, Ms. Roggenkamp discussed the PowerPoint presentation which staff provided to the Board of Directors' Executive Committee meeting in May regarding the role and process of the Advisory Council. Discussed were types of recommendations that the Advisory Council had made based upon the first topic meeting, and staff are currently working on those recommendations and moving them into work programs.

She reported on the Summertime Spare the Air season, stating there have been 7 Spare the Air Alerts to date, 5 days each of exceedances of the federal and state 8-hour standard, and 4 days of exceedances of the state 1-hour standard. Spare the Air Everday's particular focus is on carpooling, with the tagline of "Any Ride is Worth Sharing."

Ms. Roggenkamp reported on the District's new website design, said the Board of Directors adopted its budget in June and it may need amendment depending upon the State's budget adoption. The District also hopes to have a Health Officer on board in another month or two.

#### **OTHER BUSINESS**

## **Council Member Comments/Other Business**

Chairperson Brazil questioned and confirmed with Ms. Roggenkamp there has been no specific direction from the U.S. EPA on lowering the federal standard. The Air District assumes it will be a non-attainment area for the PM2.5 standard; however, the designations have not yet been officially finalized by U.S. EPA.

Mr. Hayes thanked Gary Kendall and Jean Roggenkamp for their work with keeping him and the subcommittee on track.

Ms. Bard reported that the EPA is revising the  $NO_x$  standard, they are looking at near roadway levels, and she questioned what effect this would have. Mr. Kendall said staff is aware of this; there are a certain number of monitors required based on population, and the District will need to review those areas which are close to high traffic roadways.

Chairperson Brazil, Ms. Bard and Mr. Osborne reported on their attendance to the A&WMA Conference in Detroit, Michigan June 16-19, 2009.

**Time and Place of Next Meeting:** 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, September 9, 2009, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109

**Adjournment:** The meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

/s/ Lisa Harper Lisa Harper Clerk of the Boards