



AGENDA

Owning Our Air-West Oakland AB 617 Steering Committee Meeting
 Wednesday, April 7, 2021, 6:00pm to 8:00 pm pst
 Zoom Virtual Meeting

Time	Topic
6:00 p.m.	Agenda Overview/Zoom Etiquette
6:05 p.m.	Subcommittee Report Back <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health & Living Buffer • Transit, Bike & Walk • Land Use • Port & Freight
6:30 p.m.	Howard Terminal DEIR- WOEIP & Air District
6:50 p.m.	CARB Office of Community Health AB 617 Vision – Director Deldi Reyes
7:10 p.m.	CARB Strategy Implementation – Deeper Dive
7:50 p.m.	Announcements
8:00 p.m.	Adjourn

Time	Agenda Topic	Transcribed Meeting Minutes/Summary
6:00 p.m.	<p>Agenda Overview/Zoom Etiquette</p> <p>Virtual Facilitator: Jhamere Howard</p> <p><u>Abbreviations to note:</u></p> <p>BAAQMD: Bay Area Air Quality Management District</p> <p>WOEIP: West Oakland Environmental Indicators Project</p> <p>CBO's Community Based Organizations</p> <p>EJ: Environmental Justice</p> <p>DEI: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion</p> <p>OVO: Oakland's Very Own Monthly Speakers Series</p> <p>EIR: Environmental Impact Report</p>	<p><u>Agenda Overview/Zoom Etiquette</u></p> <p>Ms. Margaret Gordon, WOEIP</p> <p>I. Please sign in</p> <p>II. Name on screen, Name, Affiliation : note taker will capture all comments</p> <p>III. Review of Agenda - April 7th AB 617 Steering Committee meeting:</p> <p>A. Subcommittee Report Back</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Health & Living Buffer 2. Transit, Bike & Walk 3. Land Use 4. Port & Freight <p>B. Announcements</p> <p>C. New Staffing</p> <p>D. Ms. Margaret Gordon</p> <p>E. Jhamere Howard is no longer a WOEIP Staff - new opportunities</p> <p>F. Subcommittee meetings will be postponed while we reallocate tasks and restructure</p> <p>G. One on one conversations around implementation</p> <p>IV. Meeting Outcomes:</p> <p>A.</p>
6:05 p.m.	<p><u>Subcommittee Report Back</u></p>	<p><u>HEALTH & LIVING BUFFERS COMMITTEE</u> <i>Co-Chair: Meg Prier, Michelle, Urban Bio Filters</i></p> <p><u>Report Back/Summary - March 2021 Meeting:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identified action items for strategy and green building ● Replacing gas and electric cooktop ● Grant funding to install filtration in West Oakland homes <p><u>TRANSIT, BIKE AND WALK</u> <i>CoChaired by: Mercedes Rodriguez, Kevin Krewson, BAAQMD</i></p> <p><u>Report Back/Summary - March 2021 Meeting:</u> Mercedes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Last month we reviewed our strategy and work plan ● Howard Terminal project and how it may affect our work with AB 617 ● Grand Avenue streetscape project: monthly updates for development ● West Oakland for CalTrans Road dust study <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Set money and resources that will also support road study with technical partners ○ Relationship to road study for indoor filtration

LANDUSE SUBCOMMITTEE

Co-Chairs: Alicia Parker, City of Oakland, Charles Reed, Community Member

Report Back/Summary - March 2021 Meeting:

- Deep dive into the healthy development guidelines
- Alicia parker presentation on the guidelines; a lot of items in common with our strategy and plan
- Expansive in addressing economic opportunities
- Best identify how we can create a coalition to work together; to get healthy development guidelines
- Focus on where we overlap on those plans and work on those individual strategies
- Led us back to the recurring theme around West Oakland clean up and who benefits from those services
- Strong anti-displacement strategy; priority strategy for land use; interconnected and related

- Considering the environmental exposures for unhoused population
- We don't have a good notion about the health impacts - we need to consider; there are no short term solutions
- HUB has diminished units for lowest income earners - problematic
- Richard Grow
 - Linked to increasing displacement and gentrification for West Oakland
 - Fix conditions for unhoused
 - Equity has a timeline and historical context; some obligation and commitment to improve conditions for those who are still here
- History of red star that was owned by community and sold for debt
- Land Use community interest is shifted; real estate transaction where community interest disappears
- Concerns around land use in the first place

