



BAY AREA
AIR QUALITY
MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
PATH TO CLEAN AIR COMMUNITY EMISSIONS REDUCTION PLAN COMMUNITY
STEERING COMMITTEE

COMMUNITY STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERS

ALFREDO ANGULO	JESSICA RANGE	MICHELLE GOMEZ
AMANDA BOOTH	JIM HOLLAND	GARCIA
DARLENA DAVID	KEVIN RUANO	NANCY AGUIRRE
DARLENE RIOS DRAPKIN	HERNANDEZ	OMONIYI OMOTOSO
DAVE SEVERY	LIZBETH IBARRA	PATRICIA A. DANIELS
ERIKA RAMIREZ	LUCIA CASTELLO	PHILLIP MITCHELL
FRANCISCO AVILA	LUZ GOMEZ	ROBERTA FELICIANO
HAKIM JOHNSON	MARISOL CANTÚ	SIMREN SANDHU
HEIDI V SWILLINGER	MICAELA ZARAGOZA-	SUZANNE COFFEE
JEFFREY L KILBRETH	SOTO	VERNON WHITMORE
		Y'ANAD BURRELL

THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED UNDER PROCEDURES AUTHORIZED BY ASSEMBLY BILL 361 (RIVAS 2021) ALLOWING REMOTE MEETINGS. THIS MEETING WILL BE ACCESSIBLE VIA WEBCAST, TELECONFERENCE, AND ZOOM. A ZOOM PANELIST LINK WILL BE SENT SEPARATELY TO COMMITTEE OR BOARD MEMBERS

- **THE PUBLIC MAY OBSERVE THIS MEETING THROUGH THE WEBCAST BY CLICKING THE LINK AVAILABLE ON THE AIR DISTRICT’S AGENDA WEBPAGE AT**

<https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/richmond-area-community-health-protection-program>

- **THE PUBLIC MAY PARTICIPATE REMOTELY VIA ZOOM AT THE FOLLOWING LINK OR BY PHONE**

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83911070430>

(669) 900-6833 or (408) 638-0968

WEBINAR ID: 839 1107 0430

- **THOSE PARTICIPATING BY PHONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT CAN USE THE “RAISE HAND” FEATURE BY DIALING “*9”. IN ORDER TO RECEIVE THE FULL ZOOM EXPERIENCE, PLEASE MAKE SURE YOUR APPLICATION IS UP TO DATE**

- **VERSIÓN EN ESPAÑOL DEL WEBINAR**

PATH TO CLEAN AIR COMMUNITY EMISSIONS REDUCTION PLAN COMMUNITY STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

MONDAY, JULY 18, 2022

5:30 PM

1. Call to Order - Roll Call

2. Public Meeting Procedure

The Committee Chair shall call the meeting to order and the Clerk of the Boards shall take roll of the Committee members.

This meeting will be webcast. To see the webcast, please visit www.baaqmd.gov/bodagendas at the time of the meeting. Closed captioning may contain errors and omissions and are not certified for their content or form.

***Public Comment on Agenda Items:** The public may comment on each item on the agenda as the item is taken up. Members of the public who wish to speak on matters on the agenda for the meeting, will have two minutes each to address the Committee. No speaker who has already spoken on that item will be entitled to speak to that item again.*

CONSENT CALENDAR (Item 3)

3. Approval of the Minutes of June 27, 2022

The Committee will consider approving the draft minutes of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee meeting of June 27, 2022.

REGULAR AGENDA (Items 4 - 6)

4. Ad Hoc Updates

The Path to Clean Air Steering Committee and the public will receive updates on the active Ad Hoc working groups.

5. Draft Final Community Description from Ad Hoc

This item will provide an overview of the Community Description Ad Hoc process, the content of the draft final Community Description, and a request to the Community Steering Committee to review the draft final Community Description.

6. Discussion of Key Issues Approach

This item will provide an overview and discussion of the Key Issues Approach. The meeting will recess to provide an opportunity for ad-hoc small group discussions about various specific key issues, in which members of the public will be invited to participate. The Steering Committee meeting will then reconvene for the Committee to hear and discuss reports from the ad-hoc small group discussions and to review the Key Issues Approach for strategy development.

OTHER BUSINESS

7. Environmental Justice Updates

The Path to Clean Air Steering Committee and the public will share and receive Environmental Justice updates.

8. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters

*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.3
Members of the public who wish to speak on matters not on the agenda for the meeting, will have two minutes each to address the Committee.*

9. Committee Member Comments

Any member of the Committee, or its staff, on his or her own initiative or in response to questions posed by the public, may: ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement or report on his or her own activities, provide a reference to staff regarding factual information, request staff to report back at a subsequent meeting concerning any matter or take action to direct staff to place a matter of business on a future agenda. (Gov't Code § 54954.2)

10. Time and Place of Next Meeting

Monday, August 15, 2022, at 5:30 p.m., via webcast, teleconference, or Zoom, pursuant

to procedures in accordance with Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas 2021).

11. **Adjournment**

The Committee meeting shall be adjourned by the Chair.

CONTACT:

KARISSA WHITE, STAFF SPECIALIST
375 BEALE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
kwhite@baaqmd.gov

(415) 516-8662
FAX: (415) 928-8560
BAAQMD homepage:
www.baaqmd.gov

- Any writing relating to an open session item on this Agenda that is distributed to all, or a majority of all, members of the body to which this Agenda relates shall be made available at the Air District's offices at 375 Beale Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94105, at the time such writing is made available to all, or a majority of all, members of that body.

Accessibility and Non-Discrimination Policy

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District) does not discriminate on the basis of race, national origin, ethnic group identification, ancestry, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, color, genetic information, medical condition, or mental or physical disability, or any other attribute or belief protected by law.

It is the Air District's policy to provide fair and equal access to the benefits of a program or activity administered by Air District. The Air District will not tolerate discrimination against any person(s) seeking to participate in, or receive the benefits of, any program or activity offered or conducted by the Air District. Members of the public who believe they or others were unlawfully denied full and equal access to an Air District program or activity may file a discrimination complaint under this policy. This non-discrimination policy also applies to other people or entities affiliated with Air District, including contractors or grantees that the Air District utilizes to provide benefits and services to members of the public.

Auxiliary aids and services including, for example, qualified interpreters and/or listening devices, to individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, and to other individuals as necessary to ensure effective communication or an equal opportunity to participate fully in the benefits, activities, programs and services will be provided by the Air District in a timely manner and in such a way as to protect the privacy and independence of the individual. Please contact the Non-Discrimination Coordinator identified below at least three days in advance of a meeting so that arrangements can be made accordingly.

If you believe discrimination has occurred with respect to an Air District program or activity, you may contact the Non-Discrimination Coordinator identified below or visit our website at www.baaqmd.gov/accessibility to learn how and where to file a complaint of discrimination.

Questions regarding this Policy should be directed to the Air District's Non-Discrimination Coordinator, Suma Peesapati, at (415) 749-4967 or by email at speesapati@baaqmd.gov.

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

375 BEALE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

FOR QUESTIONS PLEASE CALL (415) 749-4941

EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

MONTHLY CALENDAR OF AIR DISTRICT MEETINGS

JULY 2022

<u>TYPE OF MEETING</u>	<u>DAY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>ROOM</u>
Board of Directors Stationary Source and Climate Impacts Committee - CANCELLED	Monday	18	9:00 a.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361
Path to Clean Air Community Emissions Reduction Plan Steering Committee	Monday	18	5:30 p.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361
Board of Directors Meeting	Wednesday	20	9:00 a.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361
Board of Directors Administration Committee - CANCELLED	Wednesday	20	11:00 a.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361
Board of Directors Budget and Finance Committee - CANCELLED	Wednesday	27	9:30 a.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361
Board of Directors Mobile Source and Climate Impacts Committee	Thursday	28	9:30 a.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361

AUGUST 2022

<u>TYPE OF MEETING</u>	<u>DAY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>ROOM</u>
Path to Clean Air Community Emissions Reduction Plan Steering Committee	Monday	15	5:30 p.m.	Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361

HL 7/11/22 – 2:10 p.m.

G/Board/Executive Office/Moncal

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee

From: Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Date: July 18, 2022

Re: Approval of the Minutes of June 27, 2022

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the attached draft minutes of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee meeting of June 27, 2022.

BACKGROUND

None.

