1			
2			
3			
4	BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE		
5	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT		
6	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
7 8	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT) DOCKET NO. 3751) ACCUSATION OF VIOLATION OF	
9	Complainant,	 REGULATION 2, RULE 1, SECTION 307 AND REGULATION 2, RULE 6, SECTION 307 	
10	vs.)	
11	TESLA MOTORS, INC.	FILED	
12 13	Respondent.	MAY () 2 2024	
14		HEARING BOARD BAY AREA AIR QUALITY	
15	I. INTRODUCTION		
16			
17			
18	(POCs) and Toxic Air Contaminants (TACs). To protect air quality and public health, Tesla is required to		
19	control emissions of these air pollutants using an abatement system that captures and collects the		
20	pollutants and then abates them, primarily through incinera	tion using a device called a thermal oxidizer.	
21	1 2. Tesla has been violating, and continues to violate, the requirements in its permit and Air		
22	2 District regulations to abate emissions from its paint shops. Tesla has emitted harmful POCs and Toxic		
23	Air Contaminants directly into the atmosphere unabated, for which it received 112 Notices of Violation		
24	from the Air District since 2019, each of which included one or more days of violation. Each such		
25	violation emits illegal air pollution in varying amounts. The	ese violations are recurring, and they	
26	6 negatively affect public health and the environment.		
27	3. Tesla's recurring violations result from a var	riety of causes: In some cases, Tesla's thermal	
28			
	- 1 -		

ACCUSATION AND REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL ABATEMENT ORDER

oxidizer or related components of the abatement system malfunction, and emissions are vented directly to 1 the atmosphere without proper abatement. In other cases, the abatement equipment is functioning, but 2 Tesla either bypasses the abatement equipment and vents the emissions to the atmosphere without proper 3 abatement or shuts the abatement equipment down and vents the emissions to the atmosphere without 4 proper abatement when other components of the production lines in the paint shops malfunction. These 5 violations occur due to repeated malfunction of the same equipment, or due to actions of Tesla staff or its 6 contractors. Whatever the cause, Tesla needs to take steps immediately to stop these frequent and 7 recurring violations. 8

4. 9 Therefore, pursuant to Health & Safety Code sections 42450 et seq., the Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO) respectfully requests that the Hearing Board issue a Conditional Order for 10 Abatement ordering Tesla to stop operating the North and South Paint Shops unless it develops and 11 implements a plan to address these recurring, intermittent and ongoing violations. The APCO respectfully 12 requests that the Hearing Board do so by first issuing an initial order requiring Tesla to (i) hire an 13 independent third-party engineering firm or firms to conduct an objective study to determine the causes of 14 these recurring problems and make recommendations on the actions Tesla needs to take to stop them; and 15 then (ii) return to the Hearing Board with a proposed plan to implement the recommendations from that 16 study to remediate these problems within a specific timeframe. The APCO requests that the Hearing 17 Board then hold a further hearing on Tesla's proposed plan and issue an order requiring Tesla to 18 implement the plan and bring an end to these ongoing violations. 19

5. This proposed order is necessary and proper because, even after extensive discussion
between the Parties, Tesla's efforts alone have not been enough to stem the violations and resulting
unabated emissions. An objective outside party will have additional skills and resources to properly
evaluate the problems and recommend the necessary changes, and then Tesla will have an opportunity to
develop a plan to incorporate those objective recommendations within a reasonable timeframe and
consistent with Tesla's knowledge of its business.

26

1

II. <u>PARTIES</u>

2 <u>Complainant: Air Pollution Control Officer</u>

6. The Air District is organized under Health and Safety Code sections 40200 et seq. and 3 operates under Health and Safety Code sections 40000 et seq., 40200 et seq., 40700 et seq., and 42300 et 4 seq. The Air District is a governmental agency charged with the primary responsibility for controlling air 5 pollution from nonvehicular sources in all or portions of the nine Bay Area counties, including all of 6 Alameda County, where Tesla's facility is located. (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 40000, 40200.) To carry out 7 its legal mandate, the Air District is authorized to adopt and enforce rules and regulations to achieve State 8 and federal ambient air quality standards and reduce criteria pollutants, and to enforce all applicable 9 provisions of State and federal law. (Health & Saf. Code, § 40001, subds. (a) & (b).) 10

