

Bayview Hunters Point/Southeast San Francisco AB 617 Community Steering Committee Meeting Meeting Summary

Tuesday, February 20, 2024, 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm PDT

Facilitator: Marsha Maloof

Note-taker: En2Action

*Co-Leads: Marie Harrison Community Foundation, Bayview Hunters Point Community
Advocates, & Bay Area Air Quality Management District*

*Meeting Location: Southeast Community Center, Hunters Point Room
1550 Evans Ave, San Francisco, CA 94124*

Webpage with Meeting Materials:

<https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/bayview-hunters-point-community-emissions-reduction-plan>

Attendees:

CSC Members

- Agustin Angel
- Kamillah Ealom
- Leanne Wu
- Makayla Scott
- Nina Omomo
- Nikki Vismara
- Robin Robinson
- Tacora Hollins
- Tuli Hughes

Technical Advisory Group Members

- Neeta Shakur
- Matt Wolff
- Rich Berman

CARB

- Michelle Byars
- Kevin Olp

CO-LEADS

- Arieann Harrison
- Sami Nuru
- Karen Pierce

- Dalrin Lewis

BAAQMD

- Anne Baptiste
- David Ralston
- Daniel Madrigal
- Jenn Ferreira

E2A

- Yami Henry
- Raina Jackson
- Jaida Martin
- Abraham Vallin

INTERESTED PARTIES/PUBLIC

- Chad White
- Christina Navarro
- Ruben Castro
- Asipesionau Finau

<p>1. Gather – enjoy dinner, meet and greet, form filling for new CSC members.</p>
<p>2. Welcome and Introductions - Terms & Definitions Group Exercise Attendees did a word match exercise to become familiar with terms that will be used throughout the CERP process. Words included CARB (California Air Resource Board), emissions, and pollutants.</p>
<p>3. CSC Meeting Processes During the discussion on the guiding principles for the CERP process, CSC members deliberated on principles aimed at fostering an environment of respect, inclusivity, and efficiency. These principles ensured that all participants felt valued, heard, and understood throughout the process. Key principles highlighted included respecting diverse opinions and perspectives, creating a safe space for open dialogue and transparency, and maintaining consistency and transparency, as emphasized by Arianne and Agustin, respectively (at the end of this section).</p> <p>Tacora expressed concerns regarding the timeline and the process already taking long to get started, while Marsha underscored the importance of staying focused on the task at hand. Various members, such as Kamilah and Dalrin, emphasized the necessity of setting clear goals, understanding data, and considering cultural factors with humility.</p> <p>At the behest of the facilitator, discussions were initiated on whether the CSC should have a</p>

chair/lead/spokesperson and what the roles should entail. The roles discussed included outreach, being able to talk about the project/explain, leading through consensus building, being available to find information from other CSC members. Nominations were accepted for this role from Nina, Tuli, and Tacora. After each nominee presented why they wanted to be in this role there was a discussion on how a selection would be made. At this point Tuli withdrew citing the level of involvement may not work for her. The group agreed that the other two nominees would serve as co-chairs.

The group also discussed meeting attendance norms, consensus-building processes, and leadership roles within the committee, and potential need for sub-committees. Plans for future meetings included considerations for meal arrangements, recaps of previous meetings, and strategies for handling disagreements while maintaining progress. Marsha suggested in-person meetings for the subsequent four sessions to facilitate relationship-building and accountability. The group also deliberated on attendance policies and the possibility of accepting new members, demonstrating a commitment to effective collaboration and decision-making throughout the CERP process.

List guiding principles brainstormed by CSC:

- People Focused
- Gratitude, empathy
- Respect people's opinion
- Everyone has a voice
- Creating a safe space
- Communicate amongst each other
- Consistency
- Transparency
- Respect, everyone has different knowledge they bring
- Make progress, more meetings if needed, stay on task
- Courage
- Expediency
- Community impact
- Understand data
- Building community
- Honesty
- Step up, step back
- Take time to know each other
- Active listening
- Take time to reach consensus

4. What do we want and need to know first?

Meeting attendees determined It is crucial to pinpoint areas lacking data to request information for those regions. When examining community boundaries, it's essential to focus

on hotspot areas irrespective of the percentage of air quality issues. Aligning the emissions data we possess with that which we receive is necessary for a comprehensive understanding.

5. Community Boundaries

When creating their community boundary, attendees emphasized the importance of ensuring inclusivity involves reviewing physical boundaries to avoid exclusion. Following discussions on community concerns and establishing geographic boundaries, the next phase entails engaging technical advisory groups to gain insights into emission sources. A question was raised on whether Allemany was included in the preliminary boundary area (it is not). While discussing boundaries it was stressed that inclusion and exclusion of certain areas might result in incentives for those businesses within the boundary area. Regarding funding for change, opportunities include grants, implementation funds, and incentives, with the flexibility to draft a project plan aligned with guidelines while leveraging existing resources.

6. Wrap up and Action Steps

It was proposed that charters from previous organizations be explored to develop a tailored charter for our community. Marsha and Karen emphasized that each community is different and that this process needs to be what works for this community.

At the end of the meeting, participants filled out meeting evaluation forms where they answered questions about how the meeting went, what could be improved, and if there was anything else they wanted to share.

Meeting ended at 7:01 PM.