DISCUSSION

Attached for your review and approval are the draft minutes of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee meeting of June 27, 2022.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Prepared by: Karissa White

Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Draft Path to Clean Air CERP Steering Committee Meeting of June 27, 2022

Bay Area Air Quality Management District
375 Beale Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94105

DRAFT MINUTES

Richmond – No. Richmond – San Pablo Community Emissions Reduction Plan Community
Steering Committee

June 27, 2022, 5:30 P.M

1. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL

The Richmond – No. Richmond – San Pablo Community Emissions Reduction Plan Community Steering Committee (CSC or Committee) Co-Chair Alfredo Rafael Angulo called the meeting to order at 5:33 pm.

Present: Co-Chair Alfredo Rafael Angulo; and Members Nancy Aguirre, Francisco Avila, Marisol Cantu, Lucia Castello, Dr. Darlena David, Roberta Feliciano, Luz Gomez, Michelle Gomez Garcia, Lizbeth Ibarra, Jeffrey Kilbreth, Phillip Mitchell, Dr. Omoniyi Omotoso, Erika Ramirez, Jessica Range, Kevin Ruano Hernandez, Simren Sandhu, Dave Severy, Heidi Swillinger, Vernon Whitmore, Micaela Zaragoza-Soto, Hakim Johnson (non-voting), and Jim Holland (non-voting)

Absent: Co-Chair Y’Anad Burrell, Members Amanda Booth, Patricia A. Daniels, Suzanne Coffee, and Darlene Rios Drapkin

Also Present: Air District Staff, Alona Davis, Barry Young, Eric Lara, Joshua Abraham, Karissa White, Kelly Malinowski, Kevin Olp, Laura Cackette, Lily MacIver, Linda Duca, Luis Espino, Marcia Raymond, Paul Hibser, Phil Martien, Ranyee Chiang, Raymond Salalila, Sharon Landers, Stephen Reid, Vanessa Johnson, Veronica Eady, Victor Douglas, Wendy Goodfriend, Ying Yu; MIG staff, Myrna Ortiz, Ana Padilla, and Yesenia Perez; Interpreter Norma Herrera; Members of the Public Benjamin Gonzalo, Cameron Carr, Christine Wolfe, David Holstius, Ed Giacometti, Jeremy Herbert, Julia Luongo, Lily Wu, Nolwenn Smith, Robson Swift, Samuel Garcia, Song Bai

2. PUBLIC MEETING PROCEDURE

The Committee reflected on the life of Dr. Henry Clark.

Veronica Eady: reflected on knowing Dr. Clark for 30 years ago and spoke to Dr. Clark’s role as

a leader in the Environmental Justice Movement. Eady spoke to the impact of Dr. Clark's legacy and the continued impact his work will have in the City of Richmond for years to come.

Heidi Swillinger: requested that the Community description be dedicated to Dr. Henry Clark.

Luz Gomez: spoke to the heart and passion that Dr. Henry Clark brought to his community as an advocate in the environmental justice field. Gomez ended her reflection with a personal story about Dr. Henry Clark's love for blues music and spoke to how he deeply missed he will be in the community.

Alfredo Rafael Angulo: spoke to the legacy of Dr. Henry Clark and the need for Committee members to keep Dr. Henry Clark's legacy moving forward.

Veronica Eady: introduced the Interim Executive Officer Sharon Landers. Sharon Landers thanked the committee for their work and spoke to her excitement and dedication in the new role.

Public Comments

None.

3. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MAY 16, 2022**

[Begins at 12:58] The Committee reviewed the meeting agenda and approved the May 16, 2022, Meeting Minutes with edits in a roll call vote.

Committee Comments

Nancy Aguirre: requested that the minutes be corrected to reflect the full extent of Co-Chairs Burrell and Aguirre's communication on page five of the May meeting Minutes.

Kevin Olp: responded and noted that Air District staff will make the requested corrections.

Marcia Raymond: clarified the timeline of approval for Minutes.

Micaela Zaragoza-Soto: Micaela made a correction to the spelling of her name in the Minutes.

Staff Comments

None.

Public Comments

None.