PORT & FREIGHT SUBCONTRACTORS

Sub Committee Chairs: Laura Arreola, Port of Oakland, Bill Aboudi, Small Business Owner/Trucking

Report Back/Summary - March 2021 Meeting:

- Electric trucks
- We need more information; request a presentation from the Port of Oakland and what infrastructure
- Plugging into the groups that can give us information zero emissions
- We will have sub groups be actively working behind the scenes to move that agenda forward
- Received an email for zero emission hydrogen trucks - 30 hydrogen trucks
- We need to build the infrastructure for it
- WOEIP will be the community partner

WOEIP

- List of strategies, it is says a port sustainability coalition will be created
- Better if community took leadership
- Port sustainability committee
- In the process, one organizing meeting, announce the main convening in the next month

Matt Hoef, EBMUD

- we are not part of the grant, but we are working with the team, lease part of

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the land at the waste treatment • CTE • Tenant: First element fuel, hydrogen fuel company • Any questions, they can contact me • We are working with the partner • Question: Is this linked to a larger strategy around hydrogen? <p>Matt</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are opportunities in the future to potentially to make hydrogen from the bio gas; there's a lot of work and costs - Setting up an opportunity with this project - Local production is important - Matthew.hoeft@ebmud.com
6:30 p.m.	Howard Terminal DEIR- WO EIP & Air District	<p><u>Howard Terminal DEIR- WOEIP & Air District</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • West Oakland Equity Development Group, presenter Jessica Job Sea • Equity research team, community engagement and community benefit • Draft EIR released; A's developers prepared to build on the site of the Howard Terminal • Community Group has been putting a team effort to respond to the draft EIR; sharing the work to review the large document and leading the community engagement • Draft EIR is separate from the community benefit agreement, there are overlaps • The California Environmental Quality Act; requires construction of a project will impact the sites; Environment is a broad set of categories, aesthetic, bio, cultural resources, geology, hazardous materials - many of what you all are working on • Document created by the A's is hefty; if they phase out the whole construction, they would phase out business, housing, and a lot of potential impacts • Take a role in reading a section • The act quires that members the public pose questions, they need to reopen by the developers; they are required to respond to the concerns of the public • You can find all the documents via this link • City of Oakland post all of the documents of this EIR • Each one comes in their own PDF; good place to orient yourself, summary in Chapter 2 that gives you background on the project • The table here lists out a summary of each impact; what they are going to try and mitigate that impact • Less than significant: they don't think there will be major impact, baseline is what is currently measuring against • Some of the issues are marked less than significant • Not a CEQA regulation • Significant and unavoidable: yes, it will impact the surround neighborhood and they don't they can fix it; this is where we want to pay attention • Impacts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Air quality topics ○ Overlap an intersete from AB 617 work

