

Bay Area Air Quality

MANAGEMENT

DISTRICT

#### Updated: August 23, 2017

#### Request for Proposals# 2017-007

Financial and Compliance Audits

| SECTION I – SUMMARY                                 | 1 |
|---|---|
| SECTION II – BACKGROUND                             |   |
| SECTION III – INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS               |   |
| SECTION IV - SCOPE OF WORK                          |   |
| SECTION V - PROPOSAL, FORMAT, CONTENT AND SUBMITTAL |   |
| SECTION VI – PROPOSAL EVALUATION                    |   |
| SECTION VII – SCHEDULE                              |   |
| SECTION VIII – SAMPLE CONTRACT                      |   |

#### **SECTION I – SUMMARY**

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District ("Air District") requests proposals from qualified independent certified public accountants to conduct two audits: (i) a financial audit on the Air District's financial position, and (ii) a compliance audit of its Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) program. The Air District will be accepting proposals from qualified independent certified public accounting firms with demonstrated experience with governmental audits and licensed to practice in the State of California. In addition, the firm must be familiar with the California Clean Air Act of 1988 and environmental regulations in general.

The Air District anticipates a three-year audit services agreement subject to an annual review by the Air District. The first audit period will be for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017 and ending June 30, 2018 with options to renew for annual audits for fiscal years ending June 30, 2019 and 2020. The first TFCA program compliance audit will cover projects closed out in fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, with options to renew for additional program compliance audits covering projects closed out in fiscal years ending June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2019. The Air District is interested in selecting one audit firm (contractor) to perform both the financial audit and compliance audit. Interested parties should submit one proposal for both audits. The proposal should include cost quotes for both audits as outlined in Attachment A: Audit Cost Proposal.

To respond to this RFP, an interested company should submit one (1) electronic copy (in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF file format) of its proposal to:

Cynthia Zhang, Purchasing Agent Bay Area Air Quality Management District 375 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 czhang@baagmd.gov

# Proposals must be received electronically at the Air District office by 4:00 PM on August 25, 2017. Late proposals will not be considered.

Proposals must address all information requested in this RFP. A proposal may add information not requested in this RFP, but the information should be in addition to, not instead of, the requested information and format. Minority business enterprises, women's business enterprises, and Certified Green Businesses are encouraged to submit proposals. Any questions regarding this RFP should be directed to Cynthia Zhang via email: czhang@baaqmd.gov.

All questions must be in written form and emailed directly to Cynthia Zhang, Purchasing Agent, and be received no later than 4:00 p.m. on August 10, 2017. All questions will be answered in writing and posted on the BAAQMD RFP webpage by 6:00 p.m. on August 17, 2017.

#### **Pre-Bid Conference:**

A pre-bid conference will be held on **August 3, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (PDT)** for the purpose of providing an outline of the RFP requirements and an opportunity for Q&A. The conference will be hosted at the Air District's office located at 375 Beale St. in San Francisco, CA. An <u>online webinar</u> is available to those unable to attend in-person. **Pre-registration for the webinar is required.** Attendance is encouraged, but not mandatory.

#### SECTION II - BACKGROUND

#### A. Air District Overview

The Air District is the regional governmental agency responsible for the control of air pollution from stationary sources in the Bay Area Air Basin, which encompasses the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, the western half of Solano, and the southern half of Sonoma. The Air District is governed by a twenty-four (24) member Board of Directors as established in California Health and Safety Code Sections 40220, et seq. The Board of Directors includes representatives from all the counties within the Air District's jurisdiction. The Executive Officer / Air Pollution Control Officer for the Air District is Jack Broadbent.

The Air District's fund structure includes the General Fund and one Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund contains subprograms that account for specific Air District activities. The Air District has no proprietary or fiduciary funds. The Air District's financial statements are currently being audited by Gilbert & Associates LLP, Certified Public Accountants. We are soliciting proposals at this time as per Air District policy. The Air District uses J.D. Edwards software to process and account for its financial activity.

The Air District is also the program administrator for Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) funds derived from Assembly Bill 434 (AB434). TFCA funding comes from a \$4 surcharge on motor vehicles registered within the Air District's jurisdiction. TFCA funding may be used only to fund eligible projects that reduce motor vehicle emissions and support the implementation of the transportation and mobile source control measures in the Air District's Clean Air Plans. All projects must fall within the categories listed in California Health and Safety Code Section 44241.

California Health and Safety Code Section 44242 requires that any agency receiving fee revenues from the Air District shall be subject, at least once every two years, to an audit of each funded program or project. The audit is to be conducted by an independent auditor selected by the Air District in accordance with Division 2 (commencing with Section 1100) of the California Public Contract Code. The audit results shall be made available to the public and to each of the audited project sponsors. If, after reviewing the audit results, the Air District determines that any TFCA revenues have been spent inappropriately, the Air District shall provide an adequate opportunity for explanation by the affected project sponsor, including a public hearing.

#### SECTION III – INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

#### A. General

- All proposals must be made in accordance with the conditions of this RFP. Failure to address any of the requirements is grounds for rejection of this proposal.
- 2. All information should be complete, specific, and as concise as possible.
- 3. Proposals should include any additional information that the respondent deems pertinent to the understanding and evaluation of the bid.
- 4. The Air District may modify the RFP or issue supplementary information or guidelines during the proposal preparation period prior to the due date. Please check our <u>website</u> for updates prior to the due date.
- 5. Proposals shall constitute firm offers. Once submitted, proposals cannot be altered without the written consent of the Air District, but proposals may be withdrawn.
- 6. The Air District reserves the right to reject any and all proposals.
- 7. All bidders are encouraged to attend the pre-bid conference and to submit questions in advance of the due date. All questions must be in written form or emailed directly to Cynthia Zhang, Purchasing Agent, and arrive no later than 4:00 p.m. on August 10, 2017. All questions will be answered in writing and posted on the BAAQMD RFP webpage by 6:00 p.m. on August 17, 2017. See Section VII for Schedule.
- 8. The cost for developing the proposal is the responsibility of the bidder, and shall not be chargeable to the Air District.