Committee Action

Nancy Aguirre made a motion to approve the minutes as amended, seconded by Dr. Omoniyi Omotoso to approve the minutes of the May 16th, 2022, minutes. The motion carried by the following vote of the Committee:

AYES: Co-Chair Alfredo Rafael Angulo; and Members Nancy Aguirre, Lucia Castello, Dr. Darlena David, Roberta Feliciano, Luz Gomez, Michelle Gomez Garcia, Lizbeth Ibarra, Jeffrey Kilbreth, Dr. Omoniyi Omotoso, Erika Ramirez, Jessica Range, Simren Sandhu, Dave Severy, Heidi Swillinger, Vernon Whitmore, Micaela Zaragoza-Soto

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: Members Marisol Cantu and Kevin Ruano Hernandez

ABSENT: Co-Chair Y'Anad Burrell, Members Francisco Avila, Amanda Booth, Suzanne Coffee, Patricia A. Daniels, and Darlene Rios Drapkin, and Phillip Mitchell.

Public Comments

None.

4. **AD HOC UPDATES**

The Path to Clean Air Steering Committee and the public received updates on the active Ad Hoc working groups.

Committee Description Ad Hoc Co-lead Nancy Aguirre gave an update on the Community Description.

Committee Comments

None.

5. **AIR DISTRICT PROBLEMS TO SOLUTIONS PRESENTATION #2**

[Begins at 24:07] The Path to Clean Air Steering Committee and the public received the second presentation in a multi-part series to share and discuss insights regarding air quality problems and potential solution strategies from a panel of four different BAAQMD divisions.

Presentation Summary Segment 1

Kelly Malinowski outlined that the panel would share specific examples of air district strategies for the path to clean air, strategies for partners, and the role the community description has in strategy development.

Malinowski reviewed the vision and guiding principles developed at the beginning of the Community Emissions Reduction Plan (CERP) process. She described the six community

concern categories that were developed by BAAQMD and would be used as a framework to organize and summarize the strategies into these categories similar to how the technical assessment information was organized. She explained that community concerns that are raised can form a key issue however it informs strategy development, strategies, and actions that can remedy the concern or a key issue.

Malinowski introduced the Rule Development Division, Eric Lara and Laura Cackette. They began with an overview of the measures and strategies that have been completed in the last five years that are relevant to the Richmond San Pablo community; for reduction of risk from air toxic emissions at existing facilities, particulate matter (PM) rules, wildfire rules, refinery emissions tracking, refinery fluidized catalytic cracking units, permitting amendments, and industrial hydrogen plants.

Lara shared the rule development strategies that were identified in the West Oakland Community Action Plan and described how the CERP can use lessons learned for the Richmond-San Pablo emissions reduction plan. Cackette described rules that are currently in development and how they are addressing the six community concern categories; building appliances, dust/white paper, refinery wastewater, and policy tracking. Cackette outlined existing and new ideas for future strategies and actions in regard to dust, flaring and NOx reduction for white paper, best available retrofit control technology (BARCT), indirect source regulation (ISR) policy tracking, public engagement on rules prioritization, and some of the West Oakland strategies that would be of interest to the CERP.

Laura Cackette also described how the existing and new ideas are addressing the six community concern categories. She concluded the division's presentation by posing potential questions and opening the room for questions.

Committee Comments Segment 1

Lucia Castello: asked presenters to repeat the information presented on the Refinery Fluidized Catalytic Cracking (Rule 6-5). Eric Lara replied to Castello: clarified the statistic on Rule 6-5 and the FCCUs as being the largest individual source of particulate matter in the Bay Area, Richmond and San Pablo Area.

Heidi Swillinger: asked if the Air District monitors nail salons and/or would consider monitoring the indoor air quality of salons as the air likely put workers at risk. Kevin Olp replied to Swillinger: clarified that the Air District does not have direct regulatory authority over nail salons. He then clarified that future efforts would identify partners and strategies as a future follow up to address indoor air quality in nail salons.

Hakim Johnson: asked for clarification as to how long it takes to generate the Dust FSM/White Paper (Rule 6-1, etc.) and then asked for a timeline of how long it takes to become a rule for the white papers to become a rule for approval. Victor Douglas replied to Johnson: Douglas clarified that the White Paper is a way to investigate a source of pollution to ensure that the technology is available to control the emission. Douglas spoke to the investigative process of the White Papers

and clarified that the process can take between one to three years depending on the complexity of the investigation.