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● If you want to engage this document, Chapter 4.0 gives an outline of each chapter; Define terminology so you can get a sense of the overall document ● Here are the impacts classifications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Less than significant ○ Significant ○ Unavoidable ● Each technical analysis ● Background description - if you re already familiar with a topic, you can sift through this area ● Describing environmental; laws , policies , regulations that impact it ● How they measure what is significant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This is a part where it talks about the impact and mitigation ○ If you read it and look at the mitigation, if you think they missed something, you don't have to be a subject master, you can look at mitigation measures and if they are not considering some aspects ○ Choose your adventure and read what is most interesting to you ● Community Group <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Organizing our comments in a spreadsheets ○ EIR is meant to review by the public ○ One strategy is about how they are rerouting police ○ Tools, any of you are interested to join April 17th meeting, 10 - 12pm, go through the questions and share he work ○ Compiling a letter to submit to the city ○ You can go to the City of Oakland, comment tracker ○ All are invited to join WOBE (West Oakland Benefits for Equity) on April 17th from 10:00-12:00. If you want to join us, please email equityresearchteam@gmail.com ○ To comment on the Howard Terminal EIR- email: equityresearchteam@gmail.com <p><u>MUTED- no audio</u></p> <p><u>Veronica Cummings</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Great way to work collectively ● Planning meeting is public forum: April 21st at 3pm ● Public comment period are closed by 4pm, April 27th ● For those who have minimal experience, how strategies on how community members can engage ● How are they approaching comments? ● Anyone from the air district <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Alison Kirk: we will talk to staff about we review project, we can apply to this project as well and help us understand how we walk through ○ Comment are due on the 27th <p>Alison Kirk, BAAQMD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● We focus on our area of expertise: air effects of health ● What are the existing community conditions? ● Context of where the development project
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● CEQA Document ● Once we have an idea, we look at the CEQA document ● Best practices and current data; look at what the analysis ● Create air quality impacts ● Greenhouse gasses; ozone, nitrogen, toxic air contaminant, flying particulate and diesel particulate matter ● If any of the sources require permits ● Emissions are included in the overall air analysis ● If there those specific sites, making sure the airplane is consistent ● Checking CEQA documents against that plan - Oakland A's Waterfront Project ● Draft EIR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Reviewing existing condition in West Oakland ○ Freeways and stationary sources, close proximity to residential, schools, recreation, parks, ballfield ○ Already concerns about the exposure to pollution in West Oakland; working on Owing Our Air Plan ● Better understand the proposed project ● Construction project ● What are the equipment and tools that will be used on site? ● How long will construction last? What is the intensity of construction? ● Though it will be a "temporary" source of pollution; it can be years before projects are fully complete ● How materials are delivered; maritime delivery; how equipment and material arrive at the site for construction has to be included in the analysis along with the Ballpark and other uses ● Transportation and goods that need to get to and from the site ● Transportation impact in West Oakand; community, specific areas ● Account for all the people that will be arriving and how they will be arriving ● Increases in transit and extensions of roadways and other facilities ● How goods will get to the site once operations start ● Air district permit: land use will give us an idea of what permits are needed ● Diesel generating, natural gas boilers and air, equipment for underground remediation; we want to be aware of this early on so it is permitted correctly ● Proposed project constant with air quality plan ● Check the project as it is described ● Owing Our Air: The goal of owning our air is to protect ● Looking at targets in OOA; emissions from diesel particulate matter, changes in cancer risks, consider if it meets the targets as described in the plan ● How we approach CEQA reviews; hone in what we are experts in <p><u>Discussion: Q+A</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>Question</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Based on the fact, we could not get to place if there was evidence that the city of the A's could show that there was not a significant prect on the impacts of Air Quality. Will BAAQMD refuse the permit? ○ If there are significant iacts based on the permits, can the air district do not sign off on permits
--	--	---

		<p>Answer, Alicia Parker, BAAQMD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If there are significant impacts, we do not have significant ○ Answer in Thursday - we meet on Thursdays ○ Significant under CEQA is the same as the Bay Area guidelines
	<p>CARB Office of Community Health AB 617 Vision – Director Deldi Reyes</p>	<p>CARB Office of Community Health AB 617 Vision – Director Deldi Reyes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Director of Office of Community Air protection ● Share my Observations; appreciate everything and all of the work youall doing in West Oakland; make it more sustainable and environmentally environmentally just place; thank you for your work, it is inspiring to come into these conversation ndn the level of thought, committee, and attention to detail and tracking how thi s will get decided ● I have been in this role for over two months; still learning ● Positive examples from across the state with AB 617 group of communities; first met Ms. Margaret when I worked in the US. EPA in DC where we put together a community action; demonstrated collaborative problem, solvang, Identifying their own priorities and actions plans to address those issues ● Legacy of that work that has been created is a jumping off place is informing how we do the work with others across the state; I have observed each district where AB 617 is in place, There is huge spectrum about decision making, co-ownership and co-leadership is reflected ● Note that I feel very deeply about the urgency about the promise of this particular law AB 617; we have been at for three years, yet we know that working with one or two communities annually is not going to cut it; it was not intended to work in that way; ● Level of need in the state in CA will not work, we can;t work until 2040 ● reduce emissions and implement approaches across the state ● Chanel Fletcher who I report to; is deputy executive officer of for environmental justice and equity ● Shift the 617 program that launched within CARB late last last; reorganize how the Office of Air Protection and the office of Environmental Justice; decision to make the move it under, it was a big factor in applying for that position and strong signal the relationship with this particuar law as flawed as it may be ● Through the work that Chanel is doing to implement environmental Justice in the work that CARB does ● How we support regulatory programs in CARB and the way that they develop rules ● To take lessons of EJ and equity and apply it in our program; helping us think through what that looks like, it means we as CARB need to take some risks in the space how we share leadership; example of leadership is in the blueprint for 617 in the statute and policy framework, trying to put in plain english ● That blueprint is required to be updated every 5 years;consultation groups, individuals, a group that is reviewing and re-envision it as the people's blueprint to reflect hard lessons learned over the past few years; take this as a starting point for further deliberation with the public at large to move the needle forward

