

Community Emission Reduction Plan (CERP) Community Steering Committee Meeting #12

March 21, 2022

Welcome!



Today's Agenda

- 1. Roll Call
- 2. Welcome and Timeline Review
- 3. Approval of February 28, 2022, Meeting Minutes
- 4. Updates from Ad Hoc Groups
- 5. Technical Assessment Insights: Part I
- 6. Compliance & Enforcement Data Findings from 2019 2021
- 7. Environmental Justice Updates
- 8. Public Comment on Non-agenda Items and Next Steps



Timeline: Where are We Today?

			2021			2022												
	PHASE	WORK PRODUCT	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG SI	PT OCT	r nov	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG
	SCOPE AND ORGANIZE PARTNERSHIP WITH COMMUNITY	Steering Committee Plan Process Vision and Principles Plan Boundary			Ê		3	C		~)							
Q,	ASSESS THE CHALLENGES WE FACE	Community Description Technical Assessment					G	C	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- - - 	
- <u>\$</u> -	PLAN OUR SOLUTIONS	Key Issues Goals and Targets Strategies						C				1	8					
	REVIEW & ADOPTION	Environmental Assessment Plan Adoption – Steering Committee Plan Adoption – BAAQMD Plan Adoption – CARB														0		
¢	IMPLEMENT	Implementation Plan Enforcement Plan Metrics to Track Progress Ongoing Reporting																

Approval of February 28, 2022 Meeting Minutes



Public Comment



Update from Community Description and Technical Assessment Ad Hocs

Community Description Ad Hoc co-leads: Nancy Aguirre

Technical Assessment Ad Hoc co-leads: Jeff Kilbreth

Town Hall Update: Alfredo Angulo



Public Comment



Technical Assessment Insights: Part I

Steve Reid, Senior Advanced Projects Advisor

sreid@baaqmd.gov

Daniel Alrick, Principal Air and Meteorological Monitoring Specialist <u>dalrick@baaqmd.gov</u>



Topics for this Presentation

- Insights from emissions inventory information and air quality modeling and monitoring
- Air quality overview for the CERP area
- Information organized around categories of community concerns
 - Fuel refining, support facilities, storage, and distribution

Informing Key Issues

Technical Community-Identified Assessment for **Air Pollution Concerns** a Key Issue Information from Insights **Measurements** Information from on key air issues Modeling Social Pinpoint **Strategies** Inform to Reduce Pollution Monitoring Plan Development **Emissions and Exposure** Air Quality Complaints Setting targets and tracking progress **Air Pollution Issue of Concern**

Air Quality Overview for the CERP Area

Categories of Pollutants

	Criteria Air Pol	llutants (CAPs)	Toxic Air Contaminants (TACs)	
Description	Six common air pollut health and have Natior Standards (NAAQS)	ants that harm human nal Ambient Air Quality set by the U.S. EPA	Pollutants that are known or suspected to cause cancer or other serious health effects Includes U.S. EPA Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	
	Ozone (O ₃)	Particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10})	Examples of TACs: <u>Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX)</u> , volatile organic compounds (VOCs), found in	
Pollutants in this Category	Pollutants in this Carbon monoxide Nitrogen (NO) Category (CO) (NO)	Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	gasoline and released through combustion of fossil fuels <u>Diesel particulate matter (DPM)</u> , resulting from combustion of diesel fuel	
	Sulfur dioxide (SO ₂)	Lead (Pb)	Certain <u>metals</u> such as mercury, chromium, and arsenic	

More info: Criteria air pollutants: <u>https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants</u> Toxic air contaminants: <u>https://oehha.ca.gov/air/toxic-air-contaminants</u>

Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

- Fine inhalable particles with diameters of 2.5 micrometers or smaller
- These small particles can travel deep into the lungs and into the bloodstream
- Examples of sources:
 - Combustion of fuels or other materials (industrial operations, cars and trucks, trains, ships, off-road equipment, wildfires)
 - Dust from unpaved surfaces, vehicle brakes, construction, sand and gravel facilities
 - Natural sources such as wind-blown dust and sea salt
 - Formation in the atmosphere through complex reactions of other pollutants



https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics

Annual Average PM_{2.5} Levels



- Variability over time driven by changes in emissions, meteorology, wildfires
- Peak site varies from year to year; San Pablo is often one of the higher sites
- In recent years, annual averages at San Pablo have ranged from about 8 μg/m³ to over 12 μg/m³

Annual Average PM_{2.5} Levels

16



- The current standard for annual average PM_{2.5} is not health protective and EPA is reconsidering the current standard
- There are health benefits for additional reductions in PM_{2.5} even at levels below the standard

Daily Average PM_{2.5} Levels at San Pablo, 2013-2020



- Highest daily levels recently have been during wildfires
- Day-to-day air quality can be quite variable, due to changes in meteorology and emissions

Daily Average PM_{2.5} Levels at San Pablo, 2013-2020



Higher $PM_{2.5}$ levels also occur during wintertime episodes, when meteorological conditions allow pollution to build up and bring additional pollutants into the area from outside regions

Questions?