Jeffrey Kilbreth: spoke in reference to the White Paper process. Kilbreth emphasized that it is important to take advantage of the rules that have already been put in place. Kilbreth specifically mentioned Rule 11 – 18 as it was passed to provide a safety valve for public health if emissions increased over time in a facility. Kilbreth then asked what should we ask the facility to do to get to a target? How do we merge the CERP effort with the rule 11 – 18 Health Risk Assessment on Chevron and other polluters within the study are? Victor Douglas: spoke to Rule 11 -18. Rule 11 -18 looks at the emissions, specifically at toxic emissions, from various sources and assesses toxic emissions through a health risk assessment. Toxic emissions are assessed to see impacts on the community. If the toxic risk is above a specific action threshold, then the facility must develop a risk action plan. The risk action plan must be implemented within five years and reduce the detected risk. The facility may receive an extended deadline of implementation if the facility provides sufficient evidence as to why they are unable to reduce the risk within the initial five-year time frame. The facility will then be granted an additional five years to reduce the risk. The process for the risk reduction plan may also be expedited if the threshold for risk is detected prior to the end of the health risk assessment completion.

Luz Gomez: expressed her enthusiasm to a previous comment made on Rule 11-18 to give greater scrutiny. She also cautioned that there be enough staff in order to have sufficient capacity for an increased level of scrutiny. Gomez addressed Slide 21 from the presentation and commented that more indicators for exposures assured when addressing public health could be indicated on the chart. Regarding the White Paper, Gomez asked what the emphasis of the White Paper is. Lastly, she asked if there has been any movement around rulemaking of cumulative impacts.

Victor Douglas replied to Gomez: Douglas agreed that there could be more exposure measures indicated in Slide 21. To the White Paper question, Douglas clarified that the White Paper will primarily look at sources from material handling such as materials from construction sites. To Gomez's third question on addressing cumulative impact, Douglas clarified that there was not a rule specifically addressing cumulative impacts but referenced Rule 2-1 and Rule 2-5 as models. He proceeded to describe an approach that will ensure that the rules are more stringent by identifying vulnerabilities of communities most impacted by air pollution and using tools, such as Enviro-Screen, to characterize the health impacts to make rules more stringent within identified communities.

Presentation Summary Segment 2 (Begins at 1:02:00)

Barry Young introduced himself as the senior advanced projects advisor of the Engineering division. He shared that in the past the engineering division used the existing Regulation 2 as the basis for permitting and contains the rules that apply to permitting. He described that in December of 2021, Air District adopted permitting regulation amendments that would go into effect in July of 2022, the Air District committed to evaluate the permit processes to address air pollution and areas overburdened by environmental and health stress.

Young described all the amendments to the regulations and rules that would influence permitting and how communities would be impacted. He described the projected system changes due to the adoption of the new amendments like more public noticing, evolved billing structure, and

increase demand for resources. Young showed how the regulations are addressing the six community concern categories; primarily addressing public health and reducing exposure, fuel refining, support facilities, storage, and distribution, industrial and commercial sources near communities, and odors and smells.

Raymond Salalila introduced himself as an air quality specialist with the Compliance and Enforcement Division (C&E), he explained that their role is to continually improve enforcement operations, policies procedures, processes, and practices. An example strategy is the Air Quality complaint program update, enhanced reporting process through a new online reporting portal, requesting detailed complaint descriptions, and increase flexibility for confirming complaints to go beyond the parameters of the complaint policy and procedure.

He described the present and future efforts and strategies to coordinate and conduct current investigations with other agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency, the California Air Resources Board, etc. Salalila also described increase efforts to have more staff at the Chevron Refinery in a specialized task force that conducts complex and in-depth investigations and audits beyond the normal inspections and to ensure compliance with all applicable regulations and requirements and to discover any unreported emissions and violations. Salalila described that C&E works closely with the Legal division to resolve chronic violation cases. He talked about how the Air District has added web tools to the website to increase information accessibility to learn more about violations in their communities.

After, Alona Davis presented on behalf of the Strategic Incentives Division and the Technology Implementation Office. She explained that the Air District provided voluntary grants to equipment owners to replace their higher emitting equipment with cleaner alternatives in advance of any regulatory requirement to do so. All incentive programs are subject to strict rules from the state. She shared future strategies for grants and incentives through focused engagement in the Richmond San Pablo area, potentially expanding programs to include replacement of stationary engines, and finding new sources of funding that can support current programs or expand programs.