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is my hope that we can do this work together; helping realize the promise of AB 617 • Worked in U.S. CPA in Denver as an inspector under the clean air act program; one of the challenges that were built in the clean air act; environmental field for over 30 years; back in the day, the idea of talking about the community hotspots were not at the center of the conversation • Took long time in the EPA to grapple with that reality; excited to see AB 617 took shape in California <p><u>GROUP DISCUSSION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Q+A: as participants have you conc;uded your presentation to ask questions, WOCAP and emission redcin and planning process; we need your support to get things done <p>Deldi Reyes: I am happy to wrap up and address questions</p> <p>Brian Moore: posted the original blueprint</p> <p>QUESTION: Brian BEveridge, WOEIP: I am quite confused, I understand that there is difference between regulatory authority and regional air district; CARB controls the money, it has been puzzled about why CARB can't establish terms about how air district uses this money; we exist with an Air district that want to engage; other communities are fighting for sandwiches or paying for a bus pass to attend a meeting; I don't understand why CARB can't set some funds determine terms on how the air district - do you have any thoughts on this?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Deldi: Money is super critical in making things work especially for state, local and level agencies and community based organizations . The amount of funding with AB 617 is significant, add to the need to create a bluepringwe need to de - There are accountability mechanisms; the control is within the legislature - The legislature determines the finding; determines how much we get to administer per program, also for CARB it has to to do whether the people who work in the program have permanent funding; it a;ll goes back to the legislature and of course we the people are part of that too - Distribution, your ogr is received has a grant - Your organization is recipient of a grant; tose grants have guidelines and requirements that districts have to sign on to - Issues around Stipends recognized for community members for their significant time and participation ; have guidance for Allowable use of funding that is provided through CARB - Example of being explicit <p>Brian Beveridge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Three years of engagement; the blueprint was total crap - There is a page on 9 where it breaks down in gran funding - \$75K for community to organize this issue - \$500K for community for air monitoring - \$125K for communities write an air plan
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I can't figure out how these communities all over the state do not have those dollars? - As far as I can tell, the air district are taking all the money; I don't mean to be too aggressive but that is the truth - Air district is taking all of the money; i don't have understand this at all - Our air district is has not been generous, but they are interested in community agreement - CARB does not understand their own programs; and how they money is being distributed, taking all the money and not allowing for community engagement, this is the fundamental problem - What happened to the original blueprint and what it defined as the original funding? <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We are not going to answer this questions - Send - Parking Lot: Review the people's blueprint revelation committee to answer this questions - This is very concerning question that has been given a clear, coherent, accountable and transparent answer <p>Joshua Abraham</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That is wise - Several divisions and CARB members from CARB have a presentation that moves on from the presentation from last month - We are significantly over time
<p>6:50 p.m.</p>	<p>CARB Strategy Implementation – Deeper Dive</p>	<p>Julia Luonga, CARB AB 617, Office Community Air Protection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● CARB liaison to West Oakland ● Replacing Anna S. was the previous office community air protection ● Being new this group; I am on learning curve, I would like to reach out and interview you all to learn more about the process, get to know your perspective, goals around problem solving, resources, finding materials, bringing in the right people to the table and the conversation - grateful for this process ● Introducing our speakers <p>Brian: Where did Jose work?</p> <p>Jose worked with Veronica Eady, office outside of CARB, separate office, peer</p> <p>Ms. Margaret: That was not clarified.</p> <p>Joshua: Thank Deldi Reyes for joining us this evening</p> <p>Agenda: Heavy Duty Incentive Programs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tony Brasil, Advanced Clean Fleet and Clean Trucks Programs - Bob Nguyen, Heavy Duty Inspection and Maintenance Programs - Peter Christianson: Incentive

