

Bayview Hunters Point/Southeast San Francisco AB 617 Community Steering Committee Meeting AGENDA & NOTES

Tuesday, March 19, 2024, 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm PDT

Facilitator: Marsha Maloof

Note-taker: En2Action

*Co-Leads: Marie Harrison Community Foundation,
Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates, &
Bay Area Air Quality Management District*

*Meeting Location: Southeast Community Center, Hunters Point Room
1550 Evans Ave, San Francisco, CA 94124*

Webpage with Meeting Materials:

<https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/bayview-hunters-point-community-emissions-reduction-plan>

Attendees:

CSC Members

- Asipesionau Finau
- Amelia Erskine
- Agustin Angel
- Kamillah Ealom
- Chalam Tubati
- Kimberely Jeffery
- Leanne Wu
- Makayla Scott
- Michael Wong
- Nina Omomo
- Nikki Vismara
- Robin Robinson
- Sheila Whittenberg
- Tacora Hollins
- Tiffany Williams
- Tuli Hughes
- Yolanda Thomas

Technical Advisory Group Members

- Lauren Weston
- Trinity Vang
- James Dahlgren
- Neeta Thakur
- Matt Wolff
- Rich Berman

CARB

- Michelle Byars
- Jeremy Herbert

CO-LEADS

- Arieann Harrison
- Tonia Randell
- Sami Nuru
- Karen Pierce
- Dalrin Lewis
- Dalila Adofo

BAAQMD

- Greg Nudd

- Anne Baptiste
- Anna Lee
- David Ralston
- Suma Peesapati
- Michael Flagg
- Wendy Goodfriend
- Kate Hoag
- Steve Reid

E2A

- Raina Jackson
- Jaida Martin
- Abraham Vallin
- Marsha Maloof

INTERESTED

PARTIES/PUBLIC

- Chad White
- Mark De Sio
- Alison Labonte

- Michael Pound
- Aurion Green

- Malik Dennis
- Ruben Castro

- Shelly Hart

Gather – enjoy dinner, meet and greet, form filling.

1. Welcome and Agenda Review

a. What brought you here?

People are driven to this cause for a variety of reasons, including a strong sense of community and environmental responsibility. Motivated by a desire to address environmental justice concerns and have a positive local impact, they aim to be change makers. Driven by a strong desire to establish a more secure and wholesome community, they have a special emphasis on the welfare of young people. Their commitment to changing their surroundings is further reinforced by personal experiences of health consequences and concerns about environmental residuals.

2. Report Back on Guiding Principles, Governance Structure and Charter Update

The following points were discussed:

What do we do?/ Potential guiding principles cont'd

The approach revolved around conscious decision-making, where voting is guided by thoughtful consideration. Stipends are allocated exclusively to individuals, ensuring fair compensation. As a co-chair, missing three meetings allows for removal from the position, but is not required to be consecutively. Replacement would require a democratic vote within the group. A protocol involves receiving two phone calls from co-chairs upon missed meetings, emphasizing communication. Proxies are acceptable, but there's an emphasis on over-communication to stay informed. Additionally, there's a desire for oversight regarding potential subcommittees, ensuring thorough governance.

Where do we start?

Our starting point involves addressing the issue of toxic particles by determining actions to mitigate their impact. This includes establishing methods to track contamination, potentially involving community engagement through door-to-door outreach. Identifying the sources of these particles is crucial for effective intervention.

Questions / Discussion:

- Various questions and discussions arose regarding the governance structure and charter update.

Issues for Consideration:

- Attendance of CSC members and the possibility of members stepping down.
- Possibility of Co-Chairs stepping down or new Co-Chairs stepping up.
- Consensus and Voting mechanisms.
- Formation of sub-committees for specific tasks.
- CSC member list including email and phone information.
- Policy regarding CSC members missing meetings without communication.

- Co-Chairs are required to step down after missing three consecutive meetings.
- Proposal for CSC members to appoint a proxy in their absence.
- Criteria for recalling Co-leads if they create an unsafe space or fail to perform their duties.

Additional Feedback:

- Karen Pierce expressed dissatisfaction with the tone of certain requirements, suggesting reconsideration.

Attendees did not do the glossary match-up exercise and instead participated in an introductory activity, where they partnered with another individual to introduce themselves and discuss what motivated them to join the meeting.

3. Overview of Technical Advisory Group Members / Air Quality Foundations (Presentation)

Informative presentations were held by Steve Reid and Michael Flagg, followed by an interactive Q&A session. Key highlights from the discussions include:

Resident Health Concerns:

- Tacora Hollins emphasized the urgency of surveying residents on respiratory health and conducting pollutant testing. It was suggested that forming subcommittees or working groups could facilitate addressing these pressing issues effectively.

Accountability in Emissions:

- Questions were raised regarding the permitting criteria for emissions, underlining the importance of accountability in regulating pollutants to ensure public safety and environmental protection.

Renewal Process Efficiency:

- Karen Pierce brought attention to the time lapse between expiration and renewal processes, suggesting a review of the renewal process to streamline efficiency and compliance.

Oversight Subcommittee Proposal:

- Kamillah Ealom proposed establishing an Oversight Subcommittee to ensure the fulfillment of project goals. This proposal underscores the importance of ongoing monitoring and evaluation to maintain project integrity and success.

4. Wrap up and Action Steps

- a. At the next CSC Meeting on April 16th we will provide a summary of the charter and continue the Air Quality Foundations discussion.