7. The APCO is appointed by the Air District's Board of Directors, (Health & Saf. Code, § 11 40750), to "observe and enforce" all District regulations, permit conditions, variances, and enumerated 12 provisions of the Health and Safety Code. (Health & Saf. Code, § 40752.) The APCO may impose 13 conditions in any permit that are "reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with federal or California 14 law or District regulations." (Dist. Reg. 2, rule 1, § 403.) The APCO is also authorized to seek an order 15 for abatement from the District's Hearing Board to stop a person from violating "any order, rule, or 16 regulation prohibiting or limiting the discharge of air contaminants into the air." (Health & Saf. Code 17 § 42451(a); Hearing Board Rules, Bay Area Air Quality Management District Hearing Board, § 4.1 (June 18 2, 2011).) 19

20 Respondent: Tesla

8. Respondent owns and operates an electric vehicle manufacturing and assembly facility at
 45500 Fremont Boulevard, Fremont, California (Facility). Respondent's Facility is a major facility,
 meaning it "has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any regulated air pollutant." (Dist. Reg.
 2, rule 6, § 212.1.) At that Facility, Tesla operates two paint shops of relevance—the North Paint Shop
 and the South Paint Shop (collectively, the Paint Shops)—in which Tesla paints electric vehicles that it
 produces for sale.

27

9. Respondent has owned and operated the North and South Paint Shops, which are and have

been subject to permits issued by the Air District and other applicable Air District requirements, at all
 times relevant to this accusation.

III. JURISDICTION

10. The Hearing Board may, on its own motion or upon the motion of the APCO, and after 4 notice and a hearing, issue an order for abatement against a business when the Hearing Board finds that 5 the business is in violation of an Air District rule or regulation prohibiting or limiting the discharge of air 6 contaminants into the air. (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 40808, 42451, subd. (a); see also Dist. Hearing Bd. 7 Rules, § 4.1.) The Hearing Board may issue an abatement order without finding that the business is in 8 violation of an Air District rule or regulation prohibiting or limiting the discharge of air contaminants into 9 the air where the APCO and accused business have both stipulated to the order. (Health & Saf. Code, § 1042451, subd. (b).) 11

11. An abatement order must "be framed in the manner of a writ of injunction requiring the
respondent to refrain from a particular act." (Health & Saf. Code, § 42452.) The order may also "be
conditional and require a respondent to refrain from a particular act unless certain conditions are met."
(*Ibid.*) In the case of a conditional abatement order, the Hearing Board may impose in the order any
conditions on the respondent that the Hearing Board deems proper and necessary. (Dist. Hearing Bd.
Rules, § 4.13.)

18 12. Respondent is and was at all relevant times a Texas corporation owning and operating the 19 Facility, including the North and South Paint Shops, located in Fremont, California, which is within the 20 boundaries subject to the Hearing Board's jurisdiction. Respondent has committed recurring and ongoing 21 violations of an Air District rule or regulation prohibiting or limiting the discharge of air contaminants 22 into the air. Therefore, the Hearing Board has jurisdiction to issue an abatement order directed to Tesla 23 with respect to the violations.

24

IV.

3

TESLA'S RECURRING AIR POLLUTION EMISSIONS VIOLATIONS

13. At the Paint Shops, Respondent's operations include spray-coating car bodies and other car
parts in spray booths, and then sending the coated items into curing ovens so that the applied coatings can
cure and dry. A graphical representation of the North Paint Shop's operational flow is attached hereto as

Exhibit A1. A graphical representation of the South Paint Shop's operational flow is attached hereto as
 Exhibit A2.

14. The coating operations in the Paint Shops are made up of various sources of air pollutants 3 (ovens, booths, et cetera) that generate multiple different types of air pollution, including precursor 4 organic compounds (POC) and toxic air contaminants (TAC). In order to control emissions of these 5 pollutants into the atmosphere from each source, Tesla's permit conditions require Tesla (i) to conduct the 6 Paint Shops' spraying, coating, and curing operations in an enclosed system that captures and collects a 7 specific amount of the emissions caused by those activities, and then (ii) to route the captured emissions 8 from each source to abatement systems assigned to destroy a specific amount of the emissions from each 9 source in order to stop them all from being sent to the atmosphere. Each source is required to be abated by 10a specific abatement system or device, and the Air District assigns each source and each abatement device 11 a number to make this clear. Tesla must further ensure the abatement equipment is on and operating at 12 temperatures high enough to efficiently ignite or destroy pollutants from the Paint Shops' operations. 13 These requirements are imposed to protect public health and the environment, and to comply with federal 14 and State law. The permit language setting out the abatement requirements for the Paint Shops is attached 15 hereto as Exhibit B. 16