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - review of heavy duty regulations - Advanced Clean Trucks - Advanced Clean Fleets - Heavy Duty <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - These individuals on this groups need to be more engaging with the subcommittees and steering committees - We have not had this conversion - I will support having these topic more specific to the teams to understand how it is aligned with West Oakland Community Action Plan - Right now, the Community action team has no understanding about this updated agenda at this point. I will ask you to refrain from talking these agenda items until the community action team has some advanced noticed about reviewing the information on the agenda <p>Joshua Abraham, BAAQMD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Before you respond Julia, Ms. Margaret I want to clarify for you that CARB staff came last month and presentation the overview about the emission reduction strategies - Based on that feedback, they received real time feedback in March, staff also listened planning staff, listened to community engagement staff and Jhamere while he was with WOEIP to arrive at this topic - CARB did not arbitrary put the presentation together - We instructed CARB presentation- allotted time in this agenda - If I may ask if we can allow them the time to finish the presentation <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - You have 4 minutes to deliver this information <p>Joshua</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To underscore, We instructed CARB staff to inform us on this topics; this was not a unilateral decision; the staff put time and effort into creating this presentation for us; if I may ask if you could allow them the time to complete this presentation <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I am allowing the time, I am looking at the clock, and tThey need to be expeditious about the agenda <p>Brian Beveridge, WOEIP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - May I ask that, we did not get to this, it would be nice if the agenda items pointed to specific strategies in this plan - I will only ask if staff to figure out a way to say that advance clean trucks apply to this plan This issue this applies to this item - Advanced clean trucks to apply this plan <p>Joshua</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - They did this last month; they were specific the strategies
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The agenda should reflect the strategies <p>Brian</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Suggest let them roll with their presentation whatever that means - <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Move on! - Jose worked with Veronica Eady, separate division <p>Tony Brasil</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Oversee regulatory program affecting trucks to get zero emission trucks in California; Brand Chief in CA resources board; in regulatory programs, clean technology brand - Board approved major manufacturer regulation to produce zero emissions - Report information to us to design new regulations - Percentages of truck sales that needs to be zero emission: anything larger than an f150 trucks, 300,000 zero emission trucks minimum by 2035 - what it means for Oakland, is that The state makes every manufacturer to make and sell fleets that are zero emissions that can be placed in the community - Working on regulation that we are taking to our board End of this year and which fleets phase in zero emission trucks; governor and board set deadlines - Comment and feedback on how to improve it - Public fleets in 2024 50% will have to be zero emission, 2027 all trucks will have to be all zero emissions, less pollution from local and state government vehicles that are operating in commuting - Less pollution from local and state government vehicles - Next slide: drayage trucks, moving containers, requirement is that they need register in 2023 wha trucks they own - Need to zero emission, limit on how long they can keep their doself or natural gas truck, drayage trucks to zero emission, in their fleets - No emissions from container moving trucks by 2035 - The last category applies to all other trucks in the state - Fleets and combination fleets, 50 million revenue will have to be - COmpanies or combination of trucks, smaller fleets are subject to this requirement - Box trucks and vans: several available as zero emission, by 2035 parcel delivery vehicles and distribution trucks - 2040: garbage trucks - 2042 timeframe: - Postal service has a large distribution; has semi trucks that are all zero emission; looking at voluntary companies recognize companies who hire these fleets; local governments determine who operates <p>Bob Nguyen, CARB, Heavy duty Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topic: program is being developed, smog check program for heavy trucks - Applies to all heavy duty vehicles, greater than 14K lbs, regardless about whether the truck is registered through CA or not; out of state truckers that tare passing through CA or West Oakland to be subject to this regulation
--	--	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Required to show a certificate of conformity that are Compliant with smog check requirements - Reduce emissions and trucks - Address short term and long term emissions that are right now; from being able to reduce emissions - Two or three slides; information <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excuse me, nothing on this slide does not support anything that is alignment does not support with AB 617 - This was not presented from CARB about it <p>Bob Nguyen</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It has potential relationship because if a trucker that is operating in West Oakland that is spewing emission; this program is trying to reduce the emission - <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What you have on this slide, has never been a discussion from CARB to our group as an informational item as reducing zero emission or proposed engagement with the Port of Oakland <p>Joshua</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Encourage that we let them finish this presentation <p>Brina</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - QWe allowed or invited them; the problem is that we don't know what this means; I didn't understand what the hell this mean - None of this helps me in any way, it's confusing me <p>Joshua Abrahame</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Perhaps there are subsequent parts that can make more sense <p>Bob Nguelyn</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Multi prong approach; address long term and short term emissions, affects the trucks that are driving on your streets right now - I am providing this as information - If you think for the interest time, if you need to move on, then that would be fine with <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Let me facilitate; we are not trying to disrespect you and what you are presenting; but it was never presented to us from CARB prior to being aligned with our strategies <p>Joshua Abraham</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I want to restate and set the record straight as far as communication: the CARB staff, WOEIP embodied by Jhamere, community engagement from the Air District has been meeting with CARB staff for two months now