#### B. Submittal of Proposal

All proposals must be submitted according to the specifications set forth in Section V – Contents of Proposal, and this section. Failure to adhere to these specifications may be cause for the rejection of the proposal.

1. Due Date – All proposals are due no later than **4:00 p.m., August 25, 2017,** and should be submitted electronically to:

Cynthia Zhang, Purchasing Agent Bay Area Air Quality Management District 375 Beale Street, Suite 600; San Francisco, CA 94105 czhang@baagmd.gov

- 2. Proposals received after the time and date provided previously specified will not be considered.
- 3. Signature All proposals should be signed by an authorized representative of the bidder.
- 4. Submittal Submit one (1) electronic copy (in Microsoft Office or Adobe Acrobat PDF file format). Electronic submissions submitted via email will be acknowledged with a return email. Late proposals will not be accepted. Any correction or re-submission of proposals will not extend the submittal due date.
- 5. Grounds for Rejection A proposal may be immediately rejected at any time if it arrives after the deadline, is not in the prescribed format, or is not signed by an individual authorized to represent the firm.
- 6. Disposition of the Proposals All responses to this RFP become property of the Air District and will be kept confidential until a recommendation for award of a contract has been announced. Thereafter, submittals are subject to public inspection and disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If a respondent believes that any portion of its submittal is exempt from public disclosure, it may mark that portion "confidential." The Air District will use reasonable means to ensure that such confidential information is safeguarded, but will not be held liable for inadvertent disclosure of the information. Proposals marked "confidential" in their entirety will not be honored, and the Air District will not deny public disclosure of any portion of submittals so marked.

By submitting a proposal with portions marked "confidential," a respondent represents it has a good faith belief that such portions are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and agrees to reimburse the Air District for, and to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the Air District, its officers, employees, and agents, from and against any and all claims, damages, losses, liabilities, suits, judgments, fines, penalties, costs, and expenses, including without limitation, attorneys' fees, expenses, and court costs of any nature whatsoever, arising from or relating to the Air

District's non-disclosure of any such designated portions of a proposal. The Air District will withhold or disclose documents, including portions of proposals marked confidential, as required under the California Public Records Act, as determined by the Air District in its sole discretion.

7. Modification – Once submitted, proposals, including the composition of the contracting team, cannot be altered without prior written consent of the Air District. All proposals shall constitute firm offers valid for ninety (90) days from the August 25, 2017, due date.

#### C. Interviews

- 1. The Air District, at its option, may interview bidders. The interviews will be for the purpose of clarifying the proposals.
- 2. Submittal of new proposal material at an interview will not be permitted, unless it is specifically requested by the Air District.
- 3. Interviews may involve a presentation and/or a question-and-answer session.

#### SECTION IV – SCOPE OF WORK

The selected audit firm will be required to perform both the financial statement audit and the TFCA compliance audit. The selected audit firm will provide the following services as described below for both audits: (Note: this may not be a complete list of all services required to complete both audits (Parts A and B). The contractor is expected to provide a more detailed scope of work with their RFP.):

#### A. Annual Financial Audit:

- Perform an audit in accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards as set forth by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and in accordance with "Minimum Audit Requirements and Reporting Guidelines for California Special Districts" as required by the State Controller's office.
- Express an opinion on the financial statements as to whether they present fairly, in all material aspects, the financial position of the District and the changes in financial position and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and issue an independent auditors' report stating this opinion.
- 3. Test internal controls over financial reporting and on compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and those issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and issue an independent auditors' report on their consideration.
- 4. Conduct an exit conference with the District staff.
- 5. Communicate in a letter to management any reportable conditions found

during the audit. A reportable condition shall be defined as a significant deficiency in the design or operation of the internal control structure, which could adversely affect the organization's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

- 6. If required, prepare a Single Audit report.
- 7. Prepare a report to the Board of Directors which identifies significant audit findings, difficulties encountered in performing the audit, any corrected and uncorrected misstatements, disagreements with management, management representations, control deficiencies, significant deficiencies and material weakness, if any, and recommendations for improvements in accounting and administrative controls.
- 8. Present and discuss the results of the audit and the annual financial statements to the Budget and Finance Committee and to the full Board of Directors, if necessary.
- 9. Provide general consultation as required during the year on financial accounting and reporting matters. The auditors may be asked to provide guidance on the implementation of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requirements and specifics of federal and state regulations as they may affect District accounting, and may be asked to assist with the implementation of new pronouncements.
- 10. The selected firm will issue and deliver the following:
  - a. Preliminary draft reports in accordance with the following production schedule:
    - Preliminary draft audit reports on the basic financial statements, the Single Audit, and the Management Letter due by November of each year.
  - b. Final audit reports on the basic financial statements, the Single Audit, and the Management Letter due in December of each year, to be presented at the Budget & Finance Committee meeting in January of each year.
- 11. Thirty (30) copies of the report on the basic financial statements and Single Audit by January of each year.

#### B. Annual TFCA Compliance Audit:

- The selected audit firm shall perform a compliance audit on each TFCAfunded project and program that was completed in the prior fiscal year, and prepare reports as described below in section 4. a-d. Attachment B provides a sample list of the projects to be audited under this RFP.
- 2. The purpose of the Compliance Audit is to determine whether TFCA

revenues awarded by the Air District were spent on the reduction of air pollution from motor vehicles, in accordance with the transportation control measures adopted pursuant to Sections 40233 and 40717 of the California Health and Safety Code, and to support projects as specified in Section 44241 of the same code.

The audits will also assess TFCA-funded Project Sponsors' compliance with contractual requirements.

The reports produced through the audit shall reflect a determination of the propriety of the expenditures incurred, not the degree of efficacy in reducing air pollution.

3. Audit guidelines and other requirements are described in sections a. – d. below.

#### a. Parts of the TFCA

The auditor will audit both parts of the TFCA—the TFCA Regional Fund and the TFCA County Program Manager Fund. Regional Fund projects are audited annually, and County Program Manager Fund projects are audited every other year. The County Program Manager Fund projects will be audited in the second year of the three-year period covered by the proposal.