15. Tesla has violated these permit conditions and has emitted harmful air pollutants directly 17 into the atmosphere unabated, for which the Air District has issued Tesla over 112 notices of violation¹ 18 since 2019, as shown in Exhibit C. Each such violation of the applicable permit requirements is a 19 violation of Air District Regulation 2, Rule 1, Section 307 ("Failure to Meet Permit Conditions") and 20Regulation 2, Rule 6, Section 307 ("Non-Compliance, Major Facility Review"); the specific regulatory 21 language is attached hereto as Exhibit D. The amount of improperly abated emissions from each event 22 may vary, based on the specific circumstances, including the number of vehicles being produced, the 23 amount of emissions still unabated at the time of the event, and the length of the bypass event. For 24 example, Tesla reported that one bypass event released 61.1 pounds of POCs in just two minutes, and 25 another released 94.1 pounds of POCs.² 26

 $\begin{vmatrix} 28 \end{vmatrix}$ ² The Air District views Tesla's emissions calculation methodology as overly conservative, so these numbers could be much

²⁷ Each NOV includes one or more violations by Tesla.

1 16. These permit violations occur in several different manners, with the following three being
 2 the most common and most recurrent:

a) The abatement equipment suffers a mechanical or other failure that causes the abatement
equipment to shut down. When this happens, abatement stops as soon as the abatement equipment shuts
down, and Tesla releases the remaining harmful air pollutants from the Paint Shops' operations that are
still in the system at that point directly to the atmosphere without proper abatement. This same abatement
equipment often suffers failure over and over, such as thermal oxidizer A-30183.

b) A mechanical or other failure occurs in a component of the production line, often one that 8 fails over and over, such as an air flow switch, or a process upset or malfunction occurs, such as vehicles 9 crashing into one another when they are not properly overseen by Tesla staff. When this happens, Tesla 10has programmed its operations to automatically shut down the whole process, including the abatement 11 system, and purge emissions created by the Paint Shops' operations and still in the system directly to the 12 atmosphere without the proper abatement, even if the abatement equipment is still working properly. In 13 these situations, the abatement equipment is still able to function and could still be used to abate the 14 emissions, but Tesla's system design shuts down the entire process and illegally vents all the emissions 15 produced by Tesla's operations and still in the system up to that point directly to the atmosphere. 16

c) One of the thermal oxidizers' operating temperatures drops below 1400 degrees 17 Fahrenheit, which is the required minimum operating temperature of thermal oxidizers under Tesla's 18 permit. When this happens, Tesla has designed its operations to automatically shut down the thermal 19 oxidizer altogether and purge all unabated emissions produced by Tesla's operations up to that point and 20that are still in the system directly to the atmosphere without the proper abatement. In many of these 21 cases, Tesla could keep the thermal oxidizer online and abating emissions while the temperature comes 22 back above 1400°F, which would provide some abatement, instead of shutting it down completely and 23 emitting large amounts of illegal, improperly abated air pollution. 24

17. Tesla's pattern of repeated and recurring violations will continue unless Respondent takes
steps to investigate how to stop the violations and implements a plan for doing so in a timely manner.

- 27
- 28 higher.

1

V. HARM FROM TESLA'S ILLEGAL AIR POLLUTION

18. The Paint Shops emit POCs and toxic air contaminants during their operation, which are
not being properly abated to the level needed to protect the environment and public health.

4 19. The emissions of each violation can vary. For example, Tesla reported that one bypass
5 event released 61.1 pounds of POCs in just two minutes, and another released 94.1 pounds of POCs.