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The first presentation was an overarching about the strategies that they have responsibility for; they did the presentation last mntn - Deeper dive based on the feedback that they can give us ore - These cover the Emission reduction strategies, maybe not the strategies you are used to seeing; those are the partner strategies that are are working on for quite some time - These are strategies that are within the CARB; there is more in the presentation to come and I appeal to you to allow them to finish the presentation and then Post mortem perhaps we can discuss <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - You need to understand, we as partners as BAAQMD, we are were not oriented and informed of this presentation as is and that why Brian and I are having comment and not being receptive <p>Joshia</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This was a ball that was rolling with Jhamere; allow the CARB staff to present <p>Brian Beveridge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What we want to do, how does CARB regulation relate to our Air plan and the 10 year horizon - Figuring out what AB 617 mean; no point in talking about 2040None of this helps us - How does the CARB regulations relate to our plan? - 2040 - What will CARB do in the next ten year? No point in taking the community's feedback and everyone needs to help us get there; nothing needs to be said. No presentation needs to happen that doesn't have anything to do with the 10 year goal. <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This does not support or engage the existing process of what we have been doing for AB 617 and the 89 strategies - What we are talking about is strictly from the perspective - We have never been oriented or educated with this level of information <p>Bob Nguyen</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Addressing your concerns whether or not relevant to their plan; this regulation will be effective within the 10 year plan <p>Ms. Gordon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alignment with AB 617 with the West Oakland community action plan <p>Bob Nguyen</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Apologies for not being familiar; hopefully this information can be more supplemental <p>Brian Moore</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Talk about the program
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - They are area experts they are not following the - We will do better so we can be more aligned <p>Ms. Margaret Gordon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Brian Moore, lesson learned and more engagement around AB 617 as innovation, creation and learning curve - Based on the founders, we are not clearly understand about how it will be in alignment of the 89 strategis - That has to be conversation and discussion to be in alignment on what you're proposing for your program structure <p>We were not prepared for this level of presentation</p> <p>Brian</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fundamental - Agencies don't understand what communities need - If you are not familiar with our plan, our planning steering committee, this is not forum for agencies to present - This is important; that's not what we are here for - These community residents who show up and that is the implement the West Oakland - There is no reason for any agency to show up in this room to achieve this goal; don't come in, drop in! - This meeting is a disaster! <p>Ms. Margaret Gordon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dys functionality on this meeting based on this presentation - I was not prepared for this portion of the agenda - This part of the presentation needs to come back to our team with CARB understanding the gap <p>Bob Nguyen</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I am speaking about myself; other team members have more - My fault for not doing the homework - You have the goals that you want to achieve front hsi meeting - This is not relevant and yield to the next speaker and hopefully it will be more relevant <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <p>Bob Nguyen</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public servant and I work with the state; <p>Ms. Margaret</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We will be in touch <p>Jimmy O'dea</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CARB presentation information that was provided relates to the #28 and #29 - their directly on their - Shared goals and overlap
--	--	---

		<p>Brian</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Problem is around communication
7:50 p.m.	Announcements	<p>Next Month's AB 617 Meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We are looking to recruit new staff to support this initiative - Wednesday, May 5th, 6- 8pm <p>Parking Lot</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conversation with CARB around the engagement of AB 617 <p>Deldi Reyes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We are in this big commitment for 10 years - We want to be with you; but if it is better to work through the air district, we are happy to do that - Happy to accommodate with you - Much of these conversations can be had in planning meetings where we are present <p>Brian</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Collaborative problem solving - We can't narrow it down, CARB, Air District and all agencies have to be at the table - They have to be anchored in the air plan <p>Ms. Margaret Gordon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is microscope on every division around those working on AB 617 - Skill developing and training - Problematic - Focal point in the presentation
8:00 p.m.	Adjourn	Thank you to all you attended tonight's meeting.
Comments Section		