#### b. Estimated Number of Projects and Site Visits

Regional Fund: The estimated number of projects for the Regional Fund for each audit period varies and ranges between 50 - 80 projects. Each audit requires a site visit to each project sponsor site, which is estimated at around 30 site visits, as some grantees have multiple projects. Most, if not all project sites are within the ninecounty Bay Area. The Air District will work with the selected audit firm and Project Sponsors to make arrangements for site visits located outside of the nine-county Bay Area if needed.

**County Program Manager Fund:** The estimated number of County Program Manager projects for each audit varies and ranges between 100-150 per cycle; with a site visit required for each of the nine Bay Area congestion management agencies (County Program Manager Project Sponsors).

#### c. Compliance Audit Requirements

Compliance Audit - The selected audit firm shall conduct compliance audits and shall prepare Individual Reports for each audited Project Sponsor on the recipient's compliance with the terms of the project funding agreement(s) and the provisions of the TFCA (California Health and Safety Code Sections 44220 through 44242).

The auditor shall also generate a single Summary Financial Report for each audited fund (Regional and County Program Manager) that compiles the findings and summarizes the conclusions from each Project Sponsor that was audited.

The test for compliance must emphasize the following requirements of the project funding agreement and the TFCA program:

- Testing expenditures for allowable costs and validating expenditures related to vendor disbursements, payroll, and if applicable, administrative charges.
- Verifying the expenditure of funds was within two years from the contract execution date, unless a longer period was approved in writing by the Air District.
- If applicable, determining whether administrative costs were adequately supported and did not exceed the authorized administrative limit of the TFCA revenues as referenced in the TFCA Funding agreement (5 or 6.25%).
- Determining whether terms of the funding agreement were adhered to, and specifically:
  - Project implementation deliverables;
  - Reporting requirements;
  - Use of the Air District's approved logo or acknowledgment of the Air District in printed or electronic materials for public distribution;
- Conducting interviews with project sponsors and report to the District any findings, including, alleged or suspected fraud related to the program.
- Verifying that the Sponsor used the TFCA funds for the reduction of emissions from motor vehicles as required by California Health and Safety Code section 40233 and 40717.

#### d. Other Areas of Concern

The selected audit firm shall itemize any other areas of concern with respect to the contractual, legal, administrative, or financial aspects of the grantee's implementation of the TFCA project, as such concerns may emerge during the course of the audit. The audit firm shall also provide recommendations for resolution of those concerns, to the extent that the firm has information or experience to do so.

- 4. If required, the selected audit firm shall prepare a report to the Board of Directors which identifies significant audit findings, difficulties encountered in performing the audit, identify any corrected and uncorrected misstatements, and recommendations for improvements in administrative controls.
- The selected audit firm will present and discuss the results of the compliance audit to the Mobile Source Committee and to the full Board of Directors, if necessary.

#### C. Air District Responsibilities

The Air District will assist the contractor by doing the following for the Financial Audit (Part A) and the Compliance Audit (Part B):

The following assistance will be provided for both Part A and B:

The Air District will provide required records, documentation and supporting information within its possession, as well as provide a listing of sponsors and contacts for awarded project funding as applicable.

The Air District will produce the audit confirmation letters that are mailed by the Air District.

The Air District will provide office space, desks, tables, chairs, and phones at the District's offices. The auditors will also be furnished access to facsimile machines, and photocopying machines.

The following assistance will be provided for Part A:

The Air District will prepare the final closing of the books, and provide balance sheets and statements of revenue and expenditures for all funds, as well as detailed subsidiary ledgers.

The Air District will review the preliminary draft of the financial statements, Single Audit, and Management Letter, and provide comments to the auditor within 5 business days of receipt from the auditor.

The following assistance will be provided for Part B:

The Air District will review the preliminary draft of each Individual Project Sponsor Audit Report and the Summary Financial Report(s), Management Letter, and provide comments to the auditor within 10 business days of receipt from the auditor.

The Air District will provide a list of the contact person(s) for each project to be audited or reviewed.

The Air District will work to ensure that the selected firm receives the cooperation from the Project Sponsors in the conduct of the audits.

#### SECTION V - PROPOSAL, FORMAT, CONTENT AND SUBMITTAL

#### A. Format of Technical Proposal

#### 1. Title page

- a. The RFP subject,
- b. The proposing firm's name,
- c. Contact person's name, address, telephone number, and email address. If the firm has more than one office, state which office will be responsible for providing services to the District, and
- d. The date of submission.

#### 2. Table of Contents

- a. Identification of material submitted, by section and page number,
- b. Where appropriate, cross reference to section and page number of RFP.

#### 3. Transmittal Letter

- a. General introduction stating the proposer's understanding of the services to be provided,
- b. A statement why the firm believes itself to be best qualified to perform the engagement for the Air District.
- c. A statement of how long the firm has been in business and how many financial audits were performed by the firm for public agencies and special districts during the past five (5) years,
- d. Name(s) of person(s) authorized to represent the proposer, title, address, telephone number, email address, and
- e. Signature.

#### 4. <u>Detailed Proposal Following the Order Set Forth in Section B below:</u>

#### B. Contents of Technical Proposal

The purpose of the Technical Proposal is to demonstrate the qualifications, competence, and capacity of the firms seeking to undertake the scope of services described in Section IV of the Air District in conformity with the requirements of this RFP. The Technical Proposal should demonstrate the qualifications of the firm and of the staff intended to be assigned to this engagement. It should also specify an audit approach that will meet the RFP requirements. Technical proposal must address both parts of the audits (Part A and B) specifying where there may be differences.

The Technical Proposal should address all the points in the order outlined in the RFP. The proposal should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of the proposer's capabilities to satisfy the requirements of this RFP. While additional data may be presented, the areas detailed below must be included. They represent the criteria against which the proposal will be evaluated.

#### 1. License to Practice in California

An affirmative statement should be included that the firm and all assigned key professional staff are properly licensed or supervised by certified public accountants in good standing duly authorized to practice in California.