Kelly Malinowski continued the presentation by reviewing the West Oakland Community Action Plan strategy development and what were the lessons learned or potential criteria.

Stephen Reid from the technical team came on to share the technical approach for emissions reduction strategies. He reiterated that the Air District will be using 2019 as the baseline year for emissions but will need to produce five to ten years of projections to tie the year that the CERP is adopted for a given community and expect the Richmond-San Pablo CERP is expected to be adopted in 2023. He described that there are two types of emission inventories for those future years: business as usual inventory and CERP inventories. He described how not every strategy in the CERP would necessarily have a quantified emissions reduction associated with it and used examples from the West Oakland Community Action Plan. He was explaining that a strategy could impact different aspects of the community and air quality.

Kelly Malinowski concluded the presentation by opening the room up for regarding the presentation.

Committee Comments Segment 2

Marisol Cantu: Thanked the presenters. Cantu spoke to her lived experience as both a community member and her lived experience as a family member of those who have experienced cancer and asthma. Cantu noted that as one of the areas with the highest rates of asthma and cancer in the entire Air District there is still a lot of work to do to address these issues. She noted that she did not hear this fully addressed in the presentation, specifically within the health impacts portion. Cantu raised a concern related to the White Papers. Given the amount of existing information and the length of time it takes to complete a White Paper, Cantu expressed her concern for delayed action while the White Paper is being completed. Additionally, Cantu asked for clarification as to how rules are being followed and the consequences for facilities when rules are broken. She expressed a desire for clear direction and improved outcomes for community members that are most harmed by a shortcoming of rules and regulations in the community, specifically black and brown communities in Richmond. Kevin Olp: Expressed his appreciation for Marisol's comment and the reminder for continued progress. Olp expressed the Air District's recognition that the community is still experiencing a high risk of asthma and other health consequences.

Nancy Aguirre: lifted up Cantu's question on the consequences for facilities when rules are broken. Aguirre's first question was: What are the systems in place for violations? How are the facilities held accountable for their actions and what are the next steps for how the Air District responds? She then asked: what kind of outreach is being done to reach those who do not have Wi-Fi or internet access to ensure that the new website is meeting hard to reach communities? Raymond Salalila: addressed Aguirre's question on root cause analysis and chronic violators. These are addressed through the Compliance Enforcement Division Inspection Staff assigned to specific geographical areas. The inspection staff are the enforcers of rules and have the ability to enforce rules and regulations through Notices of Violations.

Ying Yu: addressed Aguirre's question on chronic regulations. Yu spoke to current and future strategies that look at repeat patterns and offenders. Repeated violations are documented through a comprehensive report for the legal division for evaluation. The legal division determines the appropriate penalty for violations. Yu addressed Aguirre's questions for reaching hard to reach communities through the new website tool. Yu noted the tool is in its preliminary stage but that staff will make a note to find a way to address those without Wi-Fi access through strategic methods to ensure that they are able to assess the information provided through the new website tool.

Alona Davis: spoke to the incentives program and referenced outreach methods using community lists to reach the public. Davis also asked the Steering Committee what their own experience is in reaching out to the community to reach those who do not have internet.

Barry Young: expanded on the methods used for outreach. Young referenced direct mail service at schools and community members within 1,000 feet of schools as a core strategy for outreach. Young noted that this is a similar method that is used for the overburdened community public notice. The strategy similarly notifies residents within 1,000 feet of a new project. Young welcome new ideas to engage overburdened communities.

Lucia Castello: Castello's first question was about how existing facilities can be required to upgrade with the upgrade of emission requirements? How are existing facilities required to meet upgraded emissions requirements? Castello asked for clarification on this question and the Baseline Assessment in 2019.

Victor Douglas: spoke to rules as one of the primary avenues through which staff can ensure that sufficient technology requirements are maintained to meet upgraded emissions requirements. The technical analysis is a tool to see how much emissions reductions can be achieved through setting higher standards. Once the new methodologies are applied to a source, there are monitoring regimes that ensure 1.) the compliance of the rules and 2.) that emissions reductions are consistently maintained. This work determines the overall emissions that are coming from a community in the inventory.