Air Quality Overview

Emissions and Modeled Exposures



Emissions: What is included?

- Inventories for all stationary and mobile sources for which data are available to support estimates
- Criteria air pollutants (CAPs) and associated compounds: fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), reactive organic gases (ROG), etc.
- Toxic air contaminants (TACs): 158 compounds included in the R-NR-SP inventory
 - TAC emissions weighted by toxicity (cancer, chronic, acute)

Emissions: How are they organized?

Source Sectors

Stationary Point Sources w/Permits



Refineries, power plants, gas stations, autobody shops

Stationary Area Sources



Fireplaces, water heaters, consumer products

Emission "Buckets"

- Petroleum Refining
- On-road/Freeway
- Auto Body



On-Road Mobile



Cars, trucks, buses

Off-Road Mobile



Ships, aircraft, rail, construction equipment

- Port
- Rail
- Etc.

Emissions Overview: Criteria Pollutants By Source Sector

Source Contributions to 2019 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the Path to Clean Air Project Area

SECTOR	NOx	TOG	ROG	SOx	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
Point	19%	47%	26%	83%	49%	67%
Area	12%	37%	43%	9%	23%	19%
Off-road	49%	9%	18%	7%	5%	7%
On-road	20%	7%	13%	1%	23%	7%
Total Emissions						
(tons/year)	2,982	6,009	2,834	587	1,135	756



Permitted (point) sources contributions to total emissions range from 19% to 83% for the pollutants shown

Emissions Overview: Toxic Air Contaminants

Toxicity Weighted Emissions (TWE)

- TAC emissions weighted by OEHHA health values
- These calculations provide assessments of the relative toxicity of each compound
- Toxicity weighting is also applied to modeled pollutant concentrations

TAC Rankings from the 2019 the Path to Clean Air Project Area Inventory

		Toxicity	Weighted Emissions			
Rank	Emissions by mass	Cancer Score	Non-Cancer Chronic Effects Score	Non-Cancer Acute Effects Score		
1	Ammonia	Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM)	Manganese	Benzene		
2	Toluene	Benzene	Nickel	Acrolein		
3	Ethylene	Chromium (hexavalent)	Benzene	Formaldehyde		
4	Formaldehyde	1,3-butadiene	Acrolein	Nickel		
5	Propylene	Acrylonitrile	Sulfuric acid	Arsenic		

The Top 5 pollutants under each TWE category account for:

- 92% of the total cancer score
- 66% of the total chronic effects score
- 90% of the total acute effects score

Emissions Overview: Toxic Air Contaminants By Source Sector

Source Contributions to 2019 Emissions of Selected TACs for the Path to Clean Air Project Area

		Hex			Hydrogen
SECTOR	DPM	Chrom	Formaldehyde	Manganese	Sulfide
Point	1%	93%	18%	77%	100%
Area	1%	0%	27%	9%	0%
Off-road	81%	6%	43%	0%	0%
On-road	17%	1%	12%	13%	0%
Total Emissions					
(tons/year)	35.23	0.01	60.13	1.49	4.36

- For TACs, we must consider both mass and toxicity
 - Permitted (point) sources contributions to total emissions range from 1% to 100% for the TACs shown



49%

Cancer Score

80,000

Community Comparisons Permitted Sources

Metric	R-NR-SP	San Rafael	Concord
Population	159,000	61,000	125,000
Permitted Sources	303	146	153
TACs in Inventory	79	33	23
TAC Emissions (tpy)	284.1	7.1	7.3
PM _{2.5} Emissions (tpy)	502.8	7.6	0.7

Note: all emissions shown in the table above are for permitted sources only.

Permitted Sources

- Total TAC emissions in San Rafael and Concord are comparable
- Total TAC emissions in R-NR-SP ~40x higher



Community Comparisons Permitted Sources

		Emissions (lbs/year)			
Rank	Pollutant	R-NR-SP	San Rafael	Concord	
1	Manganese	2,282.87	0.07	0.04	
2	Nickel Compounds	300.44	0.80	0.51	
3	Sulfuric Acid	18,134.12	N/A	7.03	
4	Hydrogen Cyanide	91,667.17	N/A	N/A	
5	Hydrochloric Acid	33,846.32	7.06	N/A	
6	Formaldehyde	21,920.19	145.15	158.34	
7	Benzene	7,001.52	138.57	241.35	
8	Arsenic	32.25	0.02	0.01	
9	Diethanolamine	2,994.27	N/A	N/A	
10	Hydrogen Sulfide	8,716.54	N/A	N/A	