#### 2. <u>Independence</u>

The firm should provide an affirmative statement that it is independent of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District as defined by generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards, Minimum Audit Requirements and Reporting Guidelines for Special Districts as required by the State Controller's Office, and those issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The firm should also list and describe the firm's professional relationships involving the Air District for the past five (5) years, together with a statement explaining why such relationships do not constitute a conflict of interest relative to performing the proposed audit.

#### 3. Firm Qualification and Experience

To qualify, the firm must have extensive experience in audits of local governments, preferably special districts, and reporting in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles, generally accepted auditing standards, Minimum Audit Requirements and Reporting Guidelines for Special Districts as required by the State Controller's Office, and Government Auditing Standards as issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The proposal should briefly introduce the firm, indicating whether the firm is local, regional, national, or international. State the size of the firm, the size of the firm's governmental audit staff, the location of the office from which the work on this engagement is to be performed (the Air District has a strong preference to work with a firm with an office and assigned staff located California), and the number and nature of the professional staff to be employed in this engagement on a full-time basis, and the number and nature of the staff to be so employed on a part-time basis. Indicate the name of the person who will be authorized to answer questions, the person's title, address, email and telephone number.

If the firm participates in a peer review or quality review program, provide the year, month and result of the most recent review and submit a copy of the report on the firm's most recent external quality control (peer) review, along with a statement as to whether that quality control review included a review of specific government engagements (required by Government Audit Standards).

Provide information on the results of any federal or state desk reviews or field reviews of its audits during the past three (3) years. In addition, provide information on the circumstances and status of any disciplinary action taken or pending against the firm during the past three (3) years with state regulatory bodies or professional organizations.

# 4. <u>Engagement Partner, Manager/Supervisor and Staff Qualifications and Experience</u>

Identify the senior-level staff, including engagement partner and manager/supervisor, who would be assigned to this engagement on an ongoing basis. Indicate whether these individuals have CPA licenses authorized to practice in California and their standing with the Board of Accountancy. Please provide information on the governmental auditing experience and derivative accounting and reporting experience of these individuals, including information on relevant continuing professional education for the past three (3) years and membership in professional organizations relevant to the performance of this audit. The Air District reserves the right to approve or reject any replacements in the senior level staff participating in the Air District's audits.

Identify junior-level staff who may be assigned to this engagement. Indicate the stability of this team of individuals in relation to being assigned to this

engagement on an annual basis. Please indicate their experience as outlined above for senior level staff. Indicate how the quality of the junior-level staff will be assured to the Air District over the term of the agreement. The Air District reserves the right to approve or reject any replacements in the junior level staff participating in the Air District's audits.

Staff consistency is an important consideration in awarding the audit contract.

#### 5. Prior Engagements with the Air District

Indicate prior engagements of the firm with the Air District or its predecessor districts, the scope of work, date, engagement partners, total hours, and the location of the firm's office from which the engagement was performed.

#### 6. Specific Audit Approach

The proposal should set forth a work plan, including an explanation of the audit methodology to be followed to perform the services required under Section IV: Scope of Work above.

#### Part A: Financial Audit

- a. Proposed segmentation of the audit work:
  - i. What will be accomplished during interim and what at year end?
  - ii. What other contact can the Air District expect during the year related to the audit engagement?
- b. Expectations of Air District staff:
  - i. What documents and working papers are expected to be provided by Air District staff during interim and year end work?
- c. Proposed time frame for each segment of audit work:
  - i. What is the anticipated length of field work for interim and year end work?
  - ii. What is the standard turnaround time from end of field work, to senior level review, to final draft, to partner review, to audit report issuance?
- d. Planned number of hours on the engagement for each level of auditing staff.
- e. Approach to be taken to gain and document an understanding of the Air District's internal control structure.
- f. Approach to be taken in determining laws and regulations that will be subject to audit.

#### Part B: Compliance Audit

g. Planned number of hours on the engagement for each level of auditing staff.

- h. Approach to be taken to gain and document an understanding of the Air District's compliance audit requirements
- i. Additional information to demonstrate ability to perform scope of work.

#### 7. Cost Proposal Guidelines

The cost proposal should contain all pricing information relative to performing the audit engagement as described in this request for proposals. In addition, list the fully burdened hourly labor rates and the total number of hours estimated for each level of professional staff to be used to perform each segment.

The cost proposal should be submitted in the format provided in Attachment A, "AUDIT COST PROPOSAL FORM".

All proposals must be submitted according to specifications set forth in this section. Failure to adhere to these specifications may be cause for the rejection of the proposal. All proposals must be signed by an authorized representative of the bidder.

- 8. <u>Grounds for Rejection</u> A proposal may be immediately rejected if it is received at any time after the deadline; if it is not in the prescribed format; or if it is not signed by an individual authorized to represent the firm.
- Disposition of Proposals The Air District reserves the right to reject any or all proposals. All responses become the property of the Air District. One copy of the proposal shall be retained for District files. Additional copies and materials will be returned only if requested and at the bidder's expense.
- 10. <u>Modification or Withdrawal</u> Once submitted, proposals, including the composition of the contracting team cannot be altered without the prior written consent of the Air District. All proposals shall constitute firm offers valid for ninety (90) days from the August 25, 2017 due date.

#### SECTION VI - PROPOSAL EVALUATION

A panel of Air District staff will evaluate all proposals. The panel will recommend the selection of the contractor to the Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO), who will, in turn, make a recommendation to the Air District Board of Directors. The Air District Board of Directors must approve the contract to carry out the work described in this RFP. An example of a typical contract for professional services used by the Air District is included in Section VIII.

Proposal will be evaluated on the responsiveness to all work (Part A and Part B) and requirements described in the RFP on the following criteria. Proposals addressing only one Part of the RFP will not be evaluated.

| Criteria                       | Description   | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| Expertise                      | Technical expertise, size and structure of the firm and personnel assigned to RFP tasks; firm's ability to perform and complete the work in a professional and timely manner. | 25%        |
| Skill                          | Past experience of the firm and, in particular, experience of the team working on projects of similar scope for other governmental agencies.                                  | 15%        |
| Approach                       | Responsiveness of the proposal, based upon a clear understanding of the work to be performed.   | 25%        |
| Cost                           | Cost or cost effectiveness and resource allocation strategy.  | 25%        |
| References                     | References of the firm  | 5%         |
| Firm's Specialty<br>Focus Area | Local Business/Green Business*  | 5%         |
|                                | Total   | 100%       |

<sup>\*</sup> The Air District gives preferences to local businesses and those that are certified as green businesses by a government agency or independent private rating organization. Local business refers to firm's headquarters located in the 9 counties of the Air District's jurisdiction.

The Air District reserves the right to reject any and all proposals submitted and/or request additional information. During the selection process, the Air District's evaluation panel may interview bidders. The interviews will be for clarification only. The submittal of new material will not be permitted at that time.

If two or more proposals receive the same number of points, the Air District will accept the lower cost offer.

#### **SECTION VII - SCHEDULE**

**Audit Proposal Schedule** 

| Milestone                                   | Date                 |
|---|----------------------|
| RFP Release Date                            | July 17, 2017        |
| Pre-Bid Conference                          | August 3, 2017       |
| Questions Due Date                          | August 10, 2017      |
| Answers Provided via Website                | August 17, 2017      |
| Proposal Submission Due Date                | August 25, 2017      |
| Finalist Interviews (if needed)             | September 5-8, 2017* |
| Selection of Finalist                       | September 27, 2017*  |
| Contract Submission for Board Consideration | October 4, 2017*     |
| Commencement of Contract                    | October 5, 2017*     |

<sup>\*</sup> Dates are tentative and subject to change

#### **SECTION VIII – SAMPLE CONTRACT**

A sample contract to carry out the work described in this RFP is available on the District's website at <a href="http://www.baaqmd.gov/about-the-air-district/request-for-proposals-rfp-rfg/samples-previous">http://www.baaqmd.gov/about-the-air-district/request-for-proposals-rfp-rfg/samples-previous</a>

# Attachment A

### **AUDIT COST PROPOSAL FORM**

| AUDIT COST PROPOSA  FY2017 Audi          |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|--|----------|--------|------|--------|----------|------|
| Cost Proposal S                          |          | ,      |      |        |          |      |
| 333770903470                             | Year :   |        | Y    | ear 2  | Year 3   | 1    |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| 1. Financial Audit                       |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Annual Financial Report                  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Single Audit Report                      |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Total                                    | \$       | -      | \$   | -      | \$       | -    |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| 2. Compliance Audits                     |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| a) TFCA Regional Fund                    |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Average Cost Per project                 |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Estimated # of Projects                  |          | 70     |      | 70     |          | 70   |
|  | \$       | -      | \$   | -      | \$       | -    |
| b) TFCA County Program Manager Fund      |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Average Cost Per project                 |          |        |      | 420    |          |      |
| Estimated # of Projects                  | ć        |        |      | 120    | <u> </u> |      |
|  | \$       | -      | \$   | -      | \$       | -    |
| c) Summary Financial Reports:            |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Regional Fund (all years)                |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| County Program Manager Fund (for year 2) |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Total                                    | \$       | -      | \$   | -      | \$       | -    |
| TOTAL PROPOSAL COST                      | \$       | -      | \$   | -      | \$       | -    |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Financial & Compl                        | iance Au | dit    |      |        |          |      |
| Hourly Ra                                |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  | Ye       | ear 1  | Ye   | ear 2  | Ye       | ar 3 |
| Audit Team                               | rate     | e (\$) | rati | e (\$) | rate     | (\$) |
| Partner                                  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Reviewer                                 |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Manager                                  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Senior                                   |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Staff                                    |          |        |      |        |          |      |
| Administrative                           |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |
|  |          |        |      |        |          |      |