Lucia Castello: followed up on a point made earlier that stated that 90% of violations are by Chevron. Castello then asked for further clarification on how fines and fees are currently determined. Kevin Olp: provided a brief background on how fines and fees are set. Olp also lifted a current bill that the Air District is co-sponsoring to increase penalties for refineries. He presented this as a potential strategy for the Steering Committee to advocate for the bill. Ying Yu: Yu clarified that Compliance and Enforcement is to document the violation in detail but that the Compliance and Enforcement Division does not directly set the penalty. The legal team sets the violation penalty amount. Paul Hibser: also stated that the legal division sets the penalty amount. Hibser clarified specific systems in place for facilities that ensure accountability and the processes for investigation to determine if a rule is being violated. Linda Duca: clarified the process for presenting violation reports to the legal team. Duca explained that violation reports are presented together as a larger, holistic story as a strategy to provide the legal team with a clearer understanding of the violations for pollutants.

Jeffrey Kilbreth: requested an additional level of detail for the information provided in this meeting as well as previous meeting information. For example, Kilbreth requested a comprehensive list of current rules in development that describe the specific goals, timeline, implementation tactics, desired outcomes and results to date for rules. Kilbreth also requested the additional data that has been previously requested by the Technical Ad Hoc to better plan for development and strategy. Kilbreth also spoke to the critical need for a cumulative health risk assessment to address the total impact of the pollution. Kilbreth also commented on the need for specific data on the fines levied on violators in order to increase transparency and accountability. Kevin Olp: addressed Kilbreth's requests and noted that staff was taking notes and would address the requests after the meeting. Phil Martien: asked Kilbreth for further clarification on what resources he is requesting for the community wide health impact. Kilbreth clarified the materials that members have not yet been provided. Kevin Olp: addressed Kilbreth and made a note to address this topic in the next meeting as an agenda item.

Luz Gomez: presented the members with ideas and suggestions on previous comments. On outreach, Gomez noted the importance of ensuring outreach materials are available in different languages. Gomez also suggested that the community be directly educated on how to comment on permit applications.

Hakim Johnson: asked for clarification on the geographical scope of emissions reductions. Johnson asked if emissions exposures referenced include regional levels. Steve: Clarified that the emissions exposures are focused on local sources all reductions are focused and modeled on local sources.

Hakim: reiterated the burden of the communities and asked how can we address the levels of external exposures as a community? Victor Douglas: spoke to the importance of bringing other entities to the tables such as involvement from other Departments, such as Caltrans. He stressed the importance of bringing other entities to the table.

Jessica Range: echoed Cantu's concern around lack of action. Range introduced a specific example in the 2005 Ozone Strategy that the Air District has yet to fully address to complete her point. Additionally, Range asked if there was any consideration of prioritizing overburdened communities within the Strategic Incentives Funding grant process. Range also suggested emissions offset strategy for these programs.

Victor Douglas: addressed the indirect source agreement Range referenced. Douglas Spoke to the shift of legislative authority to address indirect sources why the effort has not yet been completed. Douglas suggested that in the interim, a possible strategy of could be to collect information on indirect sources.

Alona Davis: addressed Range's comment regarding the Strategic Incentive Funding and clarified the requirements for mandates that require certain percentages of funding to be invested in projects within overburden communities. Davis also elaborated that outreach specifically targets overburdened communities. Davis then addressed the comment on emissions offset programs and clarified that this is a program that staff is investigating as a potential program.

Public Comments

None.

6. STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS AND AD HOC CO-LEADS SELECTION PRESENTATION

[Begins at 2:25:27] The Path to Clean Air Steering Committee and the public received a presentation on the key issues and strategy development process.

Presentation Summary

Kevin Olp presented the BAAQMD and the steering committee developing key issues goals, targets, and strategies. He shared that there would be a Problems to Solution Ad Hoc to help plan and facilitate small group discussions for the six community concern categories to write the key issue statements and solution strategies and then review the draft CERP. The 12-person Ad-hoc would be co-developing and co-leading breakout rooms during the steering committee meeting for each of the six issue areas then write the chapters for the key issue statements and the strategies together with the feedback from the breakout groups.