20. POCs are significant air pollutants because they react with oxides of nitrogen in the 6 presence of sunlight to form ozone, which is known colloquially as smog. (Bay Area Air Quality 7 Management District, "Pollutant Glossary," August 3, 2023, accessed on April 30, 2024.) Ozone harms 8 public health. (U.S. EPA, "Ground-level Ozone Pollution: Health Effects of Ozone Pollution," April 9, 9 2024, accessed on April 30, 2024.) Even relatively low levels of ozone can cause health effects, especially 10 in vulnerable populations like children, people with asthma, and older adults. (Ibid.) Depending on the 11 level of exposure, ozone can cause coughing and a sore or scratchy throat; make it more difficult to 12 breathe deeply and vigorously, and cause pain when taking a deep breath; inflame and damage the airway; 13 make the lungs more susceptible to infection; aggravate lung diseases such as asthma, emphysema, and 14 chronic bronchitis; and increase the frequency of asthma attacks. (*Ibid.*) Some of these effects have 15 been found even in healthy people, but effects can be more serious in people with lung diseases such as 16 asthma. (Ibid.) These health effects may lead to increased school absences, medication use, visits to 17 doctors and emergency rooms, and hospital admissions. (*Ibid.*) Some studies in locations with elevated 18 concentrations also report associations of ozone with deaths from respiratory causes. (Ibid.) Because of 19 their significant impact on public health, the Air District has adopted more than 50 rules to control POCs 20from operations in the Bay Area. (Bay Area Air Quality Management District, "Pollutant Glossary," 21 August 3, 2023, accessed on April 30, 2024.) 22

23 21. Toxic Air Contaminants cause serious, long-term effects, such as cancer, even at low
24 levels, reproductive and developmental effects, and neurological effects. (California Air Resources Board,
25 "Health and Air Pollution," 2023, accessed Jan. 30, 2023, <u>Health & Air Pollution | California Air</u>
26 <u>Resources Board</u>.) Most air toxics have no known safe levels, and some may accumulate in the body from
27 repeated exposures. (*Ibid*.)

Respondent's failure to abate all emissions from the operation of its Paint Shops thus
 negatively impacts the environment and public health.

3

9

VI.

CONCLUSION AND REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

Thus, the Air District alleges that Tesla is committing recurring and ongoing violations of
Air District Regulations 2-1-307 and 2-6-307 by violating its permit conditions by failing to properly
operate its abatement equipment and abate all the emissions associated with the operations of the Paint
Shops, and instead emitting POCs and toxic air contaminants into the atmosphere without the proper
abatement.

24. Therefore, Petitioner seeks a Conditional Order for Abatement.

10 25. It is not unreasonable to require Respondent to comply with Air District rules and its
11 permit.

12 26. The issuance of the requested Conditional Order for Abatement is not expected to result in
13 the closing or elimination of an otherwise lawful endeavor, but if it does result in such closure or
14 elimination, it would not be without a corresponding benefit in reducing air contaminants.

15 27. The requested Conditional Order for Abatement is not intended to be, nor will it act as, a
16 variance.

17 28. The issuance of the requested Conditional Order for Abatement, upon a fully noticed
18 hearing, will not constitute a taking of property without due process of law.

29. The requested conditions are proper and necessary.

WHEREFORE, the APCO respectfully requests the following:

i) That the Hearing Board issue a Conditional Order for Abatement directing Respondent to
 cease operation of the North Paint Shop and the South Paint Shop unless Respondent takes the following
 specific steps to address its ongoing and recurring violations of its permit requirements to abate emissions
 from the North Paint Shop and South Paint Stop:

a. Hire an independent third-party engineering firm or firms to conduct a study to
determine the causes of Respondent's recurring violations and make recommendations for how to
eliminate or minimize such violations, and

28

19

1	b. Return to the Hearing Board with a proposed plan to implement the		
2	recommendations from the independent third-party study, and any other appropriate measures to eliminate		
3	or minimize Respondent's recurring violations, to address the recurring violations of Respondent's permit		
4	requirements and bring Respondent into full compliance, which proposed plan shall be submitted to the		
5	Hearing Board for consideration;		
6	ii) That the Hearing Board hold a further hearing after Respondent has submitted its proposed		
7	plan to consider the proposed plan and any revisions or additions that may be necessary, based on input		
8	from the APCO and the public, as applicable, and then issue a further Conditional Order for Abatement		
9	requiring Respondent to cease operation of its North and South Paint Shops unless it implements the plan,		
10	which the Hearing Board shall incorporate into its further Conditional Order for Abatement; and		
11	iii) That the Hearing Board grant such other and further relief as the Hearing Board deems just		
12	and proper.		
13	Dated: May 2, 2024 Respectfully submitted,		
14	ALEXANDER G. CROCKETT, ESQ. General Counsel		
15	ALEXANDRA KAMEL, ESQ. Senior Assistant Counsel		
16			
17	By: <u>Alexandra Kamel</u> Alexandra Kamel, Senior Assistant Counsel		
18	Alexandra Kamel, Senior Assistant Counsel Counsel for		
19	PHILIP M. FINE Executive Officer/APCO		
20	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 9 - ACCUSATION AND REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL ABATEMENT ORDER		