# **Attachment B**

#### SAMPLE LIST OF REGIONAL FUND AND COUNTY PROGRAM MANAGER PROJECTS

#### **REGIONAL FUND PROJECTS:**

| Project<br>Number | Project Sponsor  | Project Title  | City          | Award<br>Amount |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 11R16             | City of Alameda  | Estuary Crossing Bicycle/College Shuttle   |               | \$114,380       |
| 16EV057           | Town of Atherton Install 2 dual-port Level 2 charging stations |  | Atherton      | \$6,000         |
| 07R65             | City of Burlingame   | Bayshore Highway Corridor Interconnect   | Burlingame    | \$147,900       |
| 16R22             | City of Campbell   | Purchase and install 8 eLockers  | Campbell      | \$20,000        |
| 09R24             | UC Davis Fleet   | (1) CNG Bus  | Davis         | \$41,350        |
| 16RFG01           | Chabot Las Positas Comm College District                       | Install 12 Dual-Port level 2 charging stations   | Dublin        | \$65,112        |
| 06R07             | City of El Cerrito   | San Pablo Avenue Transit, Streetscape, Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements            | El Cerrito    | \$172,441       |
| 16EV062           | Carrara at Tuscany Hills Owners' Assoc.                        | Install 5 single-port Level 2 charging stations in San Jose                                  | Fremont       | \$15,000        |
| 16RFG02           | City of Fremont  | Install 9 dual port level 2 charging stations in Fremont                                     | Fremont       | \$81,486        |
| 16EV060           | Verasa Napa Condominium Owners Assoc. Inc .                    | Install 2 dual-port Level 2 chargers in Napa   | Mammoth Lakes | \$12,000        |
| 16EV056           | Bay Area Headquarters Authority                                | Install 1 dual-connector DC fast and 21 dual-port Level 2 charging stations in San Francisco | Oakland       | \$442,000       |
| 16R23             | Bay Area Rapid Transit District                                | Purchase and install 80 eLockers   | Oakland       | \$200,000       |
| 16R24             | Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority                        | Purchase and install 16 eLockers   | Oakland       | \$40,000        |
| 12R18             | City of Oakland  | Oakland "B" Shuttle  | Oakland       | \$177,302       |
| 16R18             | City of Oakland  | Broadway Shuttle   | Oakland       | \$186,500       |
| 16EV058           | City of Oakland  | Install 4 dual-connector DC fast and 24 dual-port Level 2 charging stations in Oakland       | Oakland       | \$250,000       |
| 03R24             | City of Oakland  | Coliseum Transit Hub Streetscape Improvements  | Oakland       | \$303,188       |
| 09R39             | County of Alameda  | (40) Electric Vehicle Charging Spots   | Oakland       | \$84,760        |
| 11R05             | Metropolitan Transportation Commission                         | 511 Rideshare Program  | Oakland       | \$1,000,000     |
| 16EV061           | Amy's Kitchen  | Install 3 dual-port Level 2 charging stations  | Petaluma      | \$10,500        |
| 12R07             | City of Redwood City   | Midpoint Caltrain Shuttle  | Redwood City  | \$20,000        |
| 11R12             | City of Richmond   | Transmetro (Richmond Circular & Marina Bay Shuttles)   | Richmond      | \$313,036       |
| 12R14             | Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board                          | Caltrain Shuttles  | San Carlos    | \$1,000,000     |
| 16R19             | Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board                          | Caltrain Shuttle Program   | San Carlos    | \$767,100       |
| 14R03             | BAAQMD   | Mobile Source Enforcement  | San Francisco | \$514,820       |
| 14R02             | BAAQMD   | Spare the Air  | San Francisco | \$1,443,668     |
| 14R01             | BAAQMD   | Administration   | San Francisco | \$1,187,250     |
| 09R32             | City & County of San Francisco                                 | (60) Electric Vehicle Charging Spots   | San Francisco | \$98,334        |
| 16R17             | Presidio Trust   | PresidiGo Shuttle  | San Francisco | \$100,000       |
| 07BFP24           | SF Recreation and Parks Dept.                                  | Marina Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvement Project  | San Francisco | \$40,045        |
| 12R12             | Associated Students, San Jose State University                 | SJSU Ridesharing & Trip Reduction  | San Jose      | \$120,000       |
| 09R35             | County of Santa Clara  | (40) Electric Vehicle Charging Spots   | San Jose      | \$85,720        |
| 16R20             | Santa Clara Valley TA (SCVTA)                                  | ACE Shuttle Bus Program  | San Jose      | \$960,000       |
| 12R15             | SCVTA  | ACE Shuttles   | San Jose      | \$960,000       |
| 16EV059           | Sikh Gurdwara - San Jose                                       | Install 3 dual-port Level 2 charging stations in San Jose                                    | San Jose      | \$33,165        |
| 08R71             | City of San Ramon  | Crow Canyon Rd. Signal Timing  | San Ramon     | \$124,700       |

| 08R72   | City of San Ramon                    | Bollinger Canyon Road Signal Timing Project        | San Ramon    | \$264,450   |
|---------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------|-------------|
| 09R59   | McDonalds                            | (54) Electric Vehicle Charging Spots               | San Ramon    | \$98,004    |
| 08BFP03 | County of Sonoma                     | County of Sonoma Electronic Bicycle Locker Program | Santa Rosa   | \$70,000    |
| 12R06   | San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission | Shuttle Route 53                                   | Stockton     | \$44,000    |
| 12R08   | San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission | Shuttle Route 54                                   | Stockton     | \$50,000    |
| 16R15   | San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission | ACE Shuttle 53 and 54                              | Stockton     | \$80,000    |
| 08R76   | Solano Transportation Authority      | Safe Route to School Program                       | Suisun City  | \$400,000   |
| 16R30   | Contra Costa Transportation Agency   | Deploy and operate Shared Autonomous Vehicles      | Walnut Creek | \$1,000,000 |

## **COUNTY PROGRAM MANAGER PROJECTS:**

| Project # | Project<br>Sponsor          | End Recipient  | Project Title   | Award        |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| 09ALA07   | ACTC                        | AC Transit   | Easy Pass Transit Incentive Program                     | \$350,000.00 |
| 11ALA03   | ACTC                        | City of Albany   | Buchanan Bike Path                                      | \$100,000.00 |
| 11ALA04   | ACTC                        | Cal State University East Bay                                | CSUEB -2nd Campus BART Shuttle                          | \$194,000.00 |
| 11ALA06   | ACTC                        | City of Fremont  | North Fremont Arterial Management                       | \$256,000.00 |
| 11ALA12   | ACTC                        | City of San Leandro  | San Leandro LINKS Shuttle                               | \$47,500.00  |
| 11ALA13   | ACTC                        | Alameda CTC  | Alameda County Guaranteed Ride Home (GRH) Program       | \$245,000.00 |
| 12ALA00   | ACTC                        | Alameda CTC  | Program Administration                                  | \$92,392.73  |
| 12ALA01   | ACTC                        | City of Oakland, Economic Development                        | Oakland Broadway Shuttle - Fri and Sat Evening Service  | \$35,300.00  |
| 12ALA02   | ACTC                        | City of Pleasanton   | Pleasanton Trip Reduction Program                       | \$57,507.00  |
| 12ALA03   | ACTC                        | Cal State University East Bay                                | CSU East Bay Second Campus to BART Shuttle- Ext, Hours  | \$56,350.00  |
| 12ALA04   | ACTC                        | Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (LAVTA)            | Route 10 FY 12/13 Operations                            | \$144,346.00 |
| 12ALA05   | ACTC                        | LAVTA  | Route 53 Operations, FY 12/13                           | \$34,180.00  |
| 12ALA06   | ACTC                        | LAVTA  | Route 54 Operations, FY 12/13                           | \$37,299.00  |
| 14ALA03   | ACTC                        | East Bay Regional Park District                              | Iron Horse Trail Dublin/Pleasanton BART Santa Rita Road | \$180,000.00 |
| 14ALA13   | ACTC                        | California State University, East Bay                        | CSUEB Campus Shuttle II, FY 13/14                       | \$130,000.00 |
| 04CC13    | Contra Costa<br>Co TA(CCTA) | City of Martinez   | San Francisco Bay Trail, Phase II                       | \$87,508.00  |
| 08CC01    | СССТА                       | West Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Committee (WCCTAC) | I-80 Plus Commute Incentive Program                     | \$163,096.00 |
| 09CC01    | CCCTA                       | WCCTAC   | WCC Commute Incentive Prgm                              | \$76,164.00  |
| 09CC02    | CCCTA                       | WCCTAC   | West County Employer Outreach                           | \$107,164.00 |
| 10CC06    | CCCTA                       | City of San Ramon  | 511 South Contra Costa County Student Program           | \$166,626.00 |
| 11CC03    | CCCTA                       | WCCTAC   | Countywide Guaranteed Ride Home Program                 | \$151,081.00 |
| 11CC05    | CCCTA                       | City of San Ramon  | 511CC Southwest Employer Program                        | \$43,195.00  |
| 12CC00    | CCCTA                       | Contra Costa Transportation Authority                        | Program Administration                                  | \$67,073.00  |
| 12CC03    | CCCTA                       | WCCTAC   | Countywide Guaranteed Ride Home Program                 | \$151,424.00 |
| 12CC04    | CCCTA                       | TRANSPAC/City of Pleasant Hill                               | Central/East SOV Trip/Emissions Reduction Program       | \$730,527.00 |
| 12CC05    | CCCTA                       | City of San Ramon  | 511CC Southwest Employer Program                        | \$48,695.00  |
| 12CC06    | СССТА                       | City of San Ramon  | 511CC Countywide Vanpool Incentive Program              | \$39,334.99  |
| 14CC00    | СССТА                       | Contra Costa Transportation Authority                        | Program Administration                                  | \$69,291.26  |
| 14CC04    | СССТА                       | TRANSPAC/City of Pleasant Hill                               | Central/East SOV Trip Emissions Reduction Program       | \$754,046.00 |

| 5CC00     | СССТА   | Contra Costa Transportation Authority         | Program Administration                                | \$70,592.86  |
|-----------|---------|---|---|--------------|
| 7-Mar-03  | TAM     | County of Marin                               | Class II Bike Lane - Sir Francis Drake Blvd           | \$29,163.00  |
| 9-Mar-06  | TAM     | County of Marin                               | Sir Francis Drake Boulevard Bicycle Lane              | \$45,837.00  |
| 9-Mar-07  | TAM     | County of Marin                               | Tennessee Valley Pathway                              | \$265,000.00 |
| 9-Mar-10  | TAM     | Town of Tiburon                               | Tiburon Blvd. and Lyford Dr. Multi-modal Commuter Lot | \$314,207.00 |
| 10-Mar-01 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM)       | Vanpool Program                                       | \$50,000.00  |
| 10-Mar-02 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin             | Emergency Ride Home Program                           | \$50,000.00  |
| 10-Mar-04 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin             | Electric Vehicle Charging Stations                    | \$112,000.00 |
| 10-Mar-06 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin             | Dynamic Rideshare                                     | \$60,000.00  |
| 11-Mar-01 | TAM     | Town of Fairfax                               | Fairfax Spine Project                                 | \$110,000.00 |
| 11-Mar-03 | TAM     | County of Marin                               | Bel Marin Keys Boulevard Class 2 Bicycle Lanes        | \$35,000.00  |
| 12-Mar-00 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin             | Program Administration                                | \$16,770.00  |
| 12-Mar-01 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin             | Emergency Ride Home Program                           | \$22,000.00  |
| 14-Mar-00 | TAM     | Transportation Authority of Marin             | Program Administration                                | \$17,735.76  |
| 14-Mar-05 | TAM     | Town of San Anselmo                           | Electric Assist Utility Bicycle for Town Staff        | \$6,000.00   |
| 10NAP01   | Napa TA | City of Napa                                  | Lincoln Class II Bike Lane                            | \$71,750.00  |
| 10NAP04   | Napa TA | Solano Napa Commuter Information              | SNCI Commuter Incentives and Marketing Materials      | \$40,000.00  |
| 11NAP01   | Napa TA | City of Napa                                  | Bicycle Racks and Bicycle Locker                      | \$10,443.00  |
| 11NAP02   | Napa TA | City of Napa                                  | Lincoln Ave Class II bike lane                        | \$148,100.00 |
| 11NAP03   | Napa TA | City of St. Helena                            | Wappo Class I Multi-Purpose Trail Gap Closure         | \$90,000.00  |
| 12NAP00   | Napa TA | Napa Co Transportation and Planning Agency    | Program Administration                                | \$9,300.90   |
| 12NAP05   | Napa TA | City of Napa                                  | Saratoga Class II Bike Lane                           | \$31,154.00  |
| 14NAP00   | Napa TA | NC Transportation and Planning Agency         | Program Administration                                | \$9,616.47   |
| 10SF08    | SFCTA   | University of California San Francisco        | Parnassus Campus Bike Cage                            | \$50,000.00  |
| 11SF01    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | CCSF Bicycle Fleet                                    | \$38,479.00  |
| 11SF03    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | Emergency Ride Home Program                           | \$18,000.00  |
| 11SF04    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | SF/MTC School Ride-matching Program                   | \$103,596.00 |
| 11SF09    | SFCTA   | SF Municipal Transportation Agency            | Regional Bicycle Sharing Pilot                        | \$401,250.00 |
| 12SF00    | SFCTA   | SF County Transportation Authority (SFCTA)    | Program Administration                                | \$35,810.04  |
| 12SF02    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | CCSF Bicycle Fleet                                    | \$40,063.00  |
| 12SF03    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | Commuter Benefits Program                             | \$71,732.00  |
| 12SF05    | SFCTA   | SF Municipal Transportation Agency            | Alternative Fuel Taxicab Vehicle Incentive Program    | \$70,875.00  |
| 12SF07    | SFCTA   | SF Municipal Transportation Agency            | Short Term Bicycle Parking                            | \$165,000.00 |
| 12SF08    | SFCTA   | SF Municipal Transportation Agency            | Sloat Boulevard Bicycle Lanes                         | \$85,000.00  |
| 14SF00    | SFCTA   | SFCTA   | Program Administration                                | \$36,588.64  |
| 14SF01    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | Emergency Ride Home Program                           | \$5,000.00   |
| 14SF02    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | Commuter Benefits Program                             | \$111,127.00 |
| 14SF03    | SFCTA   | SF Department of the Environment              | San Francisco School Pool                             | \$25,073.00  |
| 14SF06    | SFCTA   | SF State University                           | Abundant Bicycle Parking (Outdoor Racks)              | \$51,923.00  |
| 12SM00    | CCAG    | City/County Association of Governments (CCAG) | Program Administration                                | \$47,781.01  |
| 12SM01    | CCAG    | Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance  | County-wide Voluntary Trip Reduction Program          | \$435,600.00 |
| 12SM02    | CCAG    | SamTrans                                      | SamTrans Shuttle Bus Program                          | \$554,400.00 |

