

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY Management District

AGENDA: 4

Bay Area Energy Projects

Stationary Source Committee April 21, 2014

Jeff McKay Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer





- Review of Relevant Emission Source Types
- Description of Emissions from Proposed Projects
- Status of each Project



BAY AREA ENERGY PROJECTS

- Valero Crude by Rail Project (Benicia)
- WesPac Crude Oil Terminal (Pittsburg)
- Kinder Morgan Rail Operation (Richmond)
- Phillips 66: Propane/Butane Recovery (Rodeo)
- Chevron Hydrogen and Sulfur Recovery Project



BAY AREA ENERGY PROJECTS



Transport by Railcar Sacramento, CA





Railcars and a truck transporting crude



FLARING AT PHILLIPS 66



Excess refinery fuel gas is one of the reasons for flaring.



Transport by Ship





EMISSIONS EXAMPLES

1. Fugitive Volatile Organic Compounds during transfer

2. Typical Bay Area refinery total Processing emissions

3. Ship Emissions associated with crude Transport





REFINERY EMISSIONS Average Bay Area Refinery : tons / year

Average throughput is 160,000 bbl/day

- Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) 900
- Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) 800
- Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) 500
- Greenhouse Gases (CO2e) 3 M



SHIP TRANSPORT EMISSIONS

Typical Marine Terminal tanker transit within Bay Area (single terminal)

	bbl / day	NOx (tpy)	SO2 (tpy)	VOC (tpy)	GHG (tpy)
Marine Terminal	50,000	105	4	4	8,000







KINDER MORGAN



Rail

22 tons per year NOx0.4 tons per year SO21.2 tons per year VOC

Permit Limit: 16,000 bbl / day 4.8 tons / yr Fugitive VOC





- Methane
- Propane
- Butane
- Trace H2S



PHILLIPS PROPANE BUTANE



CHEVRON

AIR QUALITY





Regional Emissions from Transportation of Crude Oil and Liquid Fuels - Select Bay Area Energy

Tons per year

	NOX	SOX	VOC	GHG	FUG
Valero	-58	-26	-3.5	-4000	2
Wespac	319	11	17	33000	0.2
KM	22	0.4	1.2		4.8
Phillips	10	-140	5	-160000	5
Chevron	93	58	32	1100000	10
	386	-96.6	51.7	969000	22

	NOX	SOX	VOC	GHG	FUG
Valero	-58	-26	-3.5	-4000	2
Wespac	319	11	17	33000	0.2
KM	22	0.4	1.2		4.8
Phillips	10	-140	5	-160000	5
Chevron					
	293	-154.6	19.7	-131000	12



Continuing Updates

• Updates to the Air District Board will continue on a regular basis



CRUDE by RAIL

- Generally displaces crude delivered by ship
- Domestic source often less expensive
- Relatively flexible source and destination



US CRUDE OIL PRODUCTION

Top Crude Oil Producing States



8%

- 3. North Dakota: 10%
- 4. California:

North Dakota Monthly Oil Production



Source: North Dakota Oil Production Reaches New High in 2012, U.S. Energy Information Administration, March 18, 2013.

Thousand barrels per day



FLASH POINT Highest to Lowest Flammability

	Gasoline	Baaken Crude	Typical Crude*
Flash Point	- 45 F	- 31 F	20 F

Crude properties vary by oil field and wells within a field (-40 to 32 F)

* Sweet Crude – Conoco Phillips MSDS
Other Canadian Crudes have a flashpoint of – 40 F.
North Slope Crude has a flash point of 25 F

Note: Gasoline is the most flammable



Rail Logistics – Other Uses

- Refiners use rail cars to routinely ship propane and seasonally send out and receive butane
- Rail cars are also used to deliver refinery feedstock such as gas oils and sulphuric acid for alkylation units
- More recently, California refiners have started using rail cars to import crude oil from Canada and domestic sources outside the state due to changing trends of increasing oil production and discounted prices