CBI REMOVED

CBI REMOVED

North Paint Shop - Permit Condition 26027

C. Conditions for

S-4005 E-Coat System S-4006 Oven #1 (E-Coat); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 15.19 MMBTU/hour S-4011 Oven #6 (E-Coat); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 15.19 MMBTU/hour

- 4. The owner/operator shall ensure POC emissions from the Ovens #1 and #6 (S-4006 and S-4011) are abated at all times of operation by the properly installed and properly maintained regenerative thermal oxidizers A-3008 (abating S-4006) and A-1008 (abating S-4011). (Basis: Regulation 2-1-403)
- The mass emission calculations for the Ovens #1 and #6 (S-4006 and S-4011) are based on an overall efficiency of the emission control system of 80.75% (oven capture efficiency of 85% x regenerative thermal oxidizer destruction efficiency of 95% by wt.)
 (Basis: Cumulative Increase, Regulation 2-1-403)

E. Conditions for

S-3008 Spray Booth #1 (Primer) S-3009 Oven #2 (Primer); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 15.09 MMBTU/hour S-1008 Spray Booth #4 (Primer) S-1009 Oven #7 (Primer); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 15.09 MMBTU/hour

- The owner/operator shall ensure POC emissions from the Spray Booths #1 and #4 (S-3008 and S-1008) and the Ovens #2 and #7 (S-3009 and S-1009) are abated at all times of operation by the properly installed and properly maintained regenerative thermal oxidizers A-3008 (abating S-3008 & S-3009) and A-1008 (abating S-1008 & S-1009). (Basis: Regulation 2-1-403)
- 5. The mass emission calculations for the Spray Booths #1 and #4 (S-3008 and S-1008) and the Ovens #2 and #7 (S-3009 and S-1009) are based on an overall efficiency of the emission control system of 66.5% (booth & oven capture efficiency of 70% x regenerative thermal oxidizer destruction efficiency of 95% by wt.) The calculations also assume emissions from the sealant operations that are unabated are emitted in the Primer Ovens. (Basis: Cumulative Increase, Regulation 2-1-403)

F. Conditions for S-3014 Spray Booth #2 (Basecoat) S-3015 Oven #4 (Basecoat); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 2.95 MMBTU/hour S-1014 Spray Booth #5 (Basecoat) S-3017 Oven #9 (Basecoat); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 2.95 MMBTU/hour

- 4. The owner/operator shall ensure POC emissions from the Basecoat Booths and Basecoat Ovens are abated at all times of operation by the properly installed and properly maintained regenerative thermal oxidizers A-3008 (abating S-3014 & S-3015) and A-1008 (abating S-1014 & S-3017). (Basis: Regulation 2-1-403)
- 5. The mass emission calculations for the Spray Booths #2 and #5 (S-3014 and S-1014) and Ovens #4 and #9 (S-3015 and S-3017) are based on an overall efficiency of the emission control system

of 66.5% (booth & oven capture efficiency of 70% x regenerative thermal oxidizer destruction efficiency of 95% by wt.). (Basis: Cumulative Increase, Regulation 2-1-403)

G. Conditions for

S-3016 Spray Booth #3 (Clearcoat) S-4010 Oven #5 (Clearcoat); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 16.9 MMBTU/hour S-4014 Spray Booth #6 (Clearcoat) S-1015 Oven #10 (Clearcoat); Maximum Hourly Firing Rate: 16.9 MMBTU/hour

- The owner/operator shall ensure POC emissions from the Spray Booths #3 and #6 (S-3016 and 4014) and Ovens #5 and #10 (S-4010 and S-1015) are abated at all times of operation by the properly installed and properly maintained regenerative thermal oxidizers A-1008 (abating S-3016 & S-4010) and A-3008 (abating 4014 & S-1015). (Basis: Regulation 2-1-403)
- The mass emission calculations for the Spray Booths #3 and #6 (S-3016 and 4014) and Ovens #5 and #10 (S-4010 and S-1015)Ovens are based on an overall efficiency of the emission control system of 66.5% (booth & oven capture efficiency of 70% x regenerative thermal oxidizer destruction efficiency of 95% by wt.).
 (Basis: Cumulative Increase, Regulation 2-1-403)