| 14SM00  | CCAG  | CCAG   | Program Administration   | \$52,526.42  |
|---------|-------|--|--|--------------|
| 14SM01  | CCAG  | Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance | County-wide Voluntary Trip Reduction Program                     | \$445,000.00 |
| 14SM02  | CCAG  | San Mateo County Transit District            | SamTrans Shuttle Program   | \$566,000.00 |
| 08SC05  | SCVTA | City of Milpitas                             | Milpitas - Traffic Signal Management System                      | \$775,000.00 |
| 08SC07  | SCVTA | City of Mountain View                        | Grant Road Adaptive Signal Project                               | \$330,058.00 |
| 09SC10  | SCVTA | City of San Jose                             | Signal Retiming for Traffic Light Synchronization Project        | \$501,674.00 |
| 10SC01  | SCVTA | City of Santa Clara                          | SAN TOMAS AQUINO CREEK SPUR TRAIL                                | \$260,000.00 |
| 10SC02  | SCVTA | City of Santa Clara                          | Santa Clara Traffic Signal & Interconnect Project                | \$315,000.00 |
| 10SC05  | SCVTA | City of Gilroy                               | Tenth Street - Adaptive Signal Synchronization                   | \$52,118.00  |
| 10SC06  | SCVTA | City of San Jose                             | San Jose TLSP Signal Timing PH II                                | \$635,000.00 |
| 11SC04  | SCVTA | City of Santa Clara                          | Stevens Creek Colorized Bike Lanes                               | \$59,200.00  |
| 11SC05  | SCVTA | City of Mountain View                        | Permanete Creek Trail - Old Middlefield Way to Rock St           | \$100,000.00 |
| 11SC06  | SCVTA | City of San Jose                             | Park/San Fernando/San Antonio Bikeway -VTP ID B70                | \$43,000.00  |
| 11SC07  | SCVTA | City of San Jose                             | Hedding Street Bike Lanes - VTP ID B66                           | \$86,450.00  |
| 12SC00  | SCVTA | Santa Clara Valley TA (SCVTA)                | Program Administration   | \$113,468.78 |
| 12SC01  | SCVTA | City of San Jose                             | City of San Jose Bike Racks                                      | \$48,000.00  |
| 12SC02  | SCVTA | Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority  | Light Rail Shuttles  | \$665,000.00 |
| 12SC04  | SCVTA | Co. of Santa Clara Roads & Airports Dept     | San Tomas Expressway Weekend Traffic Responsive Signal<br>Timing | \$53,250.00  |
| 14SC00  | SCVTA | Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority  | Program Administration   | \$112,250.68 |
| 14SC05  | SCVTA | Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority  | Light Rail Shuttles  | \$625,000.00 |
| 14SC07  | SCVTA | City of Cupertino                            | Stevens Creek "Corridor Park"                                    | \$95,000.00  |
| 14SC09  | SCVTA | City of Sunnyvale                            | El Camino Real Bicycle Lanes                                     | \$15,000.00  |
| 14SC10  | SCVTA | City of Campbell                             | Winchester Boulevard Signal Timing Project                       | \$130,000.00 |
| 11SOL01 | STA   | Solano Transportation Authority (STA)        | STA Safe Routes to School Incentives & Prog. Coord               | \$47,909.00  |
| 12SOL01 | STA   | City of Benicia                              | Mathew Elementary Smart Growth Project                           | \$25,500.00  |
| 12SOL02 | STA   | Solano Transportation Authority              | Solano Commute Alt. Incentive Activities & Outreach.             | \$254,328.00 |
| 14SOL00 | STA   | Solano Transportation Authority              | Program Administration   | \$15,176.88  |
| 03SON05 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | CNG Fueling Station Expansion                                    | \$270,000.00 |
| 04SON04 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | Cotati Intermodal Facility/ Park & Ride                          | \$26,369.00  |
| 05SON03 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | Cotati Intermodal Facility / Park & Ride                         | \$9,695.00   |
| 06SON02 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | Cotati Intermodal Facility / Park & Ride                         | \$32,000.00  |
| 08SON01 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | Cotati Intermodal Facility/Park & Ride                           | \$360,000.00 |
| 10SON03 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | Transit Marketing Program  | \$59,356.00  |
| 11SON04 | SCTA  | City of Petaluma - Public Works              | Sonoma Mtn. Pkwy & McDowell Corridor Signal Timing               | \$100,000.00 |
| 12SON03 | SCTA  | City of Santa Rosa                           | Santa Rosa Free Ride Trip Reduction Incentive Program            | \$170,788.00 |
| 12SON04 | SCTA  | City of Santa Rosa - Transit                 | Student/Youth Pass Subsidy Program                               | \$65,000.00  |
| 12SON05 | SCTA  | Sonoma County Transit                        | Transit Marketing Program  | \$60,000.00  |
| 14SON00 | SCTA  | Sonoma Co Transportation Authority (SCTA)    | Program Administration   | \$29,275.64  |
| 14SON01 | SCTA  | City of Santa Rosa - Transit                 | Youth Bus Pass Subsidy Program                                   | \$75,000.00  |
| 14SON02 | SCTA  | City of Santa Rosa - Transit                 | Santa Rosa Free Ride Trip Reduction Incentive Program            | \$153,636.00 |
| 14SON07 | SCTA  | City of Petaluma                             | Petaluma Transit Marketing II                                    | \$60,000.00  |