California Energy Commission



California Energy Commission



Crude Oil Sources – Bay Area Refineries

- Northern California refineries processed 642.2 thousand barrels per day of crude oil during 2012
 - 316.0 TBD foreign marine imports
 - 247.8 TBD pipeline shipments
 - 77.8 TBD ANS marine imports
 - 0.6 TBD rail imports
- Bay Area refineries processed 39.5 percent of total crude oil
- Increased rail-by-crude likely to back out marine receipts of similar quality
- Rail capability increases flexibility to enhance supply options & reduces risk of crude oil receipt curtailment



Source: Plains All American



California Crude-by-Rail Imports Grow

350,000 300,000 250,000 Volume (barrek) 200,000 150,000 100,000 50,000 Oct Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Nov Dec

2012 Monthly Crude Oil Imports by Rail



2013 Monthly Crude Oil Imports by Rail

Sources: PIIRA data, Energy Commission analysis

Sources: PIIRA data, Energy Commission analysis

Expectation that additional rail import projects will increase deliveries

AGENDA: 5

Update on Petroleum Refining Emissions Tracking Rule

Board of Directors Stationary Source Committee April 21, 2014

Brian Bateman Health & Science Officer

BAY AREA Air Quality Management

DISTRICT

Rule Development Process Milestones

- Oct. 2012: "Work Plan for Action Items Related to Accidental Releases from Industrial Facilities" adopted
- Mar. 2013: Workshop report and initial draft rule issued
- Apr. 2013: Public workshops held (Martinez, Richmond, District office webcast)
- May 2013: Stationary Source Committee briefing
- Jul. 2013: Desert Research Institute (DRI) report on air monitoring finalized
- Jul. 2013: Expert Panel on air monitoring convened webcast
- Sep. 2013: Draft refinery emissions inventory guidelines issued
- Sep. 2013: Stakeholder Technical Work Group meeting
- Jan. 2014: Revised draft rule and preliminary responses to comments issued
- Jan. 2014: Stakeholder Technical Work Group meeting
- Feb. 2014: Stationary Source Committee briefing
- May 2013 Apr. 2014: Additional meetings with stakeholders held
- Apr. 2014: Stationary Source Committee briefing
- May. 2014: Stakeholder Technical Work Group meeting scheduled
- Oct. 2014: Anticipated timeframe for Board consideration of adoption

Summary of Initial Draft Petroleum Refinery Emissions Tracking Rule

- Tracking component
 - Enhanced emissions inventory methodologies
 - Upgraded fence-line air monitoring systems
 - New community air monitoring systems
 - Process for public review and comment
- Control component
 - If annual emissions increase above baseline levels by more than specified trigger-levels, require refinery to develop and implement Emission Reduction Plan that includes feasible air emission reduction measures

Summary of Public Comments

- Industry comments
 - Setting an emissions baseline imposes an arbitrary cap
 - Emission Reduction Plans
 - Too much uncertainty regarding what specific emission reduction measures may be required
 - Can't adequately evaluate impacts of potential emission reduction measures prior to rule adoption
- Environmental / Labor comments
 - Emissions baseline should reflect current refinery conditions
 - Don't allow use of Emissions Reduction Credits
 - Need more proactive approach
 - Track crude oil quality any changes should trigger action
 - Up-front demonstration that no increase in emissions would occur

Potential Revisions Being Considered

- Focus rule on tracking component
 - Establish Total Refinery Emissions Profile based on current refinery conditions and improved emissions inventory methodologies
 - High quality tracking data will inform staff of specific additional regulatory measures that may need to be developed
 - Allows cost effectiveness, and socioeconomic and environmental impacts, of required emission controls to be fully identified and considered prior to rule adoption
 - Add "up-front" tracking of crude oil quality
 - Allows staff to analyze whether any observed emissions increases are associated with changing crude slates



- Continue discussions with stakeholders
- Finalize emissions inventory and air monitoring guidelines
- Hold another set of Public Workshops
- Complete analysis of socioeconomic and environmental impacts
- Complete staff report
- Hold public hearing for consideration of adoption