Olp shared that there is a desire to create more opportunities to have community discussions and potentially in a hybrid structure with the Ad hoc and steering committee meetings from July to October. Ad hoc members would be collaboratively with the Steering committee members to write each of the individual sections of the plan by developing a schedule for milestones. He shared that there would potentially be a first draft around November to December

Committee Comments

Jeffrey Kilbreth: requested that the distribution of members among workgroups be more evenly distributed depending on the work group. Kevin Olp: agreed and explained the logic behind the distribution among members. Olp further noted that this will be considered during decision making processes.

Kevin G. Ruano Hernandez: requested he be in Industrial and Commercial Sources Near Communities.

Hakim Johnson: asked for clarification as to who created the work group leads.

Jessica Range: asked to be a maybe as she was unable to complete the survey in time.

Micaela Zaragoza-Soto: asked for further clarification on the logistics of the work groups. Alfredo Angelo: clarified coordination for the work groups. Kevin Olp: further clarified the coordination of the work groups for the next few months.

Lizbeth Ibarra: requested to join the Fuel Refining, Support, Facilities, Storage and Distribution Committee as co-chair.

Vernon Whitmore: requested to join the Vehicles and Trucks, Streets and Freeways, and Logistics Committee as co-chair.

Public Comments

None.

Committee Action

None; receive and file.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE UPDATES

[Begins at 2:45:00] The Path to Clean Air Steering Committee and the public shared and received Environmental Justice updates.

Committee Comments

Kevin G. Ruano Hernandez: reminded members of the upcoming Community Advisory Council Meeting.

Nancy Aguirre: asked members if anyone knows the outcome of the CARB Carbon Sequestration in the Scoping Plan decision. Kevin Olp: addressed Aguirre’s question and will reach out directly in the interest of time with an answer.

Public Comments

None.

Committee Action

None; receive and file.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA MATTERS

[Begins at 2:48:01] Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.3

Members of the public who wish to speak on matters not on the agenda for the meeting, will have two minutes each to address the Committee.

Committee Comments

None.

Public Comments

None.

Committee Action

None; receive and file.

9. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

Any member of the Committee, or its staff, on his or her own initiative or in response to questions posed by the public, may: ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement or report on his or her own activities, provide a reference to staff regarding factual information, request staff to report back at a subsequent meeting concerning any matter or take action to direct staff to place a matter of business on *a future agenda*. (Gov't Code § 54954.2)

Committee Comments

None.

10. TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING

Monday, July 18th, 2022, at 5:30 p.m., Zoom Video Conferencing, at 5:30 p.m.
Zoom Log In: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83911070430>
Meeting ID: 839 1107 0430

11. ADJOURNMENT

The Committee meeting was adjourned by Alfredo Rafael Angelo at 8:21 P.M.

MIG Consulting

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee

From: Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Date: July 18, 2022

Re: Ad Hoc Updates

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

BACKGROUND

None.

DISCUSSION

None.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Prepared by: Karissa White

Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee

From: Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Date: July 18, 2022

Re: Draft Final Community Description from Ad Hoc

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

BACKGROUND

The Community Description Ad Hoc of the Community Steering Committee has been working together since October 2021 to develop an outline of the Community Description, which will be included as a chapter in the CERP. The Ad Hoc worked with Air District staff to collect, analyze, and summarize key data and information for the Community Description and worked together to co-write the draft final Community Description. The Ad Hoc is sharing their process, and the content of the final draft Community Description, and will be requesting that the Community Steering Committee provide a high-level review of the final draft in advance of the August 2022 Community Steering Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION

None.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Prepared by: Karissa White
Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee

From: Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Date: July 18, 2022

Re: Discussion of Key Issues Approach

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

BACKGROUND

In October 2021, staff introduced the Key Issues Approach for strategy development.

DISCUSSION

The Steering Committee will review and discuss the Key Issues Approach for strategy development. Staff will review the approach, and then the Steering Committee will recess to provide an opportunity for ad-hoc small groups to discuss specific key issues. Members of the public will be invited to attend these small-group ad-hoc discussions. The Steering Committee will then reconvene to hear and discuss reports from the ad-hoc small group discussions and to review the Key Issues Approach for strategy development.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Prepared by: Karissa White

Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Path to Clean Air Steering Committee

From: Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Date: July 18, 2022

Re: Environmental Justice Updates

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

BACKGROUND

None.

DISCUSSION

None.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Veronica Eady
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Policy & Equity

Prepared by: Karissa White

Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None