For permitted sources, formaldehyde emissions in R-NR-SR are **150x** higher than in San Rafael and **140x** higher than in Concord

 Similar or much larger differences can be seen across all pollutants

RICHMOND - NORTH RICHMOND - SAN PABLO COMMUNITY

Note: the 10 compounds shown above account for **97%** of the chronic TWE from permitted sources in R-NR-SP (the top 5 compounds account for 87%)

Modeling & Exposure Assessment

- Modeling estimates pollutant concentrations at 50 m spacing
- Concentrations combined with population data to evaluate exposures
- Source contributions to concentrations/exposures also calculated



How Much is Local?



Modeled PM_{2.5} Impacts from Local Sources





Technical Assessment Insights: Air Quality Overview

- San Pablo is often one of the higher monitoring sites for annual average PM_{2.5} in the Bay Area
- Trends in $PM_{2.5}$ levels influenced by fires in recent years
- There are health benefits for additional reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ even at levels below air quality standards
- Concentrations of air pollutants change over time and place, and different areas can be higher for different pollutants on different days

Technical Assessment Insights: Air Quality Overview

- Both local and non-local sources impact air quality in the Path to Clean Air Project Area; on average local sources contribute more to TAC impacts than to PM_{2.5} impacts
- Local source contributions to the Path to Clean Air Project Area community emissions inventory vary by pollutant
 - For PM_{2.5}, permitted sources are the largest contributor
 - For cancer TWE, offroad mobile sources are the largest contributor
- Modeled pollutant concentrations and exposures may tell different stories

Questions?



Community Concern: Fuel refining, support facilities, storage, and distribution

Community Concern: Fuel refining, support facilities, storage, and distribution

- We shared some initial technical insights around Chevron at the January CSC meeting
- Different types of monitoring systems in place
 - Emissions monitoring
 - Ambient monitoring
- How emissions data are estimated and categorized
- Modeled annual average $\mathrm{PM}_{\mathrm{2.5}}$ levels from individual facilities or sources

Fuel Refining: What is included?

Permitted Facility	Mobile Sources
Chevron	OGV at-berth
Chemtrade	
Kinder Morgan	
Phillips 66	OGV at-berth; trucks
Transmontaigne	
IMTT	OGV at-berth
Richmond Products Terminal	
Qualawash Holdings LLC	
Gas Stations	

Emissions from mobile source activities at each facility were quantified where data were available

Fuel Refining Emissions: Criteria Pollutants



*Includes OGV berthing emissions





Fuel Refining: Emissions vs. Exposure



- Source contributions to emissions and exposures often vary
- For example, *Fuel Refining* accounts for 64% of local PM_{2.5} emissions and 32% of average residential PM_{2.5} concentrations*
- Similarly, *Fuel Refining* accounts for 14% of local cancer TWE and 6% of average residential cancer risk*

*These average values are based on impacts from local sources only

Fuel Refining: Modeled PM_{2.5} Concentrations





24-hr Integrated Benzene Levels, 2016-2020



- Benzene has many sources, including fossil fuel burning, wildfires and other biomass burning, oil and gas processing and refining, and evaporation of gasoline, solvents, and paints
- While most measurements are below reference exposure levels for chronic impacts, benzene is the most toxic of the BTEX compounds

24-hr Integrated Toluene Levels, 2016-2020



- Some occurrences of higher levels at San Pablo compared to other locations, including on non-fire days, possibly indicating local sources of toluene
- Reference exposure level for chronic health impacts is 110 ppb

Air Toxics Monitoring Project

- Community Air Monitoring Plan project to collect data to inform on several areas with community concerns
- Data collected over the past several months
- Data review and analysis are underway
- Report and insights expected mid-2022



Technical Assessment Insights: Fuel refining, support facilities, storage, and distribution

- Many of the highest measured benzene levels were during wildfire periods, but other occurrences may be attributable to local sources, in addition to occurrences of higher levels of other TACs such as toluene
- Exposures are influenced not only by emission levels, but by release characteristics and other factors
- Process-level contributions to emissions and exposure levels are available to help develop strategies

Next Steps for the Technical Assessment

- The TA Ad Hoc will continue to work to refine analyses and communication to inform key issues and support action to reduce pollution emissions and exposure
- In April and May, we will bring insights for additional categories of community concerns
- We can send out additional materials for air quality concepts and expanded information on what was presented today

Public Comment



Steering Committee Questions and Discussions



Compliance & Enforcement Data Findings from 2019 - 2021

Ying Yu, Air Quality Specialist yyu@baaqmd.gov Linda Duca, Supervising AQ Specialist Iduca@baaqmd.gov



Types of Compliance & Enforcement Activities

• Review site/facility operations for compliance. Compliance • Investigate Reportable Compliance Activities Inspections and (RCAs), flaring events, and Title V deviations. Investigations • Identify source specific issues/concerns. • Investigate complaints to identify source of Air Quality emissions. Complaints • Determine magnitude of impact. Enforcement Ensure corrective actions are taken. Actions (Notice of Violation or

Resolve violation.