South Paint Shop - Permit Condition 27161

 The owner/operator of A-30192, A-1007, A-30180, A-30181, A-30182, and A-30183 shall ensure that the POC/NPOC emissions from S-1002, S-1007, S-4036, S-4037, S-4038, S-4039, and S-4041 are abated at all times of operation by the properly installed, properly operated, and properly maintained Thermal Oxidizers A-1002, A-1007, A-30180, A-30181, A-30182, and A-30183, respectively. (Basis: Cumulative Increase, Regulation 2-1-403)

NOV #	Issue Date
A57016	1/16/2019
A55799	1/30/2020
A55802	5/9/2019
A59578	1/30/2020
A59579	1/30/2020
A59580	1/30/2020
A59586	2/7/2020
A58769	6/16/2020
A58770	8/31/2020
A58771	10/5/2020
A58777	2/25/2021
A60205	4/13/2021
A60213	7/28/2021
A60215	9/14/2021
A60216	10/7/2021
A60217	10/12/2021
A60223	12/7/2021
A60224	12/21/2021
A61154	2/24/2022
A61155	4/25/2022
A61156	4/25/2022
A61157	4/28/2022
A61158	4/28/2022
A61159	4/28/2022
A61160	5/12/2022
A61161	6/1/2022
A61162	6/1/2022
A61163	6/16/2022
A61164	6/16/2022
A61165	6/16/2022
A61166	6/27/2022
A61167	7/12/2022
A61168	7/12/2022
A61169	7/12/2022
A61170	7/12/2022
A61174	9/12/2022
A61175	9/12/2022
A61177	9/22/2022
A61604	5/2/2022
A61753	10/11/2022
A61754	10/17/2022
A61756	11/14/2022

NOV #	Issue Date
A61758	12/7/2022
A61759	12/14/2022
A61763	2/8/2023
A61769	4/3/2023
A61770	4/3/2023
A61771	4/3/2023
A61774	4/26/2023
A61775	4/26/2023
A61777	5/16/2023
A62128	5/23/2023
A62129	6/12/2023
A62132	6/22/2023
A62133	6/22/2023
A62134	7/13/2023
A62135	7/13/2023
A62138	7/19/2023
A62139	7/19/2023
A62140	7/19/2023
A62141	7/19/2023
A62142	7/19/2023
A62143	7/19/2023
A62145	8/4/2023
A62146	8/4/2023
A62147	8/4/2023
A62148	8/4/2023
A62150	8/21/2023
A62151	8/21/2023
A62152	8/21/2023
A62828	8/21/2023
A62829	8/21/2023
A62830	8/21/2023
A62841	9/21/2023
A62842	9/21/2023
A62843	9/21/2023
A62845	9/29/2023
A62846	9/29/2023
A62847	9/29/2023
A62848	9/29/2023
A62849	9/29/2023
A62850	9/29/2023
A62851	10/17/2023
A62852	10/17/2023

NOV #	Issue Date
A63012	11/22/2023
A63013	11/22/2023
A63014	11/22/2023
A63015	11/22/2023
A63016	11/22/2023
A63017	11/22/2023
A63018	11/29/2023
A63019	11/29/2023
A63020	11/29/2023
A63025	12/18/2023
A63027	12/28/2023
A63028	12/28/2023
A63029	12/28/2023
A63030	12/28/2023
A63031	12/28/2023
A63032	12/28/2023
A63033	1/3/2024
A63041	3/7/2024
A63042	3/7/2024
A63043	3/7/2024
A63044	3/7/2024
A63045	3/14/2024
A63053	4/11/2024
A63054	4/11/2024
A63055	4/11/2024
A63056	4/17/2024
A63057	4/17/2024
A63058	4/17/2024

2-1-307 Failure to Meet Permit Conditions: A person shall not operate any article, machine, equipment or other contrivance, for which an authority to construct or permit to operate has been issued, in violation of any permit condition imposed pursuant to Section 2-1- 403.

(Adopted 3/17/82; Amended 7/17/91)

2-6-307 Non-compliance, Major Facility Review: Any facility subject to the requirements of this regulation that is not in compliance with any federally enforceable permit condition, any federally enforceable applicable requirement set forth in its major facility review permit, or the requirement to apply for a major facility review permit is in violation of the Clean Air Act and shall be subject to enforcement action, permit termination, permit revocation and reissuance, and/or denial of a permit renewal. Moreover, a facility subject to major facility review which has not submitted a timely and complete permit application by the deadlines set forth in Section 2-6-404 shall not operate.

(Amended 2/1/95, 10/20/99)