Notice to Comply)



Summary of Inspections and Investigations (Jan 2019 – Dec 2021)

- Number of permitted facilities: approx. 303
- **Types of facilities**: oil refinery, bulk terminals, gas stations, landfills, wastewater treatment facilities, metal recycling, food manufacturers, transfer stations, autobody shops, coating operations, and others
- Number of source inspections conducted: 878
- Number of Title V Deviations: 621
 - <u>Chevron Refinery</u>: 592
 - <u>West Contra Costa County Landfill</u>: 22
 - <u>Chemtrade</u>: 6
 - Kinder Morgan Terminal: 1



Summary of Inspections and Investigations (cont'd, Jan 2019 – Dec 2021)

Reportable Compliance Activities (RCA) Data

Туре	2019	2020	2021	Total
Excess	115	162	166	443
Breakdown	12	10	15	37
Inoperative Monitor	98	108	117	323
Pressure Relief Valve	1	0	1	2
Total	226	280	299	805





Reportable Flaring Events Data

Month/Year	2019	2020	2021
January	3	-	2
February	4	3	1
March	4	-	1
April	3	-	-
May	7	-	3
June	4	-	-
July	3	-	1
August	5	1	4
September	1	-	-
October	2	-	5
November	-	5	5
December	3	2	2
Total	39	11	24

Reportable Flaring Event: >500,000 scf /day or >500 lbs of SO₂/day

Air Quality Complaints Summary







Complaint Confirmation Rate: 19.2%

Alleged Sites of Odor Complaints in Richmond/San Pablo







Chevron Refinery

841 Chevron Way, Richmond, CA 94801

- Petroleum refinery processing approximately 240,000 barrels a day of oil
- Flaring (with or without visible emissions), Fluid Catalytic Cracker (FCC) visible emissions and sulfur dioxide emissions, Bioreactor wastewater treatment pond odors





Gold Bond Building Products

1040 Canal Blvd, Richmond, CA 94804

- Wallboard manufacturing facility
- Particulate emissions from vessel offloading of raw gypsum, manufacturing and storage stockpiles, nitrogen oxide and carbon monoxide emissions from combustion sources





City of Richmond Water Pollution Control District

601 Canal Blvd, Richmond, CA 94804

- Municipal wastewater treatment plant serving about 2/3 of Richmond, owned by City of Richmond and operated by Veolia under longterm contract with the city.
- Periodic H₂S emissions and odor complaints





West Contra Costa County Landfill

1 Parr Blvd, Richmond, CA 94804

- Closed landfill with active transfer station and composting operation, accepting green waste and food waste from surrounding communities.
- Compost odors and operations, landfill gas collection system downtime







Chemtrade West US LLC

525 Castro St, Richmond, CA 94801

- A sulfuric acid production facility that supports the Chevron Refinery
- An audit of the Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) at the facility in March 2021 led to discovery of multiple violations and a more in-depth investigation of the CEMS
- ~350 lb/day of under reported SO2
- Air District will bring Chemtrade violations to the Hearing Board on 4/12/22 - Materials associated with the case with be posted online and public participation is welcomed
- Facility will be required to come into compliance by 4/15/22 and conduct source testing by 5/2/22





AAK OII 1145 Harbor Way South, Richmond, CA 94804

- Plant oil refining to supply the food and health & beauty industries
- Odors, combustion sources





Summary of Enforcement Actions

• Notice of Violation:

Туре	2019	2020	2021	Total	Percentage
Permits	9	0	0	9	2.8%
Administrative	10	7	25	42	13.3%
Operational	73	51	141	265	83.9%
Total	92	58	166	316	100%

• Notice to Comply:

- Total number issued for the 3-year period: 24
 - Chevron Products Company: 8
 - Gas Stations: 5
 - Others: 11

Calendar Year	Number of NTCs
2019	18
2020	0
2021	6



Notice of Violation Summary



Sites/Facilities with more than 1 NOV (1/1/2019 - 12/31/2021)

■ 2019 **■** 2020 **■** 2021



Notices of Violation based on Regulations



Public Comment



Steering Committee Questions and Discussions



Standing Environmental Justice Updates Item



Public Comment



Next Meeting

- Our next Steering Committee meeting will be on Monday, April 25, 2022 from 5:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Agenda topics will include:
 - The Technical Assessment findings presentation #